

Seizures in Patients With Cancer

L. Nicolas Gonzalez Castro, M[D](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7699-5188), PhD ^{(D) 1,2}; and Tracey A. Milligan, MD²

Seizures are common in patients with cancer and either result from brain lesions, paraneoplastic syndromes, and complications of cancer treatment or are provoked by systemic illness (metabolic derangements, infections). Evaluation should include a tailored history, neurologic examination, laboratory studies, neuroimaging, and electroencephalogram. In unprovoked seizures, antiepileptic drug (AED) treatment is required, and a nonenzyme-inducing AED is preferred. Treatment of the underlying cancer with surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation therapy also can help reduce seizures. Benzodiazepines are useful in the treatment of both provoked seizures and breakthrough epileptic seizures and as first-line treatment for status epilepticus. Counseling for safety is an important component in the care of a patient with cancer who has seizures. Good seizure management can be challenging but significantly improves the quality of life during all phases of care, including end-of-life care. *Cancer* **2020;0:1-11**. *© 2020 American Cancer Society*.

KEYWORDS: antiepileptic drugs, brain tumor, cancer, epilepsy, seizures.

INTRODUCTION

Seizures are common in patients with cancer as a consequence of brain tumors (both primary and metastatic) and as a result of adverse effects of treatments (surgery, radiation, systemic therapies) or can be provoked by systemic infections in immunocompromised patients or in those who have metabolic derangements resulting from the effects of systemic cancer (eg, hypocalcemia, hyponatremia). Seizures have the potential for physical and psychological harm as well as reduced quality of life. Formally, seizures are defined as the transient manifestation of signs and/or symptoms caused by synchronous neuronal or abnormal excessive brain activity.¹ The most recent seizure classification groups seizures based on the type of seizure onset as focal onset, generalized onset, or unknown onset.¹ Focal seizures can be with or without impaired awareness, whereas generalized seizures involve bilateral networks at onset, impair awareness, and often are accompanied by generalized convulsive activity.¹ Another useful classification relates to the etiology of seizures, classifying them as provoked or unprovoked. Provoked seizures occur in the setting of temporary brain pathology, such as the effect of toxins and medications (eg, EtOH or benzodiazepine withdrawal), infections, or as a result of a metabolic derangement (eg, hyponatremia, hypoglycemia). Unprovoked seizures are caused by structural brain lesions, such as infarcts, hemorrhages, and tumors, or are the result of a genetic predisposition to experience seizures (genetic epilepsies).

After stabilizing the patient (see Management, below), the evaluation of a patient with cancer who presents with a first seizure should include a detailed history of the event (including any abnormal sensations reported by the patient before the event; a note of their state of awareness and a description of motor activity if the event was witnessed; a note of loss of urinary or stool continence during the event; the duration of the event; and the presence of confusion and/or agitation after the event) and a careful review of current medications and recent treatments. The patient should also undergo a neurologic examination to detect possible postictal deficits or subtle evidence of ongoing focal motor seizure activity. Brain imaging should be obtained, ideally using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with gadolinium, but a computed tomography scan of the head with contrast can be obtained instead if MRI is not available or cannot be readily obtained (MRI with gadolinium has higher sensitivity than computed tomography to detect brain lesions). Laboratory evaluations should include a complete blood count with differential, a complete metabolic panel, and toxicology studies. When clinically indicated (eg, meningeal signs on examination, blurring of optic disk margins on fundoscopy suggesting increased intracranial pressure), a lumbar puncture with opening pressure and cerebrospinal fluid studies (including cytology and flow cytometry to evaluate for leptomeningeal disease) should be obtained, but only after imaging has been obtained to evaluate for significant mass effect and the risk of parenchymal herniation (imaging and cerebrospinal fluid studies should not delay the initiation of empiric antimicrobials if infection is suspected).

¹ Center for Neuro-Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts; ²Department of Neurology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

DOI: 10.1002/cncr.32708, **Received:** October 4, 2019; **Revised:** November 21, 2019; **Accepted:** December 18, 2019, **Published online** Month 00, 2019 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com)

Corresponding Author: L. Nicolas Gonzalez Castro, MD, PhD, Center for Neuro-Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, 450 Brookline Avenue, Boston, MA 02115-6013 (lgonzalez-castro@partners.org).

Abbreviations: Ab, antibody; AED, antiepileptic drug; ALL, acute lymphocytic leukemia; AML, acute myelogenous leukemia; CML, chronic myelogenous leukemia; SCLC, small-cell lung cancer; SCT, stem cell transplantation.

An electroencephalogram (EEG) is indicated when patients with cancer have unexplained neurologic symptoms or confusion to rule out nonconvulsive status epilepticus or subclinical seizures. 2 In patients who have returned to their neurologic baseline but report a history of intermittent neurologic deficits (eg, impaired awareness, difficulty speaking, intermittent paresthesias or weakness), long-term EEG monitoring might help identify an electrographic correlate for these spells. We recommend that, when an EEG is obtained, a neurology consultation is obtained as well to help evaluate the patient, review the EEG findings, and guide decision making regarding antiepileptic therapy. The presence or absence of brain lesions on imaging acts as a branching point for the management of patients with cancer who present with a first seizure.

SEIZURES IN PATIENTS WHO HAVE CANCER WITHOUT BRAIN LESIONS

When brain imaging does not reveal the presence of brain lesions or other acute intracranial pathology, such as hemorrhage or acute infarcts that could represent a seizure nidus, a review of recent treatments and an evaluation for systemic illness are warranted. Several systemic therapies are associated with seizures. Some of the most frequent culprits are listed with their common indications in oncology and recommendations regarding prophylaxis in Table 1.

Of the therapies listed in Table 1, we highlight chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapies because these are increasingly being used for the treatment of refractory acute lymphocytic leukemia and lymphoma; and their neurotoxicity, most commonly characterized clinically by aphasia and encephalopathy, is frequently accompanied by EEG abnormalities (generalized periodic discharges) and seizures.³⁻⁵

Infections and metabolic derangements are other important causes of seizures in patients with cancer. Treatment-related immunosuppression puts patients who have cancer at increased risk of central nervous system infection with less common pathogens (Cryptococcus, human herpes virus 6). Hyponatremia is a well established cause of seizures in patients with cancer, particularly in those who have tumors originating in or involving the lungs (smallcell lung cancer), pleura, thymus, and brain. Hyponatremia secondary to the syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone can also be encountered in patients treated with cisplatin, cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide, vinca alkaloids, and imatinib.⁶ Hypocalcemia (often associated with tumor lysis syndrome), hyperglycemia (often secondary to corticosteroid treatment or pancreatic insufficiency), and hypoglycemia (secondary to tumor production of insulin or insulin-growth factors) all can be associated with seizures and should be screened for and corrected if identified.⁶ Seizures may result from either ischemic or hemorrhagic strokes associated with the cancer or its treatment.⁷

Finally, certain paraneoplastic syndromes, particularly those associated with limbic encephalitis, also are associated with seizures (see Table 2).⁸ Seizures in these patients tend to be refractory, and the identification of the syndrome based on other clinical symptoms should prompt aggressive treatment of the underlying malignancy (if known) as well as systemic immunosuppression. It is not uncommon for patients who present with seizures in the setting of a paraneoplastic syndrome to not have a diagnosed malignancy. In this case,

Antibody Target	Median Age at Presentation, y	Most Common Associated Tumor(s)	Other Symptoms Besides Seizures	
Hu (ANNA-1)	63	SCLC	Memory loss, confusion	
$Ma-2$ (Ta)	64	Testicular germ cell tumors	Memory loss, confusion	
CV-2/CRMP-5	63	SCLC, thymoma	Memory loss, confusion	
NMDA-R	23	Ovarian teratoma	Psychiatric symptoms, choreiform movements	
AMPA-R	60	Thymoma, breast	Psychiatric symptoms, memory loss, confusion	
GABA-B-R	62	SCLC	Memory loss, confusion	
$LGI-1$	60	Thyroid, renal cell, NSCLC	Memory loss, myoclonus	

TABLE 2. Paraneoplastic Antibodies Associated With Seizures

Abbreviations: NSCLC, non–small-cell lung cancer; SCLC, small-cell lung cancer.

appropriate investigations should be pursued based on the type of cancer suspected and, if initially negative, should be repeated periodically every 3 to 6 months for up to 4 years.⁹

SEIZURES IN PATIENTS WHO HAVE CANCER WITH BRAIN LESIONS

Seizures are the presenting symptom in approximately one-third of patients with brain tumors, including both primary and metastatic tumors. During the course of their illness, many patients who have cancer experience seizures, with the incidence varying according to the type of tumor (Table 3). The location of the tumor can often predict the semiology of the experienced seizure. The main factors that influence the incidence of seizures are tumor location, type, grade, and location. 10

Lesions involving the cerebral hemispheres, especially those located on the cortical surface or associated with hemorrhage or edema, are more likely to result in seizure activity. Tumors involving the cerebral cortex, particularly the motor cortex, are more likely to produce seizures than tumors in the deep gray matter, although the latter can also lead to seizures because of surrounding edema and mass effect.¹¹ Seizures can be a significant source of quality-of-life impairment for patients with brain tumors.¹²⁻¹⁵

In addition to the brain lesions themselves, seizures can also be a byproduct of the treatment provided, including surgery, radiation, and systemic therapies. On the basis of retrospective analyses of neurosurgical records, the estimated incidence of seizures after craniotomy is between 15% and 20%.¹⁶ Seizures can occur even in patients receiving prophylactic antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) and approximately two-thirds of these seizures occur during the first month postcraniotomy.¹⁷ It is a common neurosurgical practice to administer prophylactic perioperative and postoperative antiepileptics for up 1 or 2 weeks, although high-quality evidence to support this practice is lacking.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Cranial radiation can lead to radiation necrosis in from 3% to 25% of patients, often presenting with seizures. Radiation necrosis is more common between

TABLE 3. Epilepsy Frequency Based on Type of Brain Tumor

Abbreviations: CNS, central nervous system; DNET, dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumor.

6 and 18 months postradiation and is more likely to develop with higher, concentrated doses (radiosurgery) and re-radiation.^{21,22}

Parenchymal brain metastases are encountered in 10% to 30% of patients with systemic cancer, 23 and, of these, 70% to 80% present with multiple metastases (especially melanoma and lung cancer). In men, the most common primary tumor is lung cancer (particularly non–small-cell lung cancer), whereas, in women, breast cancer (particularly triple negative 24) is the main offender. Melanoma has a strong propensity to metastasize to the brain (50% of patients with advanced melanoma develop brain metastases), and melanoma metastases are thought to be more epileptogenic given their high frequency of hemorrhage. Seizures are the presenting symptom in 10% to 40% of patients with brain metastases.^{25,26} Brain metastases are usually found at the gray matter-white matter junction and present with seizures at a lower rate than primary parenchymal brain tumors because the latter are usually more infiltrative and disrupt local neuronal circuitry. Unless they have experienced a seizure, patients with brain metastases are not thought to benefit from treatment with antiepileptic medication^{25,27} (see Management, below).

Primary brain tumors present with seizures at a higher rate than metastases, and lower grade tumors (grade I or II) present with seizures at a higher rate than higher-grade

tumors (grade III or IV).^{26,28} The higher incidence of seizures at presentation in low-grade primary brain tumors was first observed clinically by Bailey and Cushing in 1926^{29} and today is considered a favorable prognostic factor for a patient with a newly diagnosed primary brain tumor. 30 Multiple mechanisms have been proposed to explain the higher incidence of seizures in low-grade primary brain tumors. For most primary brain tumors, the abnormal electrical activity that leads to seizures is thought to arise from the peritumoral cortex—the interface between the invading tumor and normal brain—rather than from the tumor core itself. 31 Tumors with neuronal elements, such as ganglioglioma, provide an exception to this rule. In gangliogliomas and other pediatric brain tumors, the high frequency (on the order of 60%) of BRAF V600E mutations has been linked to epileptogenic activity through increased expression of RE1-silencing transcription factor (REST), a regulator of gene expression for ion channel genes associated with epilepsy.³²

In low-grade, diffuse gliomas (diffuse astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma), the higher incidence of seizures is thought to be related to isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) gene mutations, 33 which are present in approximately 80% of these tumors.³⁴ Mutant IDH converts α-ketoglutarate into D-2-hydroxyglutarate (D2-HG), an oncometabolite that, in addition to playing a role in oncogenesis through the alteration of epigenetic states, 35 has a chemical structure similar to that of glutamate and, when secreted extracellularly, is thought to produce a proepileptic state by activating neuronal NMDA receptors, creating excitatory postsynaptic potentials and increasing the likelihood of action potentials.¹⁴

In high-grade gliomas, glutamate also plays an important role in epileptogenicity. SLC7A11/xCT, the catalytic subunit of system x_c^- (SXC) and a membrane antiporter that couples the efflux of glutamate with the influx of cystine, is upregulated in >50% of high-grade gliomas.^{36,37} The upregulation of xCT leads to increased glutamate efflux, promoting excitotoxicity, seizures, and neuronal death. $36,37$ Newly described glutamatergic synapses between neurons and tumor cells are also thought to mediate signaling associated with tumor growth. $38-40$ In gliomas, neuronal activity promotes tumor growth and invasion through glutamate activation of AMPA receptors in neuron-glioma synapses, and this growth appears to be abated by the AMPA receptor antagonist perampanel. 38,39 Similar neuron-metastasis glutamatergic synapses have been described in patients with breast cancer.⁴⁰ These synapses also appear to promote tumor proliferation, in this case, through NMDA receptor signaling.⁴⁰

MANAGEMENT

The mainstay of epilepsy management in brain tumorrelated epilepsy (BTRE) is the use of AEDs. However, surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation therapy can both assist in the treatment of tumor-related epilepsy and treat the underlying cancer.^{44,45}

Chemotherapy

Chemotherapy with alkylating agents (including temozolomide and nitrosoureas) can lead to improved seizure control in patients with glioma, even when there is not a radiographic response on MRI. The favorable prognostic effect of seizure reduction is independent of age, neurologic symptoms, and previous chemotherapy.^{46,47}

Surgery

Epilepsy neurosurgery can be performed and differs from tumor neurosurgery because the goal is focused on seizure control. Epilepsy surgery consists not only in the maximal safe resection of the tumor but also the epileptogenic region surrounding the tumor. For otherwise benign tumors, this may be the only purpose of the surgery. Gross total resection with clear margins improves both tumor and epilepsy outcomes. Surgery can be effective in improving seizure control.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ Intraoperative electrocorticography may be used to guide complete resection of the epileptogenic area.⁵¹

Radiation Therapy

Radiation therapy can improve tumor-related epilepsy, but initially there may be an increase in the frequency of epileptic seizures or the appearance of de novo seizures. During acute treatment, there is increased edema and necrosis, which can lead to the worsening of seizures. This worsening often responds to corticosteroids (dexamethasone). Increased AED treatment may also be required. Radiation therapy can improve seizure control in the setting of low-grade glioma, even when there is an absence of tumor response on MRI.⁴⁶ Of note, there is an increased risk of rash, including Stevens-Johnson syndrome, in patients treated concurrently with radiotherapy and either phenytoin, carbamazepine, or phenobarbital.^{52,53}

TABLE 4. Frequently Used Antiepileptic Drugs^a

Abbreviations: AED, antiepileptic drug; CYP, cytochrome P450 enzyme; SJS, Stevens-Johnson syndrome.

a
Although this is not a comprehensive list, it represents the most common and serious adverse events. Depression is possible with all AEDs.

b There is a risk of severe dermatologic reactions in patients of Asian descent who have the human leukocyte antigen-B*1502 allele.

^cLow indicates that the drug is not metabolized by the CYP system (SJS).

Antiepileptic Drugs

A single, unprovoked seizure in a patient with a brain tumor usually requires the indefinite use of an AED. But, in patients without a history of seizures, prophylactic AED use is not recommended; prophylaxis leads to more adverse events and does not decrease the risk of seizure.^{25,54-56} However, in a high-risk situation, such as a patient with multiple hemorrhagic cortical metastases, there may be a benefit from prophylaxis with newer AEDs that lead to fewer adverse events than those previously studied.⁵⁷

In a patient with a brain tumor, only 1 unprovoked seizure is required for the diagnosis of epilepsy. BTRE is a type of focal epilepsy. Focal-onset seizures may lead to impaired awareness and/or may progress to bilateral tonic-clonic activity. These seizure types can respond to treatment with any of the agents listed in Table 4 and with less commonly used AEDs. Principles used to select an AED are: efficacy, tolerability, safety, drug interactions, comorbidities, and cost. Potential interactions between the AED and any chemotherapeutic agent should also be considered when choosing an AED and

monitoring levels. Even once a patient is seizure-free for 2 years, continued use of an AED is often required given the persistence of a seizure nidus—brain lesion or resection cavity—and the high risk of recurrent seizures, which can result in injury or worsen quality of life (for example, if the patient drives). 58

Although there are several AEDs from which to select, the use of AEDs in patients with cancer is complicated by potentially serious side effects and interference of AEDs with other commonly prescribed drugs, such as chemotherapeutic agents and corticosteroids. In selecting the appropriate AED, there are no randomized trials that have established the superiority of 1 agent over others in this situation. Despite preclinical trials, there is no consistent evidence to date that a particular AED is associated with improved outcomes.⁴³

The older, first-generation AEDs have more drug interactions and side effects and are generally to be avoided. Phenytoin, carbamazepine, and phenobarbital induce cytochrome p450 and are referred to as enzyme-inducing AEDs. Enzyme-inducing AEDs should be avoided, especially when chemotherapy or radiotherapy is planned, because of the risk of decreased efficacy of chemotherapy and the increased risk of rash with radiotherapy. Enzyme-inducing AEDs also interact with corticosteroids and result in lower corticosteroid levels. Valproic acid is an older AED, but it inhibits, rather than induces, cytochrome p450. It may increase the levels of certain chemotherapeutic agents and can lead to hepatotoxicity or thrombocytopenia. Older AEDs, including valproic acid, are more heavily protein bound than the newer agents, leading to additional complications with treatment. These interactions have the potential to reduce the survival rate and increase the risk of relapse.^{59,60}

The newer (second-generation and third-generation) AEDs with no or minimal hepatic enzyme-inducing or enzyme-inhibiting properties are the preferred AEDs in cancer-related epilepsy (see Table 4). They have a more favorable safety profile with fewer problematic drug interactions. They are also better tolerated. However, even so, adverse drug events are common, occurring in from 30% to 40% of patients, and may be seen more frequently in those who have brain tumors. $61,62$ Overall, studies have demonstrated that, on average, 23.8% of patients with brain tumors who are receiving AEDs experience adverse drug events that are severe enough to warrant a change in AED treatment.²⁵ Common adverse drug events from AEDs in patients with brain tumors are changes in cognition, nausea or vomiting, behavioral changes, elevated liver enzymes, myelosuppression, ataxia, and rash.⁶³ Side effects

may reduce a patient's perception of their quality of life even more than seizure control.⁶⁴ After starting or changing an AED, patients should be screened for adverse drug events during the titration period and at every subsequent visit.⁶⁵

AED management begins with selection of the best agent for the patient, titrating up to the lowest effective dose. Approximately 50% of patients with tumor-related epilepsy will respond adequately to a single AED.⁶⁶ The assessment and management of patients who experience another seizure while already taking an AED are illustrated in Figure 1. Nonadherence or AED changes are the most common causes of breakthrough seizures and status epilepticus in all patients who have epilepsy, including BTRE. 67 Serum drug levels are helpful when initiating certain AEDs (eg, valproate, phenytoin) and when assessing nonadherence or drug interaction; otherwise, they have a limited role in the ongoing management of epilepsy. When it is unclear whether or not a patient's symptoms are related to AED toxicity, a serum level can be helpful because patients are more likely to have side effects with a high level. After a breakthrough seizure, a single AED should be optimized before changing to a different AED or adding a second agent.

Resistance to AEDs (ie, drug-resistant epilepsy) can be a major problem, and many patients will require the use of more than 1 AED. If combinations of 2 drugs fail, then triple AED therapy is used. However, at this point, it is unlikely that the patient will experience freedom from seizures using AEDs alone.⁶⁸ The use of \geq 3 AEDs is likely to increase toxicity and impair quality of life and is unlikely to result in better seizure control.

Levetiracetam is often favored as the first line AED for BTRE, given its effectiveness, good tolerability, and absence of drug interactions.^{69,70} The exact mechanism of action is unknown, but it involves modulation of synaptic neurotransmitter release through binding to the synaptic vesicle protein SV2A in neurons. Tablet, liquid, extended-release, and intravenous formulations are available. No dosage change is required when changing formulations. However, adjustments in doses are needed in cases of renal failure. Levetiracetam can cause fatigue and neuropsychiatric side effects, including irritability (in 1%-10% of patients⁷¹), agitation, anxiety, and depression, even in patients without a pre-existing psychiatric history. Brivaracetam is 1 of the newest AEDs and is similar to levetiracetam, with a similar mechanism of action and both oral and intravenous formulations, but it is more expensive and has drug interactions.

Other commonly used AEDs for BTRE include other nonenzyme hepatic inducers, such as lacosamide and valproic acid. Lacosamide is a third-generation AED

Figure 1. Management of breakthrough seizures. AED indicates antiepileptic drug.

with a novel mechanism of action of selectively enhancing the slow inactivation of voltage-gated sodium channels. It has low protein binding, no induction or inhibition of hepatic enzymes, few drug interactions, and low incidence of side effects (however, because it can cause PR interval prolongation, it should not be initiated in patients with PR >200 msec). Lacosamide has tablet, liquid, and intravenous formulations and is generally well tolerated in patients with brain tumors.^{72,73} Lamotrigine, perampanel, zonisamide, and clobazam are other good choices. Pregabalin and gabapentin may be less efficacious but can be helpful in add-on therapy, are well tolerated, and can be quickly up-titrated. Oxcarbazepine, Eslicarbazepine, and topiramate are efficacious but have a greater potential for drug interactions. With the use of new AEDs, cost is important to keep in mind.

Many patients with brain tumor inquire about the use of cannabis in the prevention of seizures. Cannabis has 2 main components: tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), which has psychoactive effects and can be used for anorexia and weight loss, and cannabidiol (CBD), which has no psychotropic effects, and there is a US Food and Drug Administration-approved CBD product for the treatment of seizures associated with 3 specific epilepsy syndromes (Lennox-Gastaut syndrome, Dravet syndrome, and tuberous sclerosis). To date, there is no evidence to support the use of CBD in adults without these syndromes. CBD is a powerful inhibitor of cytochrome p450 and may also induce other isoenzymes.⁷⁴ CBD has as many adverse drug events as other conventional agents and is associated with side effects of fatigue, hepatitis, decreased appetite, diarrhea, and somnolence. Cannabinoids currently do not have an established role in tumor-related epilepsy, but studies are ongoing.^{75,76}

Patients who have brain tumors can develop status epilepticus, which is considered a neurologic emergency.^{$\frac{7}{1}$} The initial management is outlined in Figure 2. Status epilepticus can be either convulsive or nonconvulsive. Nonconvulsive status epilepticus can have nonspecific clinical manifestations, such as confusion, altered behavior, or a decreased level of alertness, which can be difficult to distinguish from other causes of altered mental status. Nonconvulsive status epilepticus can occur in patients with systemic cancer who do not have intracranial disease. Continuous EEG monitoring is required for guiding and optimizing treatment.

An important component in the palliative care of patients with cancer is the prevention and treatment of seizures. Many patients with brain tumors have seizures in the last month of life. Patients who have a history of seizures and high-grade gliomas are at particularly high risk, with a seizure recurrence risk as high as 76%, and 2.5% have status epilepticus.⁷⁸ For these reasons, patients should continue to receive AEDs during hospice care.

Figure 2. Management of status epilepticus. AED indicates antiepileptic drug; CT, computed tomography; EEG, electroencephalogram; IM, intramuscular; IV, intravenous.

When swallowing is no longer possible, benzodiazepines can be provided through sublingual, buccal, intranasal, and rectal administration. Most AEDs can also be compounded into suppository formulations for rectal administration.^{79,80}

Other Treatments for Epilepsy

Non-AED treatments for epilepsy include dietary therapies, vagus nerve stimulation, deep-brain stimulation of the bilateral anterior thalami, and responsive neurostimulation. With the exception of vagus nerve stimulation, these therapies are not well studied in patients with brain tumors.⁸¹ The vagus nerve stimulator is an implanted medical device that stimulates the left vagus nerve in a closed-loop system to reduce seizures; it appears to be equally effective in patients with BTRE and those with other forms of epilepsy and is appropriate to consider in patients with BTRE who are not candidates for resection in whom imminent tumor progression is not expected.⁸² Dietary therapy for epilepsy consists of a high-fat, lowcarbohydrate, ketogenic diet (KD) or a low-glycemic diet. A KD has long been recognized as safe and effective in decreasing seizures but can be difficult to maintain.^{83,84} Preclinical studies have suggested positive effects of the KD on malignant glioma in animal models. However, there is a paucity of data on the efficacy of KD in improving survival and quality of life in patients with glioma.⁸⁵

Counseling

Education and counseling regarding safety are vital in the care of patients with epilepsy. Patients who have brain tumors require counseling about the signs and symptoms of seizures. Patients with epilepsy have an increased risk of injuries and accidents, particularly drowning, burns, poisoning, adverse effects of medication, and traumatic brain injury.⁸⁶ The risk of sudden unexpected death in epilepsy is approximately 1 in 1000 adults per year and 1 in 4500 children per year.⁶² The risk factors for sudden unexpected death in epilepsy include convulsive seizures and uncontrolled seizures. It is temporarily illegal to drive after a seizure. Discussions about driving are an important topic. 87 The role of the physician in both reporting and determining the amount of time a patient has been seizure-free before driving varies from state to state, from physician discretion, to 3 months, to >1 year. In the United States, the regulations of each state can be found at [epilepsy.com/](http://epilepsy.com/driving-laws) [driving-laws.](http://epilepsy.com/driving-laws) Patients with epilepsy have a higher rate of depression, with approximately 30% of individuals who have BTRE developing clinically significant depression.⁸⁸ There is also an increased risk of suicide among individuals with epilepsy, particularly at the time of diagnosis, and in individuals who have a history of depression. Treatment with selective serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors is considered safe in individuals with epilepsy when used at therapeutic doses.⁸⁹

Conclusions

Given the marked disability that can result from poorly controlled seizures, patients with cancer who have seizures, particularly those who have seizures in the setting of intracranial disease, benefit from being followed by a neuro-oncologist or a neurologist specializing in epilepsy.

Good seizure management can be challenging but significantly improves the quality of life during all phases of care, including the end-of-life care, when appropriate treatment can prevent unnecessary hospital admissions and transitions of care.

FUNDING SUPPORT

L. Nicolas Gonzalez Castro was supported by the K12 Training Program in Nervous System Tumors (K12CA090354).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES The authors made no disclosures.

REFERENCES

- 1. Fisher RS, Cross JH, French JA, et al. Operational classification of seizure types by the International League Against Epilepsy: Position Paper of the ILAE Commission for Classification and Terminology. *Epilepsia*. 2017;58:522-530. doi:[10.1111/epi.13670](https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.13670)
- 2. Spindler M, Jacks LM, Chen X, Panageas K, DeAngelis LM, Avila EK. Spectrum of nonconvulsive status epilepticus in patients with cancer. *J Clin Neurophysiol*. 2013;30:339-343. doi[:10.1097/WNP.0b013](https://doi.org/10.1097/WNP.0b013e31829ddcdb) [e31829ddcdb](https://doi.org/10.1097/WNP.0b013e31829ddcdb)
- 3. Karschnia P, Jordan JT, Forst DA, et al. Clinical presentation, management, and biomarkers of neurotoxicity after adoptive immunotherapy with CAR T cells. *Blood*. 2019;133:2212-2221. doi[:10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2018-12-893396) [blood-2018-12-893396](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2018-12-893396)
- 4. Herlopian A, Dietrich J, Abramson JS, Cole AJ, Westover MB. EEG findings in CAR T-cell therapy-related encephalopathy. *Neurology*. 2018;91:227-229. doi:[10.1212/WNL.0000000000005910](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000005910)
- 5. Rubin DB, Danish HH, Ali AB, et al. Neurological toxicities associated with chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy. *Brain*. 2019;142:1334- 1348. doi[:10.1093/brain/awz031](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awz031)
- 6. Lewis MA, Hendrickson AW, Moynihan TJ. Oncologic emergencies: pathophysiology, presentation, diagnosis, and treatment. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2011;61:287-314. doi[:10.3322/caac.20124](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.20124)
- 7. Cestari DM, Weine DM, Panageas KS, Segal AZ, DeAngelis LM. Stroke in patients with cancer: incidence and etiology. *Neurology*. 2004;62:2025-2030. doi:[10.1212/01.WNL.0000129912.56486.2B](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.WNL.0000129912.56486.2B)
- 8. Baldwin KJ, Zivkovic SA, Lieberman FS. Neurologic emergencies in patients who have cancer: diagnosis and management. *Neurol Clin*. 2012;30:101-128, viii. doi[:10.1016/j.ncl.2011.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncl.2011.09.004)
- 9. Titulaer MJ, Soffietti R, Dalmau J, et al. Screening for tumours in paraneoplastic syndromes: report of an EFNS task force. *Eur J Neurol*. 2011;18:19-e3. doi:[10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03220.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03220.x)
- 10. van Breemen MS, Wilms EB, Vecht CJ. Epilepsy in patients with brain tumours: epidemiology, mechanisms, and management. *Lancet Neurol*. 2007;6:421-430. doi:[10.1016/S1474-4422\(07\)70103-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(07)70103-5)
- 11. Akeret K, Serra C, Rafi O, et al. Anatomical features of primary brain tumors affect seizure risk and semiology. *Neuroimage Clin*. 2019;22:101688. doi[:10.1016/j.nicl.2019.101688](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2019.101688)
- 12. Nowell M, Miserocchi A, McEvoy AW. Tumors in epilepsy. *Semin Neurol*. 2015;35:209-217. doi:[10.1055/s-0035-1552628](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1552628)
- 13. Feyissa AM, Worrell GA, Tatum WO, et al. High-frequency oscillations in awake patients undergoing brain tumor-related epilepsy surgery. *Neurology*. 2018;90:e1119-e1125. doi:[10.1212/WNL.00000](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000005216) [00000005216](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000005216)
- 14. Chen H, Judkins J, Thomas C, et al. Mutant IDH1 and seizures in patients with glioma. *Neurology*. 2017;88:1805-1813. doi[:10.1212/](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000003911) [WNL.0000000000003911](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000003911)
- 15. Tatum WO, Quinones-Hinojosa A. Onco-epilepsy: more than tumor and seizures. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2018;93:1181-1184. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2018.06.019) [mayocp.2018.06.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2018.06.019)
- 16. Foy PM, Copeland GP, Shaw MD. The incidence of postoperative seizures. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 1981;55:253-264.
- 17. North JB, Penhall RK, Hanieh A, Frewin DB, Taylor WB. Phenytoin and postoperative epilepsy. *J Neurosurg*. 1983;58:672-677. doi:[10.3171/](https://doi.org/10.3171/jns.1983.58.5.0672) [jns.1983.58.5.0672](https://doi.org/10.3171/jns.1983.58.5.0672)
- 18. Greenhalgh J, Weston J, Dundar Y, Nevitt SJ, Marson AG. Antiepileptic drugs as prophylaxis for postcraniotomy seizures. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018;5:CD007286. doi:[10.1002/14651858.CD007286.](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007286.pub4) [pub4](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007286.pub4)
- 19. Wychowski T, Wang H, Buniak L, Henry JC, Mohile N. Considerations in prophylaxis for tumor-associated epilepsy: prevention of status epilepticus and tolerability of newer generation AEDs. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. 2013;115:2365-2369. doi[:10.1016/j.clineuro.2013.08.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2013.08.023)
- 20. Kuijlen JM, Teernstra OP, Kessels AG, Herpers MJ, Beuls EA. Effectiveness of antiepileptic prophylaxis used with supratentorial craniotomies: a meta-analysis. *Seizure*. 1996;5:291-298.
- 21. Raimbault A, Cazals X, Lauvin MA, Destrieux C, Chapet S, Cottier JP. Radionecrosis of malignant glioma and cerebral metastasis: a diagnostic challenge in MRI. *Diagn Interv Imaging*. 2014;95:985-1000. doi:[10.1016/j.diii.2014.06.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diii.2014.06.013)
- 22. Ruben JD, Dally M, Bailey M, Smith R, McLean CA, Fedele P. Cerebral radiation necrosis: Incidence, outcomes, and risk factors with emphasis on radiation parameters and chemotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2006;65:499-508. doi[:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2005.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2005.12.002)
- 23. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Weistler OD, Cavenee WK. World Health Organization. World Health Organization Classification of Tumours of the Central Nervous System. 4th ed. WHO Press; 2016.
- 24. Pestalozzi BC, Holmes E, de Azambuja E, et al. CNS relapses in patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer who have and have not received adjuvant trastuzumab: a retrospective substudy of the HERA trial (BIG 1-01). *Lancet Oncol*. 2013;14:244-248. doi:[10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70017-2) [S1470-2045\(13\)70017-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70017-2)
- 25. Glantz MJ, Cole BF, Forsyth PA, et al. Practice parameter: anticonvulsant prophylaxis in patients with newly diagnosed brain tumors: Report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. 2000;54:1886-1893. doi:[10.1212/](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.54.10.1886) [WNL.54.10.1886](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.54.10.1886)
- 26. Lynam LM, Lyons MK, Drazkowski JF, et al. Frequency of seizures in patients with newly diagnosed brain tumors: a retrospective review. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. 2007;109:634-638. doi[:10.1016/j.clineuro.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2007.05.017) [2007.05.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2007.05.017)
- 27. Chang SM, Messersmith H, Ahluwalia M, et al. Anticonvulsant prophylaxis and steroid use in adults with metastatic brain tumors: ASCO and SNO endorsement of the Congress of Neurological Surgeons guidelines. *J Clin Oncol*. 2019;37:1130-1135. doi:[10.1200/JCO.18.02085](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.18.02085)
- 28. Armstrong TS, Grant R, Gilbert MR, Lee JW, Norden AD. Epilepsy in glioma patients: mechanisms, management, and impact of anticonvulsant therapy. *Neuro Oncol*. 2016;18:779-789. doi[:10.1093/neuonc/](https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nov269) [nov269](https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nov269)
- 29. Bailey P, Cushing H. A Classification of the Tumors of the Glioma Group on a Histogenetic Basis with a Correlated Study of Prognosis. 1st ed. JB Lippincott Company; 1926.
- 30. Pignatti BF, van den Bent M, Curran D, et al. Prognostic factors for survival in adult patients with cerebral low-grade glioma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002;20:2076-2084.
- 31. Kohling R, Senner V, Paulus W, Speckmann EJ. Epileptiform activity preferentially arises outside tumor invasion zone in glioma xenotransplants. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2006;22:64-75. doi[:10.1016/j.nbd.2005.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2005.10.001)
- 32. Koh HY, Kim SH, Jang J, et al. BRAF somatic mutation contributes to intrinsic epileptogenicity in pediatric brain tumors. *Nat Med*. 2018;24:1662-1668. doi[:10.1038/s41591-018-0172-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0172-x)
- 33. Zhong Z, Wang Z, Wang Y, You G, Jiang T. IDH1/2 mutation is associated with seizure as an initial symptom in low-grade glioma: a report of 311 Chinese adult glioma patients. *Epilepsy Res*. 2015;109:100-105. doi:[10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2014.09.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2014.09.012)
- 34. Hartmann C, Meyer J, Balss J, et al. Type and frequency of IDH1 and IDH2 mutations are related to astrocytic and oligodendroglial differentiation and age: a study of 1,010 diffuse gliomas. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2009;118:469-474. doi[:10.1007/s00401-008-0455-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-008-0455-2)
- 35. Flavahan WA, Drier Y, Liau BB, et al. Insulator dysfunction and oncogene activation in IDH mutant gliomas. *Nature*. 2016;529: 110-114. doi[:10.1038/nature16490](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16490)
- 36. Robert SM, Buckingham SC, Campbell SL, et al. SLC7A11 expression is associated with seizures and predicts poor survival in patients with malignant glioma. *Sci Transl Med*. 2015;7:289ra86.
- 37. Polewski MD, Reveron-Thornton RF, Cherryholmes GA, Marinov GK, Cassady K, Aboody KS. Increased expression of system xc− in glioblastoma confers an altered metabolic state and temozolomide resistance. *Mol Cancer Res*. 2016;14:1229-1243. doi:[10.1158/1541-7786.](https://doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-16-0028) [MCR-16-0028](https://doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-16-0028)
- 38. Venkataramani V, Tanev DI, Strahle C, et al. Glutamatergic synaptic input to glioma cells drives brain tumour progression. *Nature*. 2019;573:532-538. doi:[10.1038/s41586-019-1564-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1564-y)
- 39. Venkatesh HS, Morishita W, Geraghty AC, et al. Electrical and synaptic integration of glioma into neural circuits. *Nature*. 2019;573:539- 545. doi[:10.1038/s41586-019-1563-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1563-y)
- 40. Zeng Q, Michael IP, Zhang P, et al. Synaptic proximity enables NMDAR signalling to promote brain metastasis. *Nature*. 2019;573:526-531. doi[:10.1038/s41586-019-1576-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1576-6)
- 41. Venkatesh HS, Johung TB, Caretti V, et al. Neuronal activity promotes glioma growth through neuroligin-3 secretion. *Cell*. 2015;161:803- 816. doi[:10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.012)
- 42. Osswald M, Jung E, Sahm F, et al. Brain tumour cells interconnect to a functional and resistant network. *Nature*. 2015;528:93-98. doi[:10.1038/nature16071](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16071)
- 43. Happold C, Gorlia T, Chinot O, et al. Does valproic acid or levetiracetam improve survival in glioblastoma? A pooled analysis of prospective clinical trials in newly diagnosed glioblastoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34:731-739. doi:[10.1200/JCO.2015.63.6563](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2015.63.6563)
- 44. Avila EK, Graber J. Seizures and epilepsy in cancer patients. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep*. 2010;10:60-67. doi[:10.1007/s11910-009-0080-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-009-0080-z)
- 45. Erturk Cetin O, Isler C, Uzan M, Ozkara C. Epilepsy-related brain tumors. *Seizure*. 2017;44:93-97. doi:[10.1016/j.seizure.2016.12.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2016.12.012)
- 46. Koekkoek JA, Kerkhof M, Dirven L, Heimans JJ, Reijneveld JC, Taphoorn MJ. Seizure outcome after radiotherapy and chemotherapy in low-grade glioma patients: a systematic review. *Neuro Oncol*. 2015;17:924-934. doi:[10.1093/neuonc/nov032](https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nov032)
- 47. Haggiagi A, Avila EK. Seizure response to temozolomide chemotherapy in patients with WHO grade II oligodendroglioma: a single-institution descriptive study. *Neurooncol Pract*. 2019;6:203-208. doi[:10.1093/nop/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nop/npy029) [npy029](https://doi.org/10.1093/nop/npy029)
- 48. Englot DJ, Han SJ, Berger MS, Barbaro NM, Chang EF. Extent of surgical resection predicts seizure freedom in low-grade temporal lobe brain tumors. *Neurosurgery*. 2012;70:921-927. doi[:10.1227/](https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0b013e31823c3a30) [NEU.0b013e31823c3a30](https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0b013e31823c3a30)
- 49. Englot DJ, Berger MS, Barbaro NM, Chang EF. Factors associated with seizure freedom in the surgical resection of glioneuronal tumors. *Epilepsia*. 2012;53:51-57. doi[:10.1111/j.1528-1167.2011.03269.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2011.03269.x)
- 50. Santos-Pinheiro F, Park M, Liu D, et al. Seizure burden pre- and postresection of low-grade gliomas as a predictor of tumor progression in low-grade gliomas. *Neurooncology Pract*. 2019;6:209-217. doi:[10.1093/nop/npy022](https://doi.org/10.1093/nop/npy022)
- 51. Chang EF, Christie C, Sullivan JE, et al. Seizure control outcomes after resection of dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumor in 50 patients. *J Neurosurg Pediatr*. 2010;5:123-130. doi[:10.3171/2009.8.PEDS09368](https://doi.org/10.3171/2009.8.PEDS09368)
- 52. Aguiar D, Pazo R, Duran I, et al. Toxic epidermal necrolysis in patients receiving anticonvulsants and cranial irradiation: a risk to consider. *J Neurooncol*. 2004;66:345-350. doi:[10.1023/B:NEON.00000](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:NEON.0000014538.31561.bc) [14538.31561.bc](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:NEON.0000014538.31561.bc)
- 53. Kandil AO, Dvorak T, Mignano J, Wu JK, Zhu JJ. Multifocal Stevens-Johnson syndrome after concurrent phenytoin and cranial and thoracic radiation treatment, a case report. *Radiat Oncol*. 2010;5:49. doi[:10.1186/1748-717X-5-49](https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-717X-5-49)
- 54. Sirven JI, Wingerchuk DM, Drazkowski JF, Lyons MK, Zimmerman RS. Seizure prophylaxis in patients with brain tumors: a meta-analysis. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2004;79:1489-1494. doi:[10.4065/79.12.1489](https://doi.org/10.4065/79.12.1489)
- 55. Tremont-Lukats IW, Ratilal BO, Armstrong T, Gilbert MR. Antiepileptic drugs for preventing seizures in people with brain tumors. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2008;2:CD004424. doi[:10.1002/14651](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004424.pub2) [858.CD004424.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004424.pub2)
- 56. Mikkelsen T, Paleologos NA, Robinson PD, et al. The role of prophylactic anticonvulsants in the management of brain metastases: a systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *J Neurooncol*. 2010;96:97-102. doi:[10.1007/s11060-009-0056-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-009-0056-5)
- 57. Goldlust SA, Hsu M, Lassman AB, Panageas KS, Avila EK. Seizure prophylaxis and melanoma brain metastases. *J Neurooncol*. 2012;108:109- 114. doi:[10.1007/s11060-012-0802-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-012-0802-y)
- 58. Iuchi T, Hasegawa Y, Kawasaki K, Sakaida T. Epilepsy in patients with gliomas: incidence and control of seizures. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2015;22:87- 91. doi:[10.1016/j.jocn.2014.05.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2014.05.036)
- 59. Baker DK, Relling MV, Pui CH, Christensen ML, Evans WE, Rodman JH. Increased teniposide clearance with concomitant anticonvulsant therapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 1992;10:311-315. doi:[10.1200/](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.1992.10.2.311) [JCO.1992.10.2.311](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.1992.10.2.311)
- 60. Relling MV, Pui CH, Sandlund JT, et al. Adverse effect of anticonvulsants on efficacy of chemotherapy for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Lancet*. 2000;356:285-290. doi:[10.1016/S0140-6736\(00\)02503-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)02503-4)
- 61. Sperling MR, Ko J. Seizures and brain tumors. *Semin Oncol*. 2006;33:333-341. doi[:10.1053/j.seminoncol.2006.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.seminoncol.2006.03.009)
- 62. Harden C, Tomson T, Gloss D, et al. Practice guideline summary: sudden unexpected death in epilepsy incidence rates and risk factors: report of the guideline Development, Dissemination, and Implementation Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Epilepsy Society. *Epilepsy Curr*. 2017;17:180-187. doi:[10.5698/1535-7511.17.3.180](https://doi.org/10.5698/1535-7511.17.3.180)
- 63. Hildebrand J, Lecaille C, Perennes J, Delattre JY. Epileptic seizures during follow-up of patients treated for primary brain tumors. *Neurology*. 2005;65:212-215. doi:[10.1212/01.wnl.00001](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000168903.09277.8f) [68903.09277.8f](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000168903.09277.8f)
- 64. Maschio M, Zarabla A, Maialetti A, et al. Quality of life, mood and seizure control in patients with brain tumor related epilepsy treated with lacosamide as add-on therapy: a prospective explorative study with a historical control group. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2017;73:83-89. doi:[10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2017.05.031) [yebeh.2017.05.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2017.05.031)
- 65. Gilliam FG, Fessler AJ, Baker G, Vahle V, Carter J, Attarian H. Systematic screening allows reduction of adverse antiepileptic drug effects: a randomized trial. *Neurology*. 2004;62:23-27. doi:[10.1212/](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.62.1.23) [WNL.62.1.23](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.62.1.23)
- 66. Van Breemen MS, Wilms EB, Vecht CJ. Seizure control in brain tumors. *Handb Clin Neurol*. 2012;104:381-389. doi[:10.1016/B978-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52138-5.00026-8) [0-444-52138-5.00026-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52138-5.00026-8)
- 67. Cramer JA, Mattson RH, Prevey ML, Scheyer RD, Ouellette VL. How often is medication taken as prescribed? A novel assessment technique. *JAMA*. 1989;261:3273-3277. Accessed October 4, 2019. [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2716163) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2716163](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2716163)
- 68. Kwan P, Schachter SC, Brodie MJ. Drug-resistant epilepsy. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365:919-926.
- 69. Nasr ZG, Paravattil B, Wilby KJ. Levetiracetam for seizure prevention in brain tumor patients: a systematic review. *J Neurooncol*. 2016;129:1- 13. doi:[10.1007/s11060-016-2146-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-016-2146-5)
- 70. Maschio M, Dinapoli L, Sperati F, et al. Levetiracetam monotherapy in patients with brain tumor-related epilepsy: seizure control, safety, and quality of life. *J Neurooncol*. 2011;104:205-214. doi:[10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-010-0460-x) [s11060-010-0460-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-010-0460-x)
- 71. Brodie MJ, Besag F, Ettinger AB, et al. Epilepsy, antiepileptic drugs, and aggression: an evidence-based review. *Pharmacol Rev*. 2016;68:563- 602. doi:[10.1124/pr.115.012021](https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.115.012021)
- 72. Ruda R, Pellerino A, Franchino F, et al. Lacosamide in patients with gliomas and uncontrolled seizures: results from an observational study. *J Neurooncol*. 2018;136:105-114. doi:[10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-017-2628-0) [s11060-017-2628-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-017-2628-0)
- 73. Toledo M, Molins A, Quintana M, et al. Outcome of cancerrelated seizures in patients treated with lacosamide. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 2018;137:67-75. doi[:10.1111/ane.12809](https://doi.org/10.1111/ane.12809)
- 74. Zendulka O, Dovrtelova G, Noskova K, et al. Cannabinoids and cytochrome P450 interactions. *Curr Drug Metab*. 2016;17:206-226. Accessed October 4, 2019. [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26651971) [26651971](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26651971)
- 75. Likar R, Nahler G. The use of cannabis in supportive care and treatment of brain tumor. *Neurooncology Pract*. 2017;4:151-160. doi:[10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nop/npw027) [nop/npw027](https://doi.org/10.1093/nop/npw027)
- 76. Warren PP, Bebin EM, Nabors LB, Szaflarski JP. The use of cannabidiol for seizure management in patients with brain tumor-related epilepsy. *Neurocase*. 2017;23(5-6):287-291. doi:[10.1080/13554794.](https://doi.org/10.1080/13554794.2017.1391294) [2017.1391294](https://doi.org/10.1080/13554794.2017.1391294)
- 77. Goonawardena J, Marshman LA, Drummond KJ. Brain tumour-associated status epilepticus. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2015;22:29-34. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2014.03.038) [jocn.2014.03.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2014.03.038)
- 78. Pace A, Villani V, Di Lorenzo C, et al. Epilepsy in the end-of-life phase in patients with high-grade gliomas. *J Neurooncol*. 2013;111:83-86. doi[:10.1007/s11060-012-0993-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-012-0993-2)
- 79. Koekkoek JAF, Dirven L, Reijneveld JC, et al. Epilepsy in the end of life phase of brain tumor patients: a systematic review. *Neurooncology Pract*. 2014;1:134-140. doi:[10.1093/nop/npu018](https://doi.org/10.1093/nop/npu018)
- 80. Koekkoek JA, Postma TJ, Heimans JJ, Reijneveld JC, Taphoorn MJ. Antiepileptic drug treatment in the end-of-life phase of glioma patients: a feasibility study. *Support Care Cancer*. 2016;24:1633-1638. doi[:10.1007/s00520-015-2930-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-015-2930-3)
- 81. Patel KS, Labar DR, Gordon CM, Hassnain KH, Schwartz TH. Efficacy of vagus nerve stimulation as a treatment for medically intractable epilepsy in brain tumor patients. A case-controlled study using the VNS therapy Patient Outcome Registry. *Seizure*. 2013;22:627-633. doi[:10.1016/j.seizure.2013.04.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2013.04.017)
- 82. Patel KS, Moussazadeh N, Doyle WK, Labar DR, Schwartz TH. Efficacy of vagus nerve stimulation in brain tumor-associated intractable epilepsy and the importance of tumor stability. *J Neurosurg*. 2013;119:520-525. doi:[10.3171/2013.3.JNS121890](https://doi.org/10.3171/2013.3.JNS121890)
- 83. Freeman JM, Kossoff EH. Ketosis and the ketogenic diet, 2010: advances in treating epilepsy and other disorders. *Adv Pediatr*. 2010; 57:315-329. doi[:10.1016/j.yapd.2010.08.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yapd.2010.08.003)
- 84. Ye F, Li XJ, Jiang WL, Sun HB, Liu J. Efficacy of and patient compliance with a ketogenic diet in adults with intractable epilepsy: a metaanalysis. *J Clin Neurol*. 2015;11:26-31. doi:[10.3988/jcn.2015.11.1.26](https://doi.org/10.3988/jcn.2015.11.1.26)
- 85. Noorlag L, De Vos FY, Kok A, et al. Treatment of malignant gliomas with ketogenic or caloric restricted diets: a systematic review of preclinical and early clinical studies. *Clin Nutr*. 2019;38:1986-1994. doi:[10.1016/j.clnu.2018.10.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2018.10.024)
- 86. Mahler B, Carlsson S, Andersson T, Tomson T. Risk for injuries and accidents in epilepsy. *Neurology*. 2018;90:e779-e789. doi[:10.1212/WNL.](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000005035) [0000000000005035](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000005035)
- 87. Kang JY, Mintzer S. Driving and epilepsy: a review of important issues. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep*. 2016;16:80. doi[:10.1007/s11910-016-0677-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-016-0677-y)
- 88. Hermann BP, Seidenberg M, Bell B. Psychiatric comorbidity in chronic epilepsy: identification, consequences, and treatment of major depression. *Epilepsia*. 2000;41(suppl 2):S31-S41. doi:[10.1111/j.1528-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1157.2000.tb01522.x) [1157.2000.tb01522.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1157.2000.tb01522.x)
- 89. Kanner AM. Most antidepressant drugs are safe for patients with epilepsy at therapeutic doses: a review of the evidence. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2016;61:282-286. doi[:10.1016/j.yebeh.2016.03.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2016.03.022)