Prolonged Complete Response With Combined Dabrafenib and Trametinib After BRAF Inhibitor Failure in BRAF-Mutant Glioblastoma

Marina Kushnirsky, MD¹; Lynn G. Feun, MD^{2,3}; Sakir H. Gultekin, MD⁴; and Macarena I. de la Fuente, MD^{1,2}

INTRODUCTION

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common malignant primary brain tumor.¹ Despite aggressive multimodality treatment, median overall survival (OS) is 14 to 18 months.² One potential novel therapeutic target is V-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1 (*BRAF*), which is mutated in 1% to 2% of GBM,³⁻⁶ as well as other primary brain tumors including pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma (PXA) and ganglioglioma. BRAF is among the most commonly mutated kinases in human cancer, particularly in melanoma.⁷

Typically regulated by extracellular factors, mutant BRAF results in uncontrolled cellular growth via the MEK-ERK extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathway.⁶ Although oral inhibitors of the oncogenic BRAF^{v600} kinase have demonstrated some efficacy in gliomas, several mechanisms mediating resistance to BRAF inhibitors (BRAFis) are described. Moreover, this therapy is associated with an increased risk of hyperproliferative skin lesions such as squamous cell carcinoma.⁸ Combining BRAF with MEK inhibitors mitigates these effects and improves progression-free survival and OS compared with BRAFi monotherapy in metastatic melanoma.9-12 Furthermore, studies demonstrate that the use of BRAFi in combination with MEK inhibitors prevents the development of resistance because of the reactivation of the MAPK pathway while simultaneously decreasing hyperproliferative skin lesions.8

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Appendix

Author affiliations and support information (if applicable) appear at the end of this article.

Accepted on December 6, 2019 and published at ascopubs.org/journal/ po on February 3, 2020: D0I https://doi. org/10.1200/P0.19. 00272 Although there is strong evidence for the use of BRAF and MEK inhibitors in other malignancies, there are few cases in the literature of primary brain tumors treated with this combination, mainly in pediatric glioma.¹³⁻¹⁵ We present a case of a patient with a recurrent BRAF-mutant GBM, who had a clinical and radiographic response when treated with BRAFi dabrafenib in combination with MEK inhibitor trametinib (D+T), after failing treatment with BRAFi monotherapy.

CLINICAL CASE

The patient is a 44-year-old man who initially presented with headaches and seizures. Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a left temporal,

peripherally enhancing lesion (Fig 1). The patient underwent gross total resection, and pathology showed *MGMT* promoter unmethylated, IDH wild-type, ATRX retained, WHO grade 4 GBM. Tumor histology displayed a cellular astrocytic neoplasm, nuclear atypia, mitoses, and vascular proliferation (Fig 2). No epithelioid or rhabdoid morphology were observed. A nextgeneration sequence-based assay (FoundationOne) showed that the tumor cells were positive for BRAF V600E mutation, CDKN2A/B loss, and CHEK2 T367fs*15 mutation. He had radiation therapy with concurrent temozolomide (75 mg/m² once per day) for a total of 59.4 Gy in 33 fractions, followed by 9 adjuvant temozolomide cycles (first cycle at 150 mg/m² followed by 8 cycles at 200 mg/m² once per day on a 5-days-on-23-days-off schedule). He developed asymptomatic radiographic progression on temozolomide and subsequently failed carboplatin (area under the curve, 5) after 2 cycles.

The patient had a second gross total resection 17 months after his diagnosis and was enrolled into clinical trial NCT01808820 of autologous dendritic cell vaccine for recurrent GBM. Pathology showed high cellular malignant astrocytoma with the same pathologic features as the initial tumor, together with extensive necrosis (Fig 3). The same next-generation sequence platform was used in this second sample, and the tumor cells again were positive for BRAF V600E mutation, CDKN2A/B loss, and CHEK2 T367fs*15 mutation. Variants of unknown significance are listed in Appendix Table A1. The patient completed treatment on trial protocol, and after 10 months, he presented with clinical and radiographic progression. He was enrolled into a clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02428712) of BRAFi (PLX8394) in combination with cobicistat, achieving radiographic partial response and complete resolution of his symptoms for 7 months. He then developed severe headaches and right-sided weakness, and MRI showed multifocal radiographic progression. The case was discussed at the Precision Medicine Tumor Board, and the patient was administered D+T (dabrafenib 150 mg 2 times a day and trametinib 2 mg once per day), achieving, on his first on-treatment assessment, complete resolution





FIG 1. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), axial postgadolinium T1-weighted imaging. (A) Baseline brain MRI images, before starting dabrafenib and trametinib (D+T), demonstrated progression of disease into the surgical cavity, as well as new lesions in the left insular region and midbrain. (B) Brain MRI images at 1 month after initiation of D+T, confirming > 50% decrease of all measurable enhancing lesions. (C) Brain MRI images at 7 months of D+T, demonstrating disappearance of all enhancing disease.

of symptoms and radiographic partial response. He tolerated treatment well, although after 2 months, he developed acute-onset right-sided weakness and had a left internal capsule ischemic stroke of unclear etiology. The patient was able to continue treatment with D+T. After 11 months of treatment, the patient exhibits complete response on MRI, and has no significant toxicities. His stroke-related right hemiparesis continues to improve as well.

DISCUSSION

The BRAF protein is an intermediary in the RAS-RAF pathway. After a ligand-mediated receptor tyrosine kinase is triggered by extracellular growth factors, it activates RAS, which initiates BRAF-mediated activation of MEK and ERK, causing transcription of factors for cell proliferation.^{6,7} The BRAF^{v600} mutation results in constitutive activation of the MEK-ERK pathway and uncontrolled cell division. BRAF mutations are drivers of oncogenesis in approximately 6% of human cancer, including melanoma (40%-80% BRAF mutation prevalence⁴), thyroid cancers (up to 35%, depending on histology¹⁶), colorectal cancers (7%-10%¹⁷), and non-small cell lung cancer (3%-5%¹⁸).

BRAF^{v600} mutations have been identified in a variety of primary brain tumors, but they are uncommon in GBM,¹⁹

with the exception of the epithelioid GBM; Korshunov et al²⁰ found that 56% of epithelioid GBMs carry BRAF mutations. Indeed, anaplastic PXA and epithelioid GBM are postulated by some groups to be similar, if not the same entity, because there are no clear histopathologic or molecular defining features to differentiate them.²¹ Generally, epithelioid GBMs contain epithelioid or melanoma-like cells with loose cohesion, abundant cytoplasm, and eccentric nuclei.²⁰ Our patient, however, had no features of PXA or epithelioid GBM. Tissue from the patient's first resection (Fig 2) shows a cellular astrocytic neoplasm, nuclear atypia, mitoses, and vascular proliferation. The second resection (Fig 3) shows a similarly high cellular malignant astrocytoma with the same features, together with extensive necrosis. In both resections, epithelioid or rhabdoid morphology is lacking. In addition, there are no features of a PXA, including Rosenthal fibers, eosinophilic granular bodies, collagen deposits, giant nuclei, or lipidized tumor cells.

The rarity of this mutation in adult primary brain tumors has limited the opportunity to run disease-specific studies. Patients are usually enrolled in "basket" clinical trials that include a variety of histologic subtypes within brain tumor cohorts, making it challenging to apply the results to 1 specific histologic subtype. Moreover, for radiographic





FIG 2. Histologic features at diagnosis. (A) Hematoxylin and eosin stain (40x magnification). (B) Hematoxylin and eosin stain (20x magnification).Tumor sample demonstrating an infiltrative cellular astrocytic neoplasm with fibrillary background, nuclear atypia, mitoses, and vascular proliferation; consistent with glioblastoma, WHO grade 4.

assessment, these trials often use RECIST, which is designed for the assessment of solid tumors, rather than a dedicated brain tumor response criteria, such as RANO. A recently published study by Kaley et al²² reported on 24 patients with gliomas treated with vemurafenib mono-therapy, including 6 patients with GBM. In the patients with GBM, the best response was stable disease (SD) in 3 patients, with 2 patients experiencing progression at 3.6 months and 3.7 months, and 1 patient with prolonged SD until 12.9 months. Our patient was treated at third recurrence with a novel RAF inhibitor, PLX8394. A recent publication suggested that this drug, unlike current RAF inhibitors that are monomer selective, can disrupt BRAF homo- and BRAF-CRAF heterodimers.²³

In treating BRAF-mutant tumors, dual-targeted therapy with BRAF and MEK inhibition has several advantages over BRAFi monotherapy.^{11,24-26} First, resistance develops rapidly with BRAFi alone, resulting in progression in 6-8 months because of reactivation of the MAPK pathway.^{9,11,15} Second, BRAFi monotherapy causes significant skin toxicity. Peuvrel et al²⁷ reported that up to 90% of patients in their study had cutaneous adverse events. The most serious toxicities, occurring in 25% of their patients, included drug rash with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms syndrome, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and diffuse maculopapular rash.²⁶ In addition, BRAFi alone was associated with keratosis pilaris,

FIG 3. Histologic features at tumor recurrence (second resection). (A) Glial fibrillary acidic protein immunohistochemical stain (20× magnification). (B) Hematoxylin and eosin image (40× magnification). Second resection shows a similarly high cellular malignant astrocytoma with nuclear atypia, focal gemistocytic morphology, abundant fibrillary processes, vascular proliferation, and mitoses.

photosensitivity, and cutaneous carcinomas.²⁷ Robinson et al²⁸ describe the use of BRAFi monotherapy for the treatment of a 12-year-old patient with GBM, which resulted in complete response radiographically. Using a downstream MEK inhibitor such as trametinib mitigates the dermatologic effects of BRAFi and prevents the development of resistance.^{8,9,27} Trametinib, as a single agent, improves progression-free survival and OS in BRAFi-naïve patients with BRAF mutant metastatic melanoma.⁹ However, minimal clinical activity was observed with sequential trametinib monotherapy in patients treated previously with BRAFi.²⁹

D+T for the treatment of primary brain tumors has been described in few case reports (Table 1). Brown et al¹³ report on 2 patients with anaplastic PXA. Notably, one of these patients was treated previously with dabrafenib single agent for 18 months, at which point the treatment was discontinued and the patient commenced radiographic surveillance. The patient had radiographic progression 2 months later and was administered D+T. Johanns et al¹⁴ describe 2 adults, both of whom had epithelioid/anaplastic PXA histologic subtypes. One patient was started on D+T, with clinical and radiographic response, but had progression 11 months after initiating treatment. The second patient was treated with D+T and bevacizumab, achieving clinical and radiographic response, but presented with tumor recurrence 3 months later because of medication

First Author	Age (years), Sex	Treatment	Pathology	Outcome	Adverse Events
Brown ¹³	48, F	Dabrafenib 150 mg 2 times per day, trametinib 2 mg once per day	Anaplastic PXA	Decrease in tumor bulk, no progression × 8 months	Rash, nausea
Brown ¹³	21, F	Dabrafenib 150 mg 2 times per day, trametinib 2 mg once per day	Anaplastic PXA	Near-complete resolution of tumor at 3 months	Fatigue, plantar hypokeratosis, acneiform rash
Johanns ¹⁴	28, F	Dabrafenib 150 mg 2 times per day, trametinib 2 mg once per day	Epithelioid GBM with LM spread	Resolution of LM enhancement, no tumor progression \times 11 months, functional improvement	Not specified
Johanns ¹⁴	24, M	Bevacizumab plus dabrafenib 150 mg 2 times per day, and trametinib 2 mg once per day	PXA with epithelioid GBM features	Functional improvement, tumor regression on MRI at 3 months	Not specified
Toll ¹⁵	13, M	Dabrafenib 2.25 mg/kg 2 times per day, and trametinib 2 mg once per day	Anaplastic astroblastoma	Disease freedom for 20 months	Mild fatigue
Toll ¹⁵	12, F	Dabrafenib 2.25 mg/kg 2 times per day, and trametinib 2 mg once per day	Ependymoma, progressed to high-grade glioma	Stable disease for 32 months	No adverse effects

TABLE 1. Literature Review of BRAF Mutant Primary Brain Tumors Treated With Combination BRAF and MEK Inhibitor Therapy, Including Treatment Response and Reported Adverse Events

Abbreviations: F, female; GBM, glioblastoma; LM, leptomeningeal; M, male; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PXA, pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma.

No adverse effects

85% decrease in tumor size, stable

Anaplastic ganglioglioma

Dabrafenib 2.25 mg/kg 2 times per day, and trametinib 2 mg once per day added 1 month later

ш 4

Toll¹⁵

added 2 months after

disease for 32 months

nonadherence. Toll et al¹⁵ describe 3 pediatric gliomas. One anaplastic astroblastoma had complete radiographic response for 20 months, after which the patient developed disseminated disease and expired. Two others, with an ependymoma and an anaplastic ganglioglioma, had SD for 32 months and 23 months, respectively. Our patient lacks epithelioid/PXA histologic features, making him distinct from the described cases. However, these reports demonstrate

AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Neurology, University of Miami, Miami, FL ²Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Miami, Miami, FL ³Department of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, FL ⁴Department of Pathology, University of Miami, Miami, FL

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Macarena I. de la Fuente, MD, 1120 NW 14th St, Miami, FL 33136; e-mail: mdelafuente@med.miami.edu.

SUPPORT

Supported by grants from the American Cancer Society (M.I.d.I.F.) and the Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center (M.I.d.I.F.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: Marina Kushnirsky, Macarena I. de la Fuente Collection and assembly of data: Marina Kushnirsky Data analysis and interpretation: Lynn G. Feun, Sakir H. Gultekin Manuscript writing: All authors Final approval of manuscript: All authors Accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors efficacy of D+T in primary brain tumors. To our knowledge, ours is the first report of clinical and radiographic response to D+T after BRAFi failure in BRAF-mutated GBM. Several ongoing trials (ClinicalTrials.gov identifiers: NCT01677741, NCT01748149, NCT02124772, NCT02684058, and NCT02285439), will elucidate the precise role of these drugs as single agents and in combination in pediatric and adult brain tumors.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated unless otherwise noted. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs. org/po/author-center.

Open Payments is a public database containing information reported by companies about payments made to US-licensed physicians (Open Payments).

Lynn G. Feun

Research Funding: Merck Sharp & Dohme (Inst)

Macarena I. de la Fuente

Consulting or Advisory Role: Agios, Puma Biotechnology, Foundation Medicine, FORMA Therapeutics

No other potential conflicts of interest were reported.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Truitt G, et al: CBTRUS statistical report: Primary brain and other central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2011-2015. Neuro-oncol 20:iv1-iv86, 2018
- 2. Thomas AA, Brennan CW, DeAngelis LM, et al: Emerging therapies for glioblastoma. JAMA Neurol 71:1437-1444, 2014
- 3. Brennan CW, Verhaak RGW, McKenna A, et al: The somatic genomic landscape of glioblastoma. Cell 155:462-477, 2013 [Erratum: Cell 157:753, 2014]
- Schindler G, Capper D, Meyer J, et al: Analysis of BRAF V600E mutation in 1,320 nervous system tumors reveals high mutation frequencies in pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma, ganglioglioma and extra-cerebellar pilocytic astrocytoma. Acta Neuropathol 121:397-405, 2011
- 5. Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, et al: Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. Nature 417:949-954, 2002
- 6. Maraka S, Janku F: BRAF alterations in primary brain tumors. Discov Med 26:51-60, 2018
- Dankner M, Rose AAN, Rajkumar S, et al: Classifying BRAF alterations in cancer: New rational therapeutic strategies for actionable mutations. Oncogene 37:3183-3199, 2018
- Carlos G, Anforth R, Clements A, et al: Cutaneous toxic effects of BRAF inhibitors alone and in combination with MEK inhibitors for metastatic melanoma. JAMA Dermatol 151:1103-1109, 2015
- 9. Flaherty KT, Infante JR, Daud A, et al: Combined BRAF and MEK inhibition in melanoma with BRAF V600 mutations. N Engl J Med 367:1694-1703, 2012
- Johnson DB, Flaherty KT, Weber JS, et al: Combined BRAF (dabrafenib) and MEK inhibition (trametinib) in patients with BRAFV600-mutant melanoma experiencing progression with single-agent BRAF inhibitor. J Clin Oncol 32:3697-3704, 2014
- 11. Long GV, Flaherty KT, Stroyakovskiy D, et al: Dabrafenib plus trametinib versus dabrafenib monotherapy in patients with metastatic BRAF V600E/K-mutant melanoma: Long-term survival and safety analysis of a phase 3 study. Ann Oncol 28:1631-1639, 2017
- 12. Robert C, Karaszewska B, Schachter J, et al: Improved overall survival in melanoma with combined dabrafenib and trametinib. N Engl J Med 372:30-39, 2015
- 13. Brown NF, Carter T, Kitchen N, et al: Dabrafenib and trametinib in BRAFV600E mutated glioma. CNS Oncol 6:291-296, 2017
- 14. Johanns TM, Ferguson CJ, Grierson PM, et al: Rapid clinical and radiographic response with combined dabrafenib and trametinib in adults with *BRAF*-mutated high-grade glioma. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 16:4-10, 2018
- Toll SA, Tran HN, Cotter J, et al: Sustained response of three pediatric BRAF^{vGOOE} mutated high-grade gliomas to combined BRAF and MEK inhibitor therapy. Oncotarget 10:551-557, 2019
- 16. Kimura ET, Nikiforova MN, Zhu Z, et al: High prevalence of BRAF mutations in thyroid cancer: Genetic evidence for constitutive activation of the RET/PTC-RAS-BRAF signaling pathway in papillary thyroid carcinoma. Cancer Res 63:1454-1457, 2003
- Baldus SE, Schaefer K-L, Engers R, et al: Prevalence and heterogeneity of KRAS, BRAF, and PIK3CA mutations in primary colorectal adenocarcinomas and their corresponding metastases. Clin Cancer Res 16:790-799, 2010

48 © 2020 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

- 18. Brose MS, Volpe P, Feldman M, et al: BRAF and RAS mutations in human lung cancer and melanoma. Cancer Res 62:6997-7000, 2002
- Knobbe CB, Reifenberger J, Reifenberger G: Mutation analysis of the Ras pathway genes NRAS, HRAS, KRAS and BRAF in glioblastomas. Acta Neuropathol 108:467-470, 2004
- 20. Korshunov A, Chavez L, Sharma T, et al: Epithelioid glioblastomas stratify into established diagnostic subsets upon integrated molecular analysis. Brain Pathol 28:656-662, 2018
- Alexandrescu S, Korshunov A, Lai SH, et al: Epithelioid glioblastomas and anaplastic epithelioid pleomorphic xanthoastrocytomas–same entity or first cousins? Brain Pathol 26:215-223, 2016
- Kaley T, Touat M, Subbiah V, et al: BRAF inhibition in BRAF^{V600}-mutant gliomas: Results from the VE-BASKET study. J Clin Oncol [epub ahead of print on October 23, 2018]
- Yao Z, Gao Y, Su W, et al: RAF inhibitor PLX8394 selectively disrupts BRAF dimers and RAS-independent BRAF-mutant-driven signaling. Nat Med 25:284-291, 2019
- Long GV, Stroyakovskiy D, Gogas H, et al: Dabrafenib and trametinib versus dabrafenib and placebo for Val600 BRAF-mutant melanoma: A multicentre, double-blind, phase 3 randomised controlled trial. Lancet 386:444-451, 2015
- 25. Larkin J, Ascierto PA, Dréno B, et al: Combined vemurafenib and cobimetinib in BRAF-mutated melanoma. N Engl J Med 371:1867-1876, 2014
- 26. Ascierto PA, McArthur GA, Dréno B, et al: Cobimetinib combined with vemurafenib in advanced BRAF(V600)-mutant melanoma (coBRIM): Updated efficacy results from a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 17:1248-1260, 2016
- 27. Peuvrel L, Quéreux G, Saint-Jean M, et al: Profile of vemurafenib-induced severe skin toxicities. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 30:250-257, 2016
- Robinson GW, Orr BA, Gajjar A: Complete clinical regression of a BRAF V600E-mutant pediatric glioblastoma multiforme after BRAF inhibitor therapy. BMC Cancer 14:258, 2014
- Kim KB, Kefford R, Pavlick AC, et al: Phase II study of the MEK1/MEK2 inhibitor trametinib in patients with metastatic BRAF-mutant cutaneous melanoma previously treated with or without a BRAF inhibitor. J Clin Oncol 31:482-489, 2013

	ARID1B	BLM	BRCA1	CBL	FAT1	MED12	ZNF703
First sample (initial diagnosis)	G168D	V4A	R1203Q	-	E4404_T4410 > A	A78T	A401_H402ins PTHLGGSSCSTCSA
Second sample (recurrence)	G168D	V4A	R1203Q	W408S	E4404_T4410 > A	A78T	A401_H402ins PTHLGGSSCSTCSA

TABLE A1. Variants of Unknown Significance in Both Tumor Samples Analyzed

50 © 2020 by American Society of Clinical Oncology