# **Neuro-Oncology Advances**

3(1), 1–10, 2021 | https://doi.org/10.1093/noajnl/vdab164 | Advance Access date 15 November 2021

# A phase II study of laser interstitial thermal therapy combined with doxorubicin in patients with recurrent glioblastoma

#### Omar H. Butt, Alice Y. Zhou, Jiayi Huang<sup>®</sup>, William A. Leidig, Alice E. Silberstein, Milan G. Chheda, Tanner M. Johanns, George Ansstas, Jingxia Liu, Grayson Talcott, Ruth Nakiwala, Joshua S. Shimony, Albert H. Kim, Eric C. Leuthardt, David D. Tran<sup>†,</sup> and Jian L. Campian<sup>†</sup>

Department of Neurology, Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA (O.H.B.); Division of Oncology, Department of Medicine, Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA (A.Y.Z., M.G.C., T.M.J., G.A., G.T., R.N., J.L.C.); Department of Radiation Oncology, Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA (J.H.); Department of Biology, Washington University College of Arts & Sciences, St. Louis, Missouri, USA (W.A.L.); Department of Biology, Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA (A.E.S.); Division of Public Health Sciences, Department of Surgery, Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA (J.L.); Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri, USA (J.S.S.); Brain Laser Center, Department of Neurological Surgery, Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA (A.H.K., E.C.L., J.L.C.); Department of Neurological Surgery, Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA (A.H.K., E.C.L.); Department of Biomedical Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA (E.C.L.); Department of Mechanical Engineering and Material Sciences, Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA (E.C.L.); The Brain Tumor Center, Washington University, Siteman Cancer Center, St. Louis, Missouri, USA (O.H.B., A.Y.Z., J.H., M.G.C., T.J., G.A., G.T., R.N., J.S.S., A.H.K., E.C.L., J.L.C.); Division of Neuro-Oncology, Lillian S. Wells Department of Neurological Surgery, McKnight Brain Institute, The University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, Florida, USA (D.D.T.)

**Corresponding Authors:** Jian L. Campian, Siteman Cancer Center, Division of Oncology, Department of Medicine, Washington University, 660 South Euclid Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA (campian.jian@wustl.edu); DavidTran, Division of Neuro-Oncology, Lillian S. Wells Department of Neurosurgery, University of Florida College of Medicine, 1149 South Newell Drive, L2-100, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA (david.tran@neurosurgery.ufl.edu).

<sup>†</sup>These senior authors contributed equally to this work.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01851733

#### Abstract

**Background**. The blood-brain barrier (BBB) is a major limiting factor for drug delivery in brain tumors. Laser interstitial thermal therapy (LITT) disrupts the peritumoral BBB. In this study, we examine survival in patients with recurrent glioblastoma (GBM) treated with LITT followed by low-dose doxorubicin, a potent anti-neoplastic drug with poor BBB permeability.

**Methods.** Forty-one patients with recurrent GBM were enrolled; thirty patients were evaluable. Participants underwent LITT followed by 6 weekly doxorubicin treatments starting within one week (Early Arm) or at 6–8 weeks (Late Arm) after LITT. The overall survival (OS), local progression-free survival (PFS), and any PFS were compared to historical controls treated with bevacizumab salvage therapy (n = 50) or LITT with standard BBB-permeable salvage therapy (n = 28). Cox proportional-hazards models examined the contribution of age, gender, *MGMT* promoter status, and *IDH*-mutation status on any PFS and OS. Adverse events were also cataloged.

**Results.** The Late Arm and all patients (Early Arm + Late Arm) demonstrated significant improvement in OS compared to historical controls treated with bevacizumab (p < 0.001) and LITT with standard salvage therapy (p < 0.05). No significant difference in any PFS was observed between either arm and historical controls. Low-dose doxorubicin was well tolerated with comparable adverse event rates between the arms.

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press, the Society for Neuro-Oncology and the European Association of Neuro-Oncology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com **Conclusions.** Low-dose doxorubicin given after LITT is well tolerated and correlated with higher OS compared to historical controls treated with bevacizumab or LITT with standard salvage chemotherapy. A larger study is needed to further characterize survival and progression patterns.

#### **Key Points**

- 1. LITT combined with low-dose doxorubicin is associated with longer overall survival in recurrent GBM compared to historical controls.
- 2. Low-dose doxorubicin is safe for patients, even with extended (>6 weeks) dosing.

### Importance of the Study

The blood-brain barrier (BBB) poses a significant challenge to central nervous system (CNS) drug delivery in glioblastoma (GBM). Overcoming this barrier will be key for the development of new therapies. MRI-guided LITT is a means to achieve both tumor cytoreduction and local BBB disruption. This study investigates the combination of LITT and

Recurrent glioblastoma (GBM) remains devastatingly lethal with a median overall survival (OS) of 9.3 months and only 76% of patients alive at 6 months after initial therapy.<sup>1</sup> Many phase II and phase III studies examining novel treatments have failed to demonstrate statistically meaningful survival benefits.<sup>2-8</sup> Recurrent GBM tends to arise from microscopic infiltrative disease extending beyond the contrastenhancing margin of the primary tumor.<sup>9</sup> This study aims to target microscopic infiltrative disease within the peritumoral region to improve OS and delay local disease progression.

The blood-brain barrier (BBB) severely limits drug delivery to the central nervous system (CNS).<sup>10</sup> This includes adjuvant anti-neoplastic agents directed at residual microscopic disease. Doxorubicin has been shown to potently inhibit growth of glioma cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*.<sup>11,12</sup> In contrast to temozolomide (TMZ), doxorubicin has negligible BBB permeability.<sup>13–15</sup> Improving peritumoral BBB permeability is critical for increasing the peritumoral concentrations of impermeant drugs like doxorubicin to target the microscopic infiltrative disease responsible for the majority of disease recurrences.<sup>16–18</sup>

MRI-guided laser interstitial thermal therapy (LITT) has been shown to induce BBB disruption and improve CNS drug delivery. LITT is a minimally invasive technique for selective thermal ablation of brain tissue.<sup>19–21</sup> In animal models of glioma, LITT was demonstrated to induce BBB disruption, and LITT plus adjuvant doxorubicin increased survival in brain tumor-bearing mice compared to either intervention alone.<sup>22,23</sup> In patients with recurrent GBM, we recently reported that LITT induced peritumoral BBB disruption as evidenced by elevated vascular transfer constant (Ktrans) of gadolinium and serum levels of neuron-specific enolase for up to 6 weeks after the procedure.<sup>24,25</sup> Sustained systemic administration of doxorubicin, a BBBimpermeant chemotherapy drug, as a treatment approach for recurrent GBM. Our findings demonstrate that this combination therapy is well tolerated and is associated with improved overall survival when compared to historical controls. Further studies of this therapeutic approach for recurrent GBM are needed.

increase in contrast permeability of BBB following LITT has also been reported to last up to 6 months after the acute phase.<sup>26</sup> While post-LITT CNS drug concentrations are not known, this suggests a potential therapeutic window of improved delivery of otherwise BBB-impermeant therapeutic agents like doxorubicin.<sup>21,24</sup> It remains unknown whether LITT combined with doxorubicin results in improved local progression-free survival (PFS) or overall survival in patients with recurrent GBM.

In this follow-up Phase II study, we combined LITT therapy with doxorubicin for the management of recurrent GBM. Patients were treated with LITT therapy and randomized to either early doxorubicin administration within 1 week after LITT (Early Arm), or to the late doxorubicin administration at 6-8 weeks after LITT (Late Arm). Detailed assessments of OS, PFS, and adverse event rates were assessed and compared against historical controls.

#### Methods

#### Patients

The study protocol was approved by the Internal Review Board at the Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to any trial-related activities. Fortyone adult patients (≥18 years old) with bevacizumab naïve GBM WHO grade IV who had unequivocal radiographic evidence of tumor recurrence were screened for eligibility (Table 1) in this phase II trial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier number NCT01851733). Patients with rare GBM variants or suspected secondary GBM that developed

	All	Late arm	Early arm	р
n	30	16	14	
Age at discovery(mean)	57.3	56.8	57.9	0.75
Gender				
Male ( <i>n</i> ,%)	19 (63%)	8 (50%)	11 (79%)	0.11
Female ( <i>n</i> , %)	11 (37%)	8 (50%)	3 (21%)	
Race				
Caucasian (white, non-Hispanic)	30 (100%)	16 (100%)	14 (100%)	-
KPS at enrollment				
mean KPS score (SD)	84 (±9)	81 (±10)	88 (±7)	0.07
Steroids pre-LITT				
on Dexamethasone ( <i>n</i> ,%)	7 (23%)	5 (31%)	2 (14%)	0.27
Tumor				
WHO grade IV ( <i>n</i> ,%)	30 (100%)	16 (100%)	14 (100%)	-
Frontline/initial surgery				
Gross total ( <i>n</i> ,%)	23 (77%)	12 (75%)	11 (79%)	0.82
Subtotal ( <i>n</i> ,%)	3 (10%)	1 (6%)	2 (14%)	0.46
Biopsy ( <i>n</i> ,%)	4 (13%)	3 (19%)	1 (7%)	0.35
MGMTp status				
Unmethylated	16 (53%)	7 (44%)	9 (64%)	0.26
Methylated	11 (37%)	7 (44%)	4 (29%)	0.39
Indeterminate	3 (10%)	2 (12%)	1 (7.1%)	0.63
IDH1 status				
Wildtype	28 (93%)	14 (88.5%)	14 (100%)	0.17
Mutated	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	-
Unknown	2 (7%)	2 (12.5%)	0 (0%)	0.17

Table 1. Patient Characteristics

Demographic and tumor characteristics of analyzed individuals of the Late Arm (doxorubicin 6 weeks after LITT) or Early Arm (doxorubicin a week after LITT). P values reflect between group comparisons using unpaired t-tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests between categorical values. Both groups received identical median doses of doxorubicin (n = 6). Additional details available in Supplementary Table 1.

from a lower grade tumor were eligible. Inclusion criteria for recurrent tumors included tumor size ≤3 cm in the largest dimension, at least 12 weeks after completion of radiotherapy with concurrent TMZ or if pseudo-progression was excluded by PET-scan, a KPS score greater or equal to 60, a candidate for MRI-guided LITT, sufficient cardiac, bone marrow, and hepatic functions, and no prior cytotoxic chemotherapy within 2–4 weeks (2 weeks for vincristine, 3 weeks for procarbazine, and 4 weeks for other cytotoxic chemotherapies). Patients with prior doxorubicin or bevacizumab exposure were specifically excluded (See Supplementary Material 1 for full study inclusion and exclusion criteria).

#### **Trial Design and Treatments**

The main objective of this Phase II clinical trial was to determine local PFS, any PFS, and OS in patients who receive 6 doses of 20 mg/m<sup>2</sup> IV weekly doxorubicin beginning within 72 hours (Early Arm) or 6–8 weeks (Late Arm) after LITT as compared to historical controls treated with LITT followed by standard salvage therapy with TMZ/lomustine (CCNU) or bevacizumab. As described previously,<sup>24</sup> the first 10 patients were assigned to the Late (doxorubicin treatment) Arm with the remainder randomized at a 2:1 ratio to either the Early Arm or Late Arm (Figure 1). Five patients did not meet inclusion criteria. A further three individuals did not receive the allocated intervention in each arm (six total). Patients must have received at least 2 doses of doxorubicin to be evaluable.

#### MRI-Guided Laser Thermal Ablation Therapy

LITT is a minimally invasive laser surgery currently approved by the FDA for interstitial thermal treatment of brain lesions.<sup>21,24,27-30</sup> In this procedure, a small incision is made, followed by a small burr hole into the skull, through which a laser probe is inserted and guided by MRI to a tumor mass where it delivers ablative thermal energy. LITT can raise internal temperature at the lesion core up to 70°C, resulting in coagulative necrosis, which decreases to a temperature of 40-45°C in the peritumoral region.<sup>27</sup>

#### **Historical Controls**

Study arms were compared to a cohort of fifty (n = 50) recurrent GBM historical controls treated using bevacizumab as stipulated in the original study protocol and a cohort of twenty-eight (n = 28) recurrent GBM historical controls treated with LITT followed by standard chemotherapy including TMZ and CCNU as well as bevacizumab. Among historical controls treated with bevacizumab, inclusion criteria required that patients had progressed following definitive radiotherapy and concurrent TMZ followed by adjuvant TMZ, had received up to one prior salvage chemotherapy regimen, and no prior cytotoxic chemotherapy within 4-6 weeks (4 weeks for TMZ or 6 weeks for PCV/BCNU). Similar to our cohort, patients with prior bevacizumab exposure were excluded.<sup>31</sup> Historical LITT controls were patients who underwent LITT for a recurrent GBM followed by standard of care chemotherapy. Like our study patients, no more than 2 prior recurrences were permitted and the recurrent glioma must not carry a IDH1 mutation (IDH<sup>R132H</sup>) per immunohistochemistry (IHC).<sup>32</sup> Tumor volume/size and IDH1 mutation status were not previously reported for the bevacizumab control group.<sup>31</sup>

#### Statistical Analysis

Two group t-tests were used for demographic comparisons between continuous variables in the Late and Early Arms. Chi-square tests were used for comparisons between categorical variables. For both arms, OS was defined as the months from the date of intervention (LITT) Neuro-Oncolo Advances



Late Arms. Evaluable patients must have received at least 2 doses of doxorubicin.

to date of death. Likewise, local PFS was defined using modified RANO criteria<sup>33</sup> relative to the date of LITT, with a further requirement of disease progression within 3 cm of the outer rim of the LITT site. For both study arms and historical controls treated with LITT, any PFS was defined as the months from intervention (date of LITT) to date of progression from any cause or death, with alive patients without progression censored at the last follow-up. In contrast for the bevacizumab control group, OS and PFS were calculated relative to date of bevacizumab treatment.<sup>31</sup>

Kaplan-Meier (KM) curves for OS and PFS were generated that provide unadjusted survival estimates for the patients and across arms. Differences between arms were determined by log-rank tests. The median OS and PFS (95% CI) for each arm were calculated. Cox proportionalhazards models were used to evaluate the relationship of the age at discovery, sex, MGMT promoter methylation status, and IDH1-mutation status per IHC vs. survival for the study arms vs. historical LITT controls. Unfortunately, MGMT promoter methylation status and IDH1 mutation status were not available for the historical bevacizumab controls.<sup>31</sup> The proportionality assumption was tested by adding a time-dependent covariate for each variable. The variables with p < 0.20 from univariate models were considered in the multivariate model. All statistical tests were two-sided using an  $\alpha$  = 0.05 level of significance. Survival curves were generated using Matlab (MathWorks®) using the matSurv function,<sup>34</sup> and independently verified using

SAS Version 9.4 (Cary, NC); SAS was also used to perform all statistical modeling.

#### Results

#### **Patient Characteristics**

Forty-one bevacizumab-naïve patients with suspected recurrent GBM were enrolled (Figure 1). Five patients (1002, 1003, 1006, 1008, and 1011) were excluded for failing to meet the inclusion criteria when a needle biopsy immediately prior to LITT failed to demonstrate histologic recurrent GBM. Patient 1015 (Late Arm) developed multifocal progression noted on the week-6 MRI scan and was removed from the study. Patient 1021 (Late Arm) withdrew. Patient 1028 (Early Arm), 1030 (Early Arm), and 1031 (Late Arm) missed their treatment window and were taken off the study. Patient 1039 (Early Arm) was lost to follow-up. In total, thirty patients (sixteen-Late Arm, fourteen-Early Arm) were evaluable for the study (Table 1). The majority (77%) underwent a frontline gross total resection. All recurrent tumors were approximately 3 cm or less at the longest dimension and varied in their anatomical localization (Supplementary Table 1). Both study arms received an identical median of six doses of doxorubicin. The control groups had comparable characteristics (Table 2, Supplementary Table 2).

Advances

5

	Bevacizumab	LITT
n	50	28
Age at treatment (mean)	61.4	61.3
Gender		
Male ( <i>n</i> ,%)	33 (66%)	17 (61%)
Female (n, %)	17 (34%)	11 (39%)
Race		
Caucasian (white, non-Hispanic)	Not reported	22 (79%)
median KPS score	80	90
Steroids pre-intervention		
on Dexamethasone ( <i>n</i> ,%)	34 (68%)	10 (36%)
Tumor		
WHO grade IV ( <i>n</i> ,%)	50 (100%)	28 (100%)
Frontline/initial surgery		
Gross total (n,%)	19 (38%)	18 (64%)
Subtotal ( <i>n</i> ,%)	15 (30%)	5 (18%)
Biopsy or unclear ( <i>n</i> ,%)	16 (32%)	5 (18%)
MGMTp status		
Unmethylated	Not reported	13 (46%)
Methylated	Not reported	12 (43%)
Indeterminate	Not reported	3 (11%)
IDH1 status		
Wildtype	Not reported	24 (86%)
Mutated	Not reported	0 (0%)
Unknown	Not reported	3 (14%)

Demographic and tumor characteristics of the two control groups. Bevacizumab controls reflected historical controls available in the literature (31). The LITT control group were historical controls with recurrent GBM who lacked an *IDH1* mutation. Additional details available in Supplementary Table 2.

#### **Overall Survival**

A significant improvement in OS was observed between historical bevacizumab controls and the Late Arm (p < 0.001; Figure 2A), the Early Arm (p < 0.05; Figure 2B), and the combined Arms (p < 0.001; Supplementary Figure 1E). Similarly, a significant improvement in OS was detected between historical LITT controls and the Late arm (p < 0.05; Figure 2E), and the combined Arms (p < 0.05; Supplementary Fig 1G), but not the Early Arm alone (Figure 2F). No difference in OS was observed comparing between the Late Arm and the Early Arm (Supplementary Figure 1C). Comparable results were obtained when including the three study patients who received a single dose of doxorubicin (Supplementary Material 2).

The median OS for the Late Arm was 13.6 months (95% CI [12.2 17.1]) with a 94% probability of survival at 6 months. Median OS for the Early Arm was 11.6 months (95% CI [7.8 13.3]) with 93% probability of survival at 6 months. Median OS for the combined Arms was 13.0 months (95% CI: 11.5-14.7) with a 93% probability of survival at 6 months.

Median OS for historical controls treated with LITT was 8.9 months (95% CI [6.6 11.7]) with a 79% probability of survival at 6 months.

Univariate Cox modeling mirrored these results with a significant improvement in OS between historical LITT controls and the Late Arm (p = 0.021, HR 0.47 (95% CI [0.24 0.89])) and the combined Arms (p = 0.023, HR 0.53 (95% CI [0.31 0.92])). No difference in OS was observed between the Early Arm and historical LITT controls (p = 0.25). Multivariate modeling noted patients with methylated tumors had better OS even after accounting for group status (late arm and combined arms; See Supplementary Material 3). However, no contribution of age at discovery, sex, or *IDH1* (IDH<sup>R132H</sup>) mutation status (wild-type vs. unknown) was observed for any Arm vs controls.

#### **Progression-Free Survival**

For the Late Arm, the local PFS at 6 months was 31% (95% CI: 11–54%) and the median local PFS was 5.1 (95% CI: 3.2–6.8) months. For the Early Arm, the local PFS at 6 months was 36% (95% CI: 13–59%) and the median local PFS was 5.8 (95% CI: 4.1–6.3) months. No difference in local PFS was observed comparing between the Late Arm and the Early Arm (Supplementary Figure 1A). Local PFS was unavailable for historical controls treated with LITT.

No difference in any PFS was also observed between arms (Supplementary Figure 1B), or between either arm and historical controls treated with bevacizumab (Figure 2C, D) or LITT (Figure 2G, H). For the Late and Early Arms, the rate of any PFS at 6 months was 25% (95% CI: 8–47%) and 29% (95% CI: 9–52%), while median any PFS was 5.0 (95% CI: 3.2–5.9) and 4.8 (95% CI: 3.2–6.1) months, respectively. When both arms were combined (Late+Early), any PFS at 6 months was 27% (95% CI: 13–43%) and median PFS was 4.9 (95% CI: 4.5–5.9) months (Supplementary Figure 1D, F), compared to historical controls treated with LITT followed by standard salvage therapy with PFS at 6 months of 46.4% (95% CI: 28–63%) and median any PFS of 5.6 (95% CI: 2.7–7.6) months.

Univariate Cox modeling also demonstrated no difference in any PFS between historical controls treated with LITT and the Late Arm, the Early Arm, or the combined Arms (all p > 0.05). Likewise, no group difference in any PFS between either the Late Arm, Early Arm, or combined arms and historical LITT controls was observed in multivariate models controlling for *MGMT* promoter status (See Supplementary Material 3).

Finally, three of fourteen patients in the Early Arm demonstrated distal prior to local progression. In contrast, this was observed in only one patient in the Late Arm. However, given the small sample sizes, this was not statistically significant.

#### Adverse Events

Both study arms were well tolerated with comparable event rates between the study arms (Table 3). Headache, nausea, and fatigue were the most common grade 1 events. The majority of grade 3 events were hematologic

#### Table 2. Historical Control Characteristics





Advances

7

Event category	All	Late arm	Early arm
Eye disorders	7	1	6
Gastrointestinal disorders	31	18	13
General/Constitutional	31 (3)	12 (1)	20 (2)
Infectious	7 (2)	4 (1)	3 (1)
Injury/Falls	9	7	2
Hematologic	23 (11)	13 (5)	10 (6)ª
Immune system	1	0	1
Nutrition/Metabolism	7 (2)	4 (1)	3 (1)
Musculoskeletal and con- nective tissue	5 (2)	3 (1)	2 (1)
Nervous system	37 (6)	11 (4)	26 (2) <sup>b</sup>
Psychiatric	15	7	8
Renal	2	0	2
Respiratory	5	2	3
Skin/Cutaneous	9	7	2
Vascular disorder	2 (1)	0	2 (1) <sup>c</sup>

Table 3. Adverse Events

Summary of adverse events by category, grouped by study arm. Number of grade 3 or higher events are included in paracenteses. There were no grade 5 events. A given participant may have multiple events (e.g. neutropenia and leukopenia). Two grade 4 events observed in the Early Arm were determined related to the study<sup>a</sup> and two unrelated to the study<sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Two participants developed grade 4 neutropenia, one of whom requiring dose delay

<sup>b</sup>Two weeks post-LITT, a patient presented to the emergency department with acute altered mental status, nausea, and somnolence. Acute interval ventriculomegaly was discovered on imaging prompting the placement of a left-sided external ventriculostomy drain. Subsequent CSF evaluation identified an incidental acute bacterial meningitis which was ultimately managed with antibiotics. As the patient had not received the chemotherapy for the study, it was determined unrelated to the study

<sup>c</sup> Two weeks post-LITT, a patient presented to the emergency department with acute altered mental status, nausea, and somnolence. Acute interval ventriculomegaly was discovered on imaging prompting the placement of a left-sided external ventriculostomy drain. Subsequent CSF evaluation identified an incidental acute bacterial meningitis which was ultimately managed with antibiotics. As the patient had not received the chemotherapy for the study, it was determined unrelated to the study.

leukopenia or neutropenia. A minority also reported grade 3 fatigue (one event in each arm). Two grade 4 neutropenia events were observed in the Early Arm. Two grade 4 events unrelated to the study were also observed in the Early Arm (bacterial meningitis complicated by ventriculomegaly prior to doxorubicin dosing). There were no grade 5 events.

# Discussion

LITT is a minimally invasive surgical tool that simultaneously cytoreduces tumors while improving local CNS permeability through peritumoral BBB disruption. Doxorubicin is a potent anti-neoplastic agent whose efficacy in brain tumors is hampered by its limited CNS penetration. In this study, a low weekly dose of 20 mg/m<sup>2</sup> IV doxorubicin was associated with significant improvement in OS when coupled with LITT for patients with recurrent GBM compared to historical controls treated bevacizumab alone or with LITT followed by standard nondoxorubicin, BBBpermeant salvage chemotherapy. One caveat is that tumor size and molecular profile were not reported and therefore could not be controlled in the historical bevacizumab controls. Recurrent GBM tumors that are amenable to LITT are generally smaller (<=3-4cm) potentially biasing the results. This is why comparison to historical LITT controls is critical. Historical LITT controls also permitted controlling for other prognostic factors such as IDH1 mutation status and *MGMTp* methylation status. No significant difference in PFS was observed; however, a higher frequency of patients in the Late Arm developed distal prior to local progression. Finally, low dose weekly doxorubicin was well tolerated between both arms.

Since there is no consensus standard treatment for recurrent GBM, many ongoing studies examine immunotherapy,<sup>35</sup> novel agents targeting tumor sensitivity to alkylating agents,36 and targeting specific molecular profiles, among others. Salvage therapies, particularly for patients with MGMT promoter methylation, include CCNU or procarbazine combination therapies and a TMZ rechallenge.<sup>37-39</sup> Side effects for these salvage therapies can be significant<sup>37,38</sup> with common severe hematologic toxicity, resulting in a delay in chemotherapy in upwards of 30% and cessation in over 10% of patients.<sup>37</sup> Here we demonstrate weekly low dose doxorubicin improved OS with only 8 participants (22% of 36 evaluable) developing adverse grade III/IV hematological events. Of those, 7 (19%) required dose delay and 1 (3%) required dose modification. Notably, three evaluable participants (8%) had a doxorubicin cessation for nonhematologic adverse events unlikely related to the study, including stroke and infection.

This study specifically examined local vs. distal recurrence by calculating local and any (*i.e.*, neuraxis) PFS at 6 months. This primary study endpoint was not met with low dose doxorubicin demonstrating only comparable local PFS at 6 months to recurrent GBM historically treated with bevacizumab. Bevacizumab is a steroid-sparing agent known to improve PFS but provide no benefit in OS.<sup>40-42</sup> Often given in conjunction with salvage chemotherapy, recurrent GBM treated with bevacizumab demonstrate a PFS at 6 months between ~30–40%<sup>31,42</sup> compared to less than 20% for salvage chemotherapy alone.<sup>42</sup> Poor CNS

progression relative to intervention (See *Methods*). Overall survival between the LITT historical controls and the (E) Late Arm or (F) Early Arm. Finally, progression-free survival between the LITT historical controls and the (G) Late Arm or (H) Early Arm. No censoring in any progression-free survival comparisons. Two participants still living at 60 months (n = 1 in the Late Arm, n = 1 in the Early Arm) were censored at the last follow-up for overall survival comparisons. penetration of doxorubicin distal to the LITT site likely explains the lower any PFS compared to local PFS observed in our cohort.

LITT has been shown to disrupt the peritumoral BBB, although the duration of clinically relevant BBB disruption is unknown. In an animal model, LITT triggered an increase in BBB permeability permitting passage of molecules the side of immunoglobin for up to one month after LITT.<sup>22</sup> Our previous human study also demonstrated a transient elevation in serum BSE levels peaking 1-3 weeks after LITT, before returning to baseline by 6 weeks.<sup>24</sup> BSE is a 78 kDa  $\gamma$ -homodimer<sup>43</sup> compared to 0.54 kDa mass of doxorubicin. BBB permeability to comparably smaller molecules may persist beyond 6 weeks. This is supported by recent work demonstrating sustained contrast enhancement 6 months after LITT using an approximately 0.6 kDa contrast agent.<sup>26</sup> Our previous work using dynamic contrast enhanced (DCE) MRI, which used an approximately 1kDa agent, revealed an early peak immediately after LITT followed by a gradual loss of signal over the subsequent 4 weeks.<sup>24</sup> The lack of sensitivity for sub-kDa permeability changes may explain the difference in results.

If LITT results in prolonged permeability to sub-kDa molecules as suggested by Morris and colleagues,<sup>26</sup> then the arm with the greater duration of therapy should have improved OS. Such a model assumes minimal added efficacy of doxorubicin over LITT in the short term. In this study, both arms underwent a median 6 doses of doxorubicin, however, the Late Arm was distributed over a longer interval due to the 6 week pause immediately following LITT. The Early Arm was comparably shorter, as doxorubicin was started immediately after LITT. Indeed, counter to the original hypothesis, improvements in OS were most pronounced in the Late Arm, where chemotherapy was started 6 weeks after LITT. Early low dose doxorubicin (Early Arm) did not provide additional tumor control beyond LITT. This suggests that patients may further benefit from extension (beyond 6 doses) of weekly low-dose doxorubicin.

Early low dose doxorubicin may also alter tumor immunogenicity by an immunomodulatory role by suppressing innate and adaptive immune cell proliferation.<sup>44</sup> In this model, early low dose doxorubicin may suppress initial neutrophil and secondary macrophage infiltrate, leading to blunted BBB breakdown and long-term permeability.<sup>45</sup> In contrast, delaying doxorubicin may allow early immune infiltrate to further augment BBB breakdown and longerterm permeability.

Other possible mechanisms may be related to more broad-ranging peritumoral alterations induced by LITT, of which altered BBB permeability is only one result. Here, key is the extent of alterations beyond the LITT margin into the peritumoral space, where temperatures of 40–45°C are observed.<sup>27</sup> Supraphysiological temperatures may induce a host of inflammatory, epigenetic, and/or additional cytoarchitectural changes beyond increased BBB permeability alone. These changes to the peritumoral micro-environment may then further augment late doxorubicin treatment. Indeed, *MGMTp* status had a significant effect on OS even after controlling for group status in multivariate models, supporting a synergistic contribution of an alkylating agent like doxorubicin. Our results support a model of LITT-mediated augmentation of adjunctive therapy via either improved peritumoral BBB permeability or extent of peritumoral alterations after LITT. However, selection of the optimal therapy to couple with LITT remains an open question. Several ongoing clinical trials are currently testing various LITT-combination therapies, including LITT combined with immune checkpoint inhibitors such as pembrolizumab (NCT02311582) and avelumab (NCT03341806).

Our study did not demonstrate a difference in PFS between the study arms and our historical control group. This lack of improvement in PFS may suggest an alternative prognostic factor not fully accounted for. By comparing with the historical LITT controls, IDH1 mutation status, gross tumor volume, tumor locations, and number of recurrences were directly controlled. Furthermore, age, frontline surgery, and *MGMTp* status were all comparable between cohorts. Nonetheless, a secondary, yet unidentified factor may be driving the group differences in overall survival and warrants continued investigation. There are several additional limitations of this study including its underpowered sample size to examine differences in local progression between arms and the lack of local vs. distal PFS information in the historical controls. The spatial distribution and longitudinal measurements of doxorubicin concentrations in the CSF following LITT remain unknown. A better understanding of doxorubicin concentrations, particularly at the tumor margin, may help determine the optimal duration and number of doses of doxorubicin to achieve better survival outcomes.

Nevertheless, results of this trial suggest that combining LITT with a wider class of BBB-impermeant anti-neoplastic agents is a safe and viable therapeutic strategy in recurrent GBM.

#### Supplementary material

Supplemental material is available at *Neuro-Oncology Advances* online.

#### Keywords

bevacizumab | doxorubicin | GBM | glioblastoma | glioma topic: recurrent glioblastoma | Laser interstitial thermal therapy (LITT) | recurrent GBM | salvage therapy

## Funding

This work was supported by Siteman Cancer Center and the Foundation for Barnes-Jewish Hospital Cancer Frontier Fund (Team Science Award to E.C.L, D.D.T. and J.L.C.)

**Conflict of Interest.** M.G.C. receives research funding from Neoimmune Tech and Orbus Pharma Research. E.C.L. is a consultant for Monteris Medical, E15, Acera, Alcyone, Intellectual Ventures, Medtronic Inc., Neurolutions, Osteovantage, Pear Therapeutics, Inc., Sante Ventures, and Microbot; E.C.L owns equity in Neurolutions, General Sensing, Osteovantage, Pear Therapeutics, Face to Face Biometrics, Immunovalent, Caeli Vascular, Acera, Sora Imaging Solutions, Inner Cosmos. D.D.T. has received research funding and/or personal honoraria from Monteris, Novocure, Merck, Novartis, Sarepta, Lacerta, Northwest Bio, Stemline, and Celldex. Remaining authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authorship Statement. Writing the manuscript: O.H.B., A.Y.Z. Study design: A.H.K., E.C.L., D.D.T., J.L.C., J.S.S. Study implementation: A.H.K., E.C.L., D.D.T., J.L.C., J.H., M.C., T.M.J., G.A., G.T., J.S.S., Data analysis: O.H.B., A.Y.Z., W.A.L., A.E.S., J.L., R.N. Manuscript revision: W.A.L and A.E.S. Critical manuscript review: All authors.

# References

- Wong ET, Gautam S, Malchow C, Lun M, Pan E, Brem S. Bevacizumab for recurrent glioblastoma multiforme: a meta-analysis. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2011;9(4):403–407.
- Westphal M, Ylä-Herttuala S, Martin J, et al.; ASPECT Study Group. Adenovirus-mediated gene therapy with sitimagene ceradenovec followed by intravenous ganciclovir for patients with operable high-grade glioma (ASPECT): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2013;14(9):823–833.
- Gilbert MR, Dignam JJ, Armstrong TS, et al. A randomized trial of bevacizumab for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. N Engl J Med. 2014;370(8):699–708.
- Chinot OL, Wick W, Mason W, et al. Bevacizumab plus radiotherapytemozolomide for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. N Engl J Med. 2014;370(8):709–722.
- Wang Y, Pan L, Sheng XF, Chen S, Dai JZ. Nimotuzumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody specific for the EGFR, in combination with temozolomide and radiation therapy for newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme: First results in Chinese patients. *Asia Pac J Clin Oncol.* 2016;12(1):e23–e29.
- Weller M, Butowski N, Tran DD, et al.; ACT IV trial investigators. Rindopepimut with temozolomide for patients with newly diagnosed, EGFRvIII-expressing glioblastoma (ACT IV): a randomised, double-blind, international phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2017;18(10):1373–1385.
- Ursu R, Carpentier A, Metellus P, et al. Intracerebral injection of CpG oligonucleotide for patients with de novo glioblastoma-A phase II multicentric, randomised study. *Eur J Cancer.* 2017;73:30–37.
- Marenco-Hillembrand L, Wijesekera O, Suarez-Meade P, et al. Trends in glioblastoma: outcomes over time and type of intervention: a systematic evidence based analysis. *J Neurooncol.* 2020;147(2):297–307.
- Lemée JM, Clavreul A, Menei P. Intratumoral heterogeneity in glioblastoma: don't forget the peritumoral brain zone. *Neuro Oncol.* 2015;17(10):1322–1332.

- Abbott NJ, Rönnbäck L, Hansson E. Astrocyte-endothelial interactions at the blood-brain barrier. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2006;7(1):41–53.
- Stan AC, Casares S, Radu D, Walter GF, Brumeanu TD. Doxorubicininduced cell death in highly invasive human gliomas. *Anticancer Res.* 1999;19(2A):941–950.
- Lesniak MS, Upadhyay U, Goodwin R, Tyler B, Brem H. Local delivery of doxorubicin for the treatment of malignant brain tumors in rats. *Anticancer Res.* 2005;25(6B):3825–3831.
- Warren KE, Patel MC, McCully CM, Montuenga LM, Balis FM. Effect of P-glycoprotein modulation with cyclosporin A on cerebrospinal fluid penetration of doxorubicin in non-human primates. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2000;45(3):207–212.
- Higham C, Murphy RF, Bhaumik S, Dunleavy K, Wilson WH, Widemann BC. Plasma and cerebrospinal fluid pharmacokinetics of doxil after intravenous administration in adults with primary CNS lymphoma [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35:15\_suppl:e14067–e14067.
- Patel M, McCully C, Godwin K, Balis FM. Plasma and cerebrospinal fluid pharmacokinetics of intravenous temozolomide in non-human primates. *J Neurooncol.* 2003;61(3):203–207.
- Stewart DJ, Lu K, Benjamin RS, et al. Concentration of vinblastine in human intracerebral tumor and other tissues. *J Neurooncol.* 1983;1(2):139–144.
- Stewart DJ, Richard MT, Hugenholtz H, et al. Penetration of VP-16 (etoposide) into human intracerebral and extracerebral tumors. J Neurooncol. 1984;2(2):133–139.
- Holodny AI, Nusbaum AO, Festa S, Pronin IN, Lee HJ, Kalnin AJ. Correlation between the degree of contrast enhancement and the volume of peritumoral edema in meningiomas and malignant gliomas. *Neuroradiology.* 1999;41(11):820–825.
- Rahmathulla G, Recinos PF, Kamian K, Mohammadi AM, Ahluwalia MS, Barnett GH. MRI-guided laser interstitial thermal therapy in neurooncology: a review of its current clinical applications. *Oncology*. 2014;87(2):67–82.
- Thomas JG, Rao G, Kew Y, Prabhu SS. Laser interstitial thermal therapy for newly diagnosed and recurrent glioblastoma. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2016;41(4):E12.
- Patel B, Yang PH, Kim AH. The effect of thermal therapy on the blood-brain barrier and blood-tumor barrier. *Int J Hyperthermia*. 2020;37(2):35–43.
- 22. Salehi A, Paturu MR, Patel B, et al. Therapeutic enhancement of bloodbrain and blood-tumor barriers permeability by laser interstitial thermal therapy. *Neurooncol Adv.* 2020;2(1):vdaa071.
- Sabel M, Rommel F, Kondakci M, Gorol M, Willers R, Bilzer T. Locoregional opening of the rodent blood-brain barrier for paclitaxel using Nd:YAG laser-induced thermo therapy: a new concept of adjuvant glioma therapy? *Lasers Surg Med.* 2003;33(2):75–80.
- Leuthardt EC, Duan C, Kim MJ, et al. Hyperthermic laser ablation of recurrent glioblastoma leads to temporary disruption of the peritumoral blood brain barrier. *Plos One.* 2016;11(2):e0148613.
- 25. Tran D, Leuthardt E, Shimony J, Kim A, Ansstas G, Campian J. ACTR-82. Laser Interstitial Thermal Therapy (LITT) Of Recurrent Glioblastoma (GBM) Induces Temporary Disruption Of The Peritumoral Blood Brain Barrier (BBB) and may improve efficacy of chemotherapy with poor CNS penetration. *Neuro Oncol.* 2017;19(suppl.):vi18.
- 26. Morris SA, Rollo M, Rollo P, et al. Prolonged blood-brain barrier disruption following laser interstitial ablation in epilepsy: a case series with a case report of postablation optic neuritis. *World Neurosurg.* 2017;104:467–475.
- 27. Hawasli AH, Ray WZ, Murphy RK, Dacey RG Jr, Leuthardt EC. Magnetic resonance imaging-guided focused laser interstitial thermal therapy for subinsular metastatic adenocarcinoma: technical

case report. *Neurosurgery.* 2012;70(2 Suppl Operative):332–337; discussion 338.

- Hawasli AH, Bagade S, Shimony JS, Miller-Thomas M, Leuthardt EC. Magnetic resonance imaging-guided focused laser interstitial thermal therapy for intracranial lesions: single-institution series. *Neurosurgery*. 2013;73(6):1007–1017.
- Hawasli AH, Kim AH, Dunn GP, Tran DD, Leuthardt EC. Stereotactic laser ablation of high-grade gliomas. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2014;37(6):E1.
- Mohammadi AM, Hawasli AH, Rodriguez A, et al. The role of laser interstitial thermal therapy in enhancing progression-free survival of difficult-to-access high-grade gliomas: a multicenter study. *Cancer Med.* 2014;3(4):971–979.
- Chamberlain MC, Johnston SK. Salvage therapy with single agent bevacizumab for recurrent glioblastoma. J Neurooncol. 2010;96(2):259–269.
- Kamath AA, Friedman DD, Akbari SHA, et al. Glioblastoma treated with magnetic resonance imaging-guided laser interstitial thermal therapy: safety, efficacy, and outcomes. *Neurosurgery*. 2019;84(4):836–843.
- Chinot OL, Macdonald DR, Abrey LE, Zahlmann G, Kerloëguen Y, Cloughesy TF. Response assessment criteria for glioblastoma: practical adaptation and implementation in clinical trials of antiangiogenic therapy. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep.* 2013;13(5):347.
- Creed JH, Gerke TA, Berglund AE. MatSurv: survival analysis and visualization in MATLAB. J Open Source Soft. 2020;5(46):1830.
- Medikonda R, Dunn G, Rahman M, Fecci P, Lim M. A review of glioblastoma immunotherapy. J Neurooncol. 2021;151(1):41–53.
- Pilié PG, Gay CM, Byers LA, O'Connor MJ, Yap TA. PARP inhibitors: extending benefit beyond BRCA-mutant cancers. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2019;25(13):3759–3771.

- Schmidt F, Fischer J, Herrlinger U, Dietz K, Dichgans J, Weller M. PCV chemotherapy for recurrent glioblastoma. *Neurology*. 2006;66(4):587–589.
- Carvalho BF, Fernandes AC, Almeida DS, et al. Second-line chemotherapy in recurrent glioblastoma: a 2-cohort study. *Oncol Res Treat.* 2015;38(7-8):348–354.
- 39. Weller M, Tabatabai G, Kästner B, et al.; DIRECTOR Study Group. MGMT promoter methylation is a strong prognostic biomarker for benefit from dose-intensified temozolomide rechallenge in progressive glioblastoma: the DIRECTOR trial. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2015;21(9):2057–2064.
- Friedman HS, Prados MD, Wen PY, et al. Bevacizumab alone and in combination with irinotecan in recurrent glioblastoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(28):4733–4740.
- Kreisl TN, Kim L, Moore K, et al. Phase II trial of single-agent bevacizumab followed by bevacizumab plus irinotecan at tumor progression in recurrent glioblastoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(5):740–745.
- Wick W, Gorlia T, Bendszus M, et al. Lomustine and bevacizumab in progressive glioblastoma. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(20):1954–1963.
- Haque A, Ray SK, Cox A, Banik NL. Neuron specific enolase: a promising therapeutic target in acute spinal cord injury. *Metab Brain Dis.* 2016;31(3):487–495.
- Wehner R, Bitterlich A, Meyer N, et al. Impact of chemotherapeutic agents on the immunostimulatory properties of human 6-sulfo LacNAc+ (slan) dendritic cells. *Int J Cancer.* 2013;132(6):1351–1359.
- Yang C, Hawkins KE, Doré S, Candelario-Jalil E. Neuroinflammatory mechanisms of blood-brain barrier damage in ischemic stroke. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol.* 2019;316(2):C135–C153.