

Letter to the Editor

Factors influencing survival in patients with glioblastoma: A risk assessment

I read with great interest the article by Shieh et al., who evaluated factors associated with the survival in 48 patients with glioblastoma (GBM) by Cox regression analysis.¹ Adjusted hazard ratio (HR) (95% confidence interval [CI]) of multiple GBMs against single GBM for mortality was 3.57 (1.26–10.13). In addition, HR (95% C) of radiotherapy for mortality was 0.47 (0.23–0.96). I have two queris about their study.

First, the authors handled a small number of patients and prognostic events and described it as a study limitation. Peduzzi et al. evaluated the effect of events per independent variable (EPV) in Cox regression analysis, and EPV values less than 10 related to unstable estimates.^{2,3} The authors presented wide ranges of 95% CIs in some independent variables and caution should be paid for keeping enough number of events.

Second, Marton et al. conducted a prospective study to determine factors influencing survival time in patients with GBM.⁴ HRs (95% Cls) of younger age at presentation (<50 years) and MGMT promoter methylation for mortality in very long-term survivors were 0.36 (0.21–0.67) and 0.57 (0.34–0.96), respectively. In addition, HR (95% Cl) of the combination of younger age, Ki-67 < 10%, and the coexistence of telomerase reverse transcriptase gene promoter not mutated, O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) promoter methylated, and isocitrate dehydrogenase genes mutated for mortality was 0.10 (0.01–0.74). This report presented evidence that many factors were additionally contributed to subsequent prognosis in patients with GBM, and mechanism of progression in multiple GBMs should be verified by further studies.

Regarding MGMT promoter methylation, Zhao et al. conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate this genetic factor for prognosis in patients with GBM.⁵ The summarized HRs (95% CI) of methylated-positive patients for overall mortality and for disease progression/mortality were 0.50 (0.35-0.66) and 0.56 (0.32-0.80), respectively. They used standardized pyrosequencing assay for the evaluation and recognized MGMT promoter methylation as an important

prognostic biomarker. I think that genetic factors might closely relate to the progressions and mortality risk of multiple GBMs should be evaluated in combination with genetic factors.

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest in this study.

References

- Shieh LT, Guo HR, Chang YK, Lu NM, Ho SY. Clinical implications of multiple glioblastomas: an analysis of prognostic factors and survival to distinguish from their single counterparts. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2020;119:728–34.
- Concato J, Peduzzi P, Holford TR, Feinstein AR. Importance of events per independent variable in proportional hazards analysis. I. Background, goals, and general strategy. J Clin Epidemiol 1995;48:1495–501.
- Peduzzi P, Concato J, Feinstein AR, Holford TR. Importance of events per independent variable in proportional hazards regression analysis. II. Accuracy and precision of regression estimates. J Clin Epidemiol 1995;48:1503–10.
- Marton E, Giordan E, Siddi F, Curzi C, Canova G, Scarpa B, et al. Over ten years overall survival in glioblastoma: a different disease? J Neurol Sci 2020;408:116518.
- Zhao H, Wang S, Song C, Zha Y, Li L. The prognostic value of MGMT promoter status by pyrosequencing assay for glioblastoma patients' survival: a meta-analysis. World J Surg Oncol 2016;14: 261.

Tomoyuiki Kawada

Department of Hygiene and Public Health, Nippon Medical School, 1-1-5 Sendagi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-8602, Japan. Fax: +81 3 5685 3065

E-mail address: kawada@nms.ac.jp

21 July 2020

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2020.08.044

0929-6646/Copyright © 2020, Formosan Medical Association. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article as: Kawada T, Factors influencing survival in patients with glioblastoma: A risk assessment, Journal of the Formosan Medical Association, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2020.08.044