DOI: 10.7759/cureus.19390

# GammaTile for Gliomas: A Single-Center Case Series

Review began 11/02/2021 Review ended 11/07/2021 Published 11/09/2021

© Copyright 2021

Budnick et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License Cc-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Hailey C. Budnick  $^1$  , Angela M. Richardson  $^1$  , Kevin Shiue  $^2$  , Gordon Watson  $^2$  , Sook K. Ng  $^2$  , Yi Le  $^2$  , Mitesh V. Shah  $^1$ 

1. Neurological Surgery, Indiana University Health, Indianapolis, USA 2. Radiation Oncology, Indiana University (IU) Health Simon Cancer Center, Indianapolis, USA

Corresponding author: Angela M. Richardson, angmrich@iu.edu

## **Abstract**

GammaTile® (GT Medical Technologies, Tempe, Arizona) is a surgically targeted radiation source, approved by FDA for brachytherapy in primary and secondary brain neoplasms. Each GammaTile is composed of a collagen sponge with four seeds of cesium 131 and is particularly useful in recurrent tumors. We report our early experience in seven patients with recurrent gliomas to assess this type of brachytherapy with particular attention to ease of use, complication, and surgical planning.

This study represents a retrospective chart review of surgical use and early clinical outcomes of GammaTile in recurrent gliomas. The number of tiles was planned using pre-operative imaging and dosimetry was planned based on post-operative imaging. Patients were followed during their hospital stay and were followed up after discharge. Parameters such as case length, resection extent, complication, ICU length of stay (LOS), hospital LOS, pre-operative Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), immediate post-operative GCS, post-operative imaging findings, recurrence at follow-up, length of follow-up, and dosimetry were collected in a retrospective manner.

Seven patients were identified that met the inclusion criteria. Two patients were diagnosed with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), one lower-grade glioma that recurred as a GBM, one GBM that recurred as a gliosarcoma, and two recurrent oligodendrogliomas. We found that operation time, ICU LOS, hospital LOS, pre- and post-operative GCS, and post-operative complications were within the expected ranges for tumor resection patients. Further, dosimetry data suggests that six out of seven patients received adequate radiation coverage, with the seventh having implantation limitations due to nearby organs at risk. We report no postoperative complications that can be attributed to the GammaTiles themselves.

In our cohort, we report seven cases where GammaTiles were implanted in recurrent gliomas. No implant-related post-operative complications were identified. This early data suggests that GammaTile can be a safe form of brachytherapy in recurrent gliomas.

Categories: Radiation Oncology, Neurosurgery

Keywords: cesium 131, surgically implanted radiotherapy, recurrent, glioblastoma, gliomas, brachytherapy, gamma tile

#### Introduction

Gliomas are among the most common primary brain tumors and are the most common malignant primary brain tumor [1]. There are many subtypes of glioma, now categorized by a combination of histopathologic findings and molecular genetics [2]. These subtypes are divided into four grades with grade three (i.e. anaplastic astrocytoma, anaplastic oligodendroglioma) and four (glioblastoma) considered high-grade tumors. Despite cytoreduction followed by chemotherapy and radiation, life expectancy is limited and recurrence is quite common [3]. The neurosurgical and medical communities have studied how best to care for these patients in order to extend their lives and maximize their quality of life. The most consistent prognostic factors in glioma resection are age, extent of resection, and Karnofsky performance status [4,5].

Numerous clinical trials have been performed to help prolong survival, many with marginal success. The Stupp protocol has been adopted via level I evidence of increased survival as the primary post-surgical treatment of glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). This protocol includes external beam radiotherapy in the form of fractionated focal irradiation in daily fractions of 2Gy given five days per week for six weeks, for a total of 60Gy. The radiation is accompanied by concurrent and post-radiation temozolomide [6]. The post-surgical adjuvant treatment regimen for high-grade gliomas, especially recurrent tumors, has remained controversial. The most important treatment for high-grade gliomas is fractionated radiotherapy, typically at a dose of 54Gy to 60Gy [7]. It has been observed that survival is shorter in elderly patients with glioblastoma, on average seven to nine months [8,9]. It has been widely accepted that elderly patients have higher complication rates from higher radiation doses.

The role of radiation in the treatment of high-grade gliomas has been well-established. Following surgery, radiotherapy is typically delayed several weeks in order to allow for wound healing. Given the aggressive nature of recurrent tumors, this delay may allow for interval disease progression [10]. There is no consensus as to the appropriate modality of radiation in recurrent gliomas. On the one hand, external beam radiation can cover a large surface area making it attractive for invasive high-grade lesions in which the tumor cells can be expected to have infiltrated beyond the contrast-enhancing portion on MRI. However, the morbidity associated with external beam radiation, and significantly more so whole brain radiation, can be significant. Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) is an attractive alternative that allows for focused radiotherapy to a small, targeted area. This is ideal for small lower-grade lesions and small recurrent or residual tumors following resection. However, it is thought to be inadequate for complete cellular control in invasive histology, such as that found in high-grade tumors [11]. SRS may be used in recurrent GBM since those patients routinely receive fractionated radiotherapy as part of the Stupp protocol; due to its focused nature, SRS may mitigate the toxicity and subsequent radiation necrosis that can be seen with repeat fractionated radiotherapy to a wider field. In many patients, initial radiotherapy delivers large enough doses that treatment with further radiation is precluded in order to prevent radiation brain injury [12]. Because of these limitations, repeat radiotherapy in recurrent gliomas is typically reserved for patients with tumors in non-eloquent areas, aggressive lesions, or in palliative cases in whom life expectancy is presumed to be very short [13]. When recurrent radiotherapy is pursued, in order to ensure that all parenchymal invasion is included, a wider margin is usually required which increases the risk to surrounding tissue [14].

Brachytherapy has been introduced as an alternative to external beam radiotherapy. One attractive feature of brachytherapy is that it is performed at the time of tumor resection, with no delay in radiation delivery to the tumor bed. Brachytherapy may be given in the form of yttrium, iodine, iridium, or cesium isotopes contained in an implantable matrix allowing for focal irradiation. This allows for both surgical resection and radiotherapy to be completed in one session, which has multiple advantages including immediate radiotherapy to these patients, logistical convenience without repeated trips to a center for radiation treatment, less radiation damage to surrounding tissue, and the ability to use higher targeted doses of radiation along the tumor bed [15]. Limiting radiation to surrounding tissues may be advantageous in reducing rates of radiation necrosis and in protecting the skin overlying the surgical site from radiationinduced damage. Placing radioisotopes encased in seeds along the areas of concern under direct visualization may be more accurate than immediate post-operative imaging in determining the areas most in need of additional treatments [16,17]. The use of brachytherapy has been established as part of the care regimen at many institutions and has been shown to be a safe and effective tool both in initial and reoperations [15,18,19,20,21]. While the success of multiple trials of brachytherapy with radioisotope seeds has been described, one limitation has been the occurrence of radiation necrosis associated with inconsistent radiation therapy to the tumor bed. Since the seeds are placed directly onto the brain surface, the area of the brain in direct contact with the seeds may receive supratherapeutic doses and the remaining brain may receive subtherapeutic doses [22].

To alleviate these difficulties, GammaTile® (GT Medical Technologies, Tempe, Arizona) is a permanently implanted collagen sponge with four Cesium-131 titanium seeds. These tiles are placed along the resection bed of malignant tumors. The seeds are embedded within these sponges with specific geometry. This allows for precise spacing of the radiation sources with predictable dosimetry and provides a stable substrate so that the sources do not shift following implantation. The collagen matrix provides a barrier to prevent unintended radiation injury from direct contact with the brain. These tiles are permanently implanted and have a short half-life (9.7 days) and with a dose of 80-120Gy in the first few millimeters of the contacted brain but without the supratherapeutic dose of over 2000Gy that has been seen in traditional brachytherapy seeds [22]. This allows for a therapeutic dose of radiation to be delivered to the area of concern within minutes of maximal safe resection without the harmful effects of supratherapeutic dosing including radiation necrosis as well as minimizing sub-optimal treatments to areas not in contact with the seeds. GammaTile is FDA approved first for recurrent intracranial neoplasms and recently expanded to use during the initial tumor resection.

# **Case Presentation**

#### **Methods**

Patient Selection And Data Collection

Following IRB approval, all patients who underwent craniotomy for glioma resection with GammaTile placement at the time of surgery at our institution were identified. Demographic, clinical, and tumor data including age, sex, pre-operative Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), post-operative GCS, length of surgery (LOS), ICU LOS, hospital LOS, post-operative disposition, length of follow-up, and presence of post-operative recurrence or radiation necrosis were extracted from the medical record. The presence of recurrence and need for re-resection was determined by multidisciplinary tumor board composed of neurosurgery, neuro-oncology, radiology, and radiation-oncology.

Pre-operative Planning

All patients underwent the standard pre-operative imaging and diagnostic workup and underwent maximal safe resection by a single surgeon at Indiana University. Pre-operative planning with the surgeon, radiation oncologists, and physicists was performed to decide how many GammaTiles to lay along the resection bed. The tumor cavity was expected to shrink, and this was taken into account in the planning process.

Surgical Treatment

All patients had the tiles placed by the primary surgeon using the appropriate protective equipment. The patients received the usual post-operative care with brief post-operative stay in the ICU and post-operative CT and MRI.

Post-operative Imaging and Dosimetry

All patients received post-operative imaging to assess for extent of resection and to assess for any post-operative hematomas or new mass lesions. Post-operative MRI and CT images were imported into the brachytherapy planning software (MIM Software Inc. Cleveland, OH 44122) and co-registered to each other. Radioactive seeds were identified by medical physicists in CT images and radiation doses were calculated per the American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM) TG-43 protocol. The resection cavity was outlined using seeds as a surrogate. The residual tumor, identified as contrast enhanced areas in the post-operative T1 + C MRI images, was contoured by radiation oncologists and defined as gross target volume of residual tumor (GTVr). The planning target volume (PTV) is defined as the union of GTVr and 5mm expansion of cavity volume. The goal of brachytherapy is to deliver 60Gy radiation to the PTV. The volume of both GTVr and PTV and their corresponding dosimetry parameters were calculated and analyzed. The radiation doses to organs at risk (OAR) such as the brainstem, optical nerves, and chiasm were also calculated.

#### Results

Seven patients meeting inclusion criteria were identified; four were males and three were females. Their ages ranged from 33-68 years with a mean age of 54 years old (Table 1). Three were initially resected GBMs, two were anaplastic astrocytomas, one of which had progressed to GBM after the initial operation and one of which had not. Interestingly, one of the initial GBM resections had gliosarcoma pathology on the second resection. One WHO grade II oligodendroglioma that had progressed to anaplastic after the first resection and one anaplastic astrocytoma that was a WHO grade II oligodendroglioma on the second resection. All patients but the WHO grade II oligodendroglioma had external beam radiation prior to their initial resection and all but the two oligodendrogliomas had been on temozolomide. Other common adjuvant therapies of the first operation included bevacizumab (Avastin®), pembrolizumab (Keytruda®), and procarbazine-lomustine-vincristine (PVC).

| Patient                                  | 1                       | 2                              | 3  | 4  | 5                                  | 6                       | 7  |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Age                                      | 53                      | 36                             | 65   | 33   | 68                                 | 68                      | 53   |
| Sex                                      | Male                    | Female                         | Female   | Male                                       | Male                               | Male                    | Female   |
| nitial pathology                         | GBM<br>(WHO<br>grade 4) | GBM (WHO grade 4)              | Anaplastic astrocytoma (WHO grade 3)                       | Anaplastic<br>astrocytoma<br>(WHO grade 3) | Oligodendroglioma<br>(WHO grade 2) | GBM<br>(WHO<br>grade 4) | Anaplastic<br>oligodendroglioma<br>(WHO grade 3) |
| Complications<br>from initial<br>surgery | N/A                     | Significant radiation necrosis | Radiation necrosis,<br>residual tumor, second<br>resection | N/A  | N/A                                | N/A                     | N/A  |
| Time to follow-                          | 8 mo                    | 3 mo                           | 2 mo   | 1 mo                                       | 2 mo                               | 1 mo                    | Upcoming post-op appointment                     |

TABLE 1: Patient demographics and data from initial surgery

GBM: glioblastoma multiforme; mo: month; N/A: not applicable

All re-resections and GammaTile placements were in response to symptomatic recurrence of their primary tumors, and all were performed between February to October 2021 by a single surgeon at a single academic center. Between five to nine GammaTiles were placed in the resection cavity and this number was determined by pre-operative imaging and intra-operative findings and was the combined decision of the neurosurgical staff and radiation oncology staff who was available intra-operatively. The length of surgery ranged from 150 to 268 minutes with a mean of 225 minutes. Gross total resection (GTR) was achieved in

four patients and subtotal resection was achieved in the other three.

GammaTile brachytherapy was not associated with increased rate of complications. No patients developed infections, significant pseudomeningocele, cerebrospinal fluid leak, thromboembolic events, or readmission within 30 days. Post-operatively, one patient's course was complicated by significant post-operative cerebral edema requiring hypertonic saline, increased steroid therapy, and an extended ICU stay. The cerebral edema was thought to be due to her significant pre-operative cerebral edema as well as the subtotal resection. One other patient had significant post-operative expressive aphasia, and another had significant post-operative weakness but these results were expected given the location of the tumors. ICU LOS ranged from one to four days and hospital LOS ranged from two to eleven days. Four patients went home after discharge and three patients went to an acute rehabilitation facility (Table 2).

| Patient               | 1                          | 2                            | 3  | 4                  | 5                | 6     | 7       |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------|------------------|-------|---------|
| Second tx adjuvants   | Carboplatin<br>Bevacizumab | Pembrolizumab<br>Bevacizumab | None   | Lomustin           | None             | None  | None    |
| Extent of resection   | GTR                        | STR                          | GTR  | STR                | STR              | GTR   | GTR     |
| GCS<br>Preop/Postop   | 15/15                      | 15/14                        | 15/13  | 15/14              | 15/14            | 15/15 | 15/14   |
| ICU/ hospital<br>LOS  | 1/2                        | 2/2                          | 4/8  | 1/7                | 1/11             | 1/3   | 2/4     |
| Disposition           | Home                       | Home                         | Rehab  | Rehab              | Rehab            | Home  | Home    |
| Subsequent recurrence | Yes                        | Yes                          | Maybe  | Yes                | No               | Maybe | Unknowr |
| Complications         | None                       | None                         | Significant postop edema, requiring additional Decadron® and 3% NaCl | Expressive aphasia | L<br>hemiparesis | None  | None    |
| Radiation necrosis    | None                       | None                         | None   | None               | None             | None  | Unknowr |

# **TABLE 2: Data at recurrence**

Tx: Treatment; STR: Subtotal resection; GTR: Gross total resection; GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale; LOS: Length of stay; L: Left; NaCl: sodium chloride

On follow-up imaging, three patients had evidence of tumor progression or recurrence and two had MRI findings concerning progression or recurrence versus enhancement of post-operative debris or the GammaTiles themselves. Of the patients with presumed recurrence on follow-up imaging, one of them had a GTR and the other two received subtotal resections. One patient had a GTR without recurrence on repeat imaging. One patient has not yet had their follow-up imaging. No evidence of radiation necrosis was seen on any post-operative imaging.

Following the intra-operative placement of the GammaTiles, the radiation oncological team calculated dosimetry parameters (Table 3). The average of GTVr and PTV volume was 11.5cc and 48.4cc, respectively. D90 (dose covers 90% target volume) and V100 (target volume covered by 100% prescription dose) of GTVr and PTV are shown in Table 3. The average of D90 of GTVr and PTV are 117% and 97%, respectively. The average of V100 of GTVr and PTV are 88% and 89%, respectively. Three out of seven cases have no organs at risk (OARs) near the resection cavities. Brainstem doses are less than 60Gy for one case and less than 15Gy for two cases. One case with a left frontal tumor has optical nerve and chiasm doses less than 30 Gy.

| Patient                  | 1            | 2                   | 3                                     | 4                    | 5                                     | 6                   | 7  |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Number of GT (seeds)     | 5 (20)       | 7 (28)              | 7 (28)                                | 9 (36)               | 8 (32)                                | 6 (24)              | 4 (16)                                     |
| Vol_GTVr (cc)            | 17.7         | 26.2                | 5.1                                   | 13                   | 0.1                                   | 18.1                | No residual                                |
| D90_GTVr<br>(%)          | 148          | 134                 | 90.7                                  | 30                   | 135                                   | 163                 | No residual                                |
| V100_GTVr<br>(%)         | 100          | 100                 | 84.4                                  | 29                   | 100                                   | 100                 | No residual                                |
| Vol_PTV (cc)             | 35.6         | 53.6                | 55.9                                  | 78.8                 | 60                                    | 29.1                | 25.9                                       |
| D90_PTV (%)              | 99.5         | 88                  | 96.3                                  | 70                   | 102.3                                 | 131                 | 96   |
| V100_PTV<br>(%)          | 90           | 83                  | 87.9                                  | 81.3                 | 90.7                                  | 99.1                | 87.9                                       |
| Organs at risk<br>nearby | None         | None                | None, Brainstem max<br><15Gy (25% Rx) | Brainstem max <60Gy  | None, Brainstem max<br><15Gy (25% Rx) | None                | Optical nerve, chiasi<br>max <30Gy (50% R: |
| •                        |              |                     |                                       | •                    |                                       |                     |  |
| _ocation                 | L<br>frontal | L<br>frontotemporal | L parietooccipital                    | L<br>parietotemporal | R parietooccipital                    | R<br>frontoparietal | L frontal                                  |
| Surgery<br>ength (min)   | 248          | 244                 | 222                                   | 267                  | 177                                   | 150                 | 268  |

#### **TABLE 3: GammaTile data**

GT: GammaTile; GTVr: Gross total volume of the residual tumor; PTV: Planning target volume; L: Left; R: Right; Min: Minutes; Vol: volume

#### **Discussion**

Surgically implanted brachytherapy has shown promise for multiple tumor types over the past several years. A single-arm, single-center prospective clinical trial was performed on 20 high-grade recurrent meningiomas. This study found statistically significant improvement in time-to-local disease progression compared to the same patient following their initial operation. At 18 months, 89% of patients had no disease progression and the disease progression was found to be 11 months longer in those that did progress [14]. With these results, a two-center (Barrow Neurologic Institute and St. Joseph's Hospital in Phoenix, AZ) larger trial was performed including gliomas, metastatic lesions, and other primary neoplasms [23]. The result was a similar disease control with complication rates that were similar or improved compared to the literature for surgical and radiation adverse events [24]. Specifically, the rate of radiation necrosis or radiation-related brain injury was found to be 7.6%, which is lower than many reported studies with external beam or traditional brachytherapy studies [25,26,27].

In our case series, we describe the placement and early outcomes of seven patients with recurrent gliomas in which GammaTile brachytherapy was implanted. We reported no post-operative complications due to the implantation itself. This single-center series demonstrates promising early results of surgically implanted GammaTile brachytherapy. GammaTiles were able to be implanted in all cases for which they were planned. The complication rates were within the expected range. Four patients had a completely smooth post-operative course. One patient with the tumor abutting the motor strip had post-operative left hemiparesis, which improved with time. Another patient had moderate expressive aphasia post-operatively, but this was expected given his tumor abutting Broca's area. Another patient had significant post-operative edema requiring additional steroids and hypertonic saline but did not require any additional procedures and the patient had no lasting neurological deficits. None of these complications were thought to be due to the GammaTile placement.

In our seven cases, we found GammaTile brachytherapy to provide adequate radiation dose coverage to the resection cavities, demonstrated by the fact that all PTV V100 are above 80%. The residual tumors were well covered in six out of seven patients in this cohort. The only case in which the residual tumor was not well covered was one in which the disease had progressed much further beyond the pre-operative planned resection area. The intra-operative decision was made not to resect those progressed regions due to their locations. There is no extra high dose area beyond resection cavities and all OARs doses are within tolerance.

Unlike in previous brachytherapy and GammaTile trials, none of our patients have shown signs of radiation necrosis on surveillance imaging. The GammaTile portion of the case was very streamlined and convenient without any of the reported difficulty with handling the brachytherapy seeds as described in prior trials [28] and did not significantly lengthen the overall case length. We reported no deaths in our cohort and all patients were discharged to either home or a rehabilitation center within 11 days of surgery. However, our data is preliminary and further follow-up will be required to determine true rates of radiation-induced brain injury. In fact, one of the patients had not even had their first post-operative clinic visit at the time of publication of this study. In addition to that, our sample size is too small to make any generalizable claims. Despite these limitations, we find that our data support that the implantation of GammaTile can be a safe adjuvant for recurrent gliomas.

# **Conclusions**

We present preliminary data of our experience with GammaTile brachytherapy in recurrent gliomas. We provide dosimetry data supporting that this form of brachytherapy provides adequate radiation coverage to the resection bed while not giving supratherapeutic doses to contacting brain and without harming nearby OAR. We reported no complications associated with the placement of the GammaTiles. We also showed no radiation necrosis on our early follow-up imaging. The placement of the GammaTiles added very little time to the case length and was a very convenient and streamlined process. The patients who received GammaTile placement had expected post-operative courses similar to our experience with tumor resection patients including ICU and hospital LOS, post-operative complications, and discharge disposition. This early data suggests that GammaTile is a safe form of brachytherapy in recurrent gliomas.

# **Additional Information**

#### **Disclosures**

**Human subjects:** Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. The Indiana University Human Research Protection Program issued approval 13326. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) for all patient data included in the study. Protocol # 13326, approved on October 21, 2021. . **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank all the individuals who contributed to the study or manuscript preparation but who do not fulfill all the criteria of authorship.

#### References

- Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Farah P, et al.: CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2006-2010. Neuro Oncol. 2013, 15:ii1-56. 10.1093/neuonc/not151
- Wesseling P, Capper D: WHO 2016 Classification of gliomas. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol. 2018, 44:139-50. 10.1111/nan.12432
- Im JH, Hong JB, Kim SH, Choi J, Chang JH, Cho J, Suh CO: Recurrence patterns after maximal surgical resection and postoperative radiotherapy in anaplastic gliomas according to the new 2016 WHO classification. Sci Rep. 2018, 8:777. 10.1038/s41598-017-19014-1
- Bauchet L, Mathieu-Daudé H, Fabbro-Peray P, et al.: Oncological patterns of care and outcome for 952
  patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma in 2004. Neuro Oncol. 2010, 12:725-35. 10.1093/neuonc/noq030
- Lacroix M, Abi-Said D, Fourney DR, et al.: A multivariate analysis of 416 patients with glioblastoma multiforme: prognosis, extent of resection, and survival. J Neurosurg. 2001, 95:190-8. 10.3171/jns.2001.95.2.0190
- Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, et al.: Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N Engl J Med. 2005, 352:987-96. 10.1056/NEJMoa043330
- Walker MD, Green SB, Byar DP, et al.: Randomized comparisons of radiotherapy and nitrosoureas for the treatment of malignant glioma after surgery. N Engl J Med. 1980, 303:1323-9.
   10.1056/NEJM198012043032303
- Paszat L, Laperriere N, Groome P, Schulze K, Mackillop W, Holowaty E: A population-based study of glioblastoma multiforme. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2001, 51:100-7. 10.1016/s0360-3016(01)01572-3
- Laperriere N, Weller M, Stupp R, Perry JR, Brandes AA, Wick W, van den Bent MJ: Optimal management of elderly patients with glioblastoma. Cancer Treat Rev. 2013, 39:350-7. 10.1016/j.ctrv.2012.05.008
- Jagannathan J, Yen CP, Ray DK, et al.: Gamma Knife radiosurgery to the surgical cavity following resection of brain metastases. J Neurosurg. 2009, 111:431-8. 10.3171/2008.11.JNS08818
- Kelly PJ, Daumas-Duport C, Kispert DB, Kall BA, Scheithauer BW, Illig JJ: Imaging-based stereotaxic serial biopsies in untreated intracranial glial neoplasms. J Neurosurg. 1987, 66:865-74. 10.3171/jns.1987.66.6.0865
- 12. Brachman DG, Youssef E, Dardis CJ, et al.: Resection and permanent intracranial brachytherapy using modular, biocompatible cesium-131 implants: results in 20 recurrent, previously irradiated meningiomas. J

- Neurosurg. 2018, 131:1819-28. 10.3171/2018.7.JNS18656
- Ammirati M, Cobbs CS, Linskey ME, et al.: The role of retreatment in the management of recurrent/progressive brain metastases: a systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. J Neurooncol. 2010. 96:85-96. 10.1007/s11060-009-0055-6
- Blonigen BJ, Steinmetz RD, Levin L, Lamba MA, Warnick RE, Breneman JC: Irradiated volume as a predictor of brain radionecrosis after linear accelerator stereotactic radiosurgery. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2010, 77:996-1001. 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.06.006
- Ruge MI, Kocher M, Maarouf M, Hamisch C, Treuer H, Voges J, Sturm V: Comparison of stereotactic brachytherapy (125 iodine seeds) with stereotactic radiosurgery (LINAC) for the treatment of singular cerebral metastases. Strahlenther Onkol. 2011, 187:7-14. 10.1007/s00066-010-2168-4
- Lecchi M, Fossati P, Elisei F, Orecchia R, Lucignani G: Current concepts on imaging in radiotherapy. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2008, 35:821-37. 10.1007/s00259-007-0631-y
- Pinker K, Noebauer-Huhmann IM, Stavrou I, et al.: High-resolution contrast-enhanced, susceptibilityweighted MR imaging at 3T in patients with brain tumors: correlation with positron-emission tomography and histopathologic findings. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2007, 28:1280-6. 10.3174/ajnr.A0540
- Chan TA, Weingart JD, Parisi M, et al.: Treatment of recurrent glioblastoma multiforme with GliaSite brachytherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2005, 62:1133-9. 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2004.12.032
- Chen AM, Chang S, Pouliot J, et al.: Phase I trial of gross total resection, permanent iodine-125 brachytherapy, and hyperfractionated radiotherapy for newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2007, 69:825-30. 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.03.061
- Darakchiev BJ, Albright RE, Breneman JC, Warnick RE: Safety and efficacy of permanent iodine-125 seed implants and carmustine wafers in patients with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. J Neurosurg. 2008, 108:236-42. 10.3171/JNS/2008/108/2/0236
- Huang K, Sneed PK, Kunwar S, et al.: Surgical resection and permanent iodine-125 brachytherapy for brain metastases. J Neurooncol. 2009, 91:83-93. 10.1007/s11060-008-9686-2
- Chiu-Tsao ST, Napoli JJ, Davis SD, Hanley J, Rivard MJ: Dosimetry for 131Cs and 125I seeds in solid water phantom using radiochromic EBT film. Appl Radiat Isot. 2014, 92:102-14. 10.1016/j.apradiso.2014.06.014
- Nakaji P, Smith K, Youssef E, et al.: Resection and surgically targeted radiation therapy for the treatment of larger recurrent or newly diagnosed brain metastasis: results from a prospective trial. Cureus. 2020, 12:e11570. 10.7759/cureus.11570
- 24. Brachman D, Youssef E, Dardis C, Smith K, Pinnaduwage D, Nakaji P: Surgically targeted radiation therapy: safety profile of collagen tile brachytherapy in 79 recurrent, previously irradiated intracranial neoplasms on a prospective clinical trial. Brachytherapy. 2019, 18:S35-6. 10.1016/j.brachy.2019.04.076
- Lin AJ, Hui C, Dahiya S, et al.: Radiologic response and disease control of recurrent intracranial meningiomas treated with reirradiation. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2018, 102:194-203.
   10.1016/j.ijrobp.2018.05.011
- Scoccianti S, Francolini G, Carta GA, et al.: Re-irradiation as salvage treatment in recurrent glioblastoma: a comprehensive literature review to provide practical answers to frequently asked questions. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2018, 126:80-91. 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2018.03.024
- Combs SE, Debus J, Schulz-Ertner D: Radiotherapeutic alternatives for previously irradiated recurrent gliomas. BMC Cancer. 2007, 7:167. 10.1186/1471-2407-7-167
- Ware ML, Larson DA, Sneed PK, Wara WW, McDermott MW: Surgical resection and permanent brachytherapy for recurrent atypical and malignant meningioma. Neurosurgery. 2004, 54:55-63; discussion 63-4. 10.1227/01.neu.0000097199.26412.2a