



Rethinking the Management of Optic Pathway Gliomas: A Single Center Experience

Giada Del Baldo^{1†}, Antonella Cacchione^{1†}, Vito Andrea Dell'Anna¹, Pietro Merli¹, Giovanna Stefania Colafati², Antonio Marrazzo³, Sabrina Rossi⁴, Isabella Giovannoni⁴, Sabina Barresi⁴, Annalisa Deodati⁵, Paola Valente⁶, Elisabetta Ferretti⁷, Mara Capece⁸, Angela Mastronuzzi¹ and Andrea Carai^{9*}

¹Department of Pediatric Haematology and Oncology, and Cell and Gene Therapy, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy, ²Oncological Neuroradiology Unit, Imaging Department, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy, ³Radiology and Neuro-radiology Unit, Ospedale Santissima Annunziata, Taranto, Italy, ⁴Pathology Unit, Department of Laboratories, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy, ⁵University Pediatric Hospital Department, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy, ⁶Ophthalmology Department, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy, ⁷Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University, Rome, Italy, ⁸Department of Neurosurgery, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy, ⁹Department of Neurosciences, Neurosurgery Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Zohreh Habibi,
Tehran University of Medical
Sciences, Iran

Reviewed by:

Naureen Mushtaq,
Aga Khan University, Pakistan
Benedetta Pettorini,
Alder Hey Children's Hospital, United
Kingdom

*Correspondence:

Andrea Carai
andrea.carai@opbg.net

[†]These authors have contributed
equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Neurosurgery, a section of the journal
Frontiers in Surgery

Received: 06 March 2022

Accepted: 31 May 2022

Published: 16 June 2022

Citation:

Del Baldo G, Cacchione A,
Dell'Anna VA, Merli P, Colafati GS,
Marrazzo A, Rossi S, Giovannoni I,
Barresi S, Deodati A, Valente P,
Ferretti E, Capece M, Mastronuzzi A
and Carai A (2022) Rethinking the
Management of Optic Pathway
Gliomas: A Single Center Experience.
Front. Surg. 9:890875.
doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.890875

Background: Optic pathway gliomas (OPGs) are rare neoplasms in children with an unpredictable clinical course. Approximately 15% of OPGs occur in patients affected by neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1): the clinical course of these cases is more indolent than sporadic ones, and NF1 patients less frequently require treatment including surgery. Instead, over 90% of sporadic OPGs require one or more therapeutic approaches. The management of OPG is controversial. They are also characterized by a high risk of morbidity including hypothalamic damage, endocrine deficits, visual deficit and/or neurological impairment.

Materials and Methods: In this paper, we evaluated visual and endocrinological outcomes of a population of OPG followed at our center from 2013 to 2021, with a particular emphasis on the role of surgery.

Results: Twenty-six patients were included in this study (mean age of 40.7 months). Tumor location on imaging was described by the Dodge classification. Five cases had NF 1. Thirteen cases received biopsy and 13 were partially resected. Histopathology revealed 19 cases of pilocytic astrocytomas, 2 pilomyxoid astrocytoma and 5 ganglioglioma. All the patients required a post-surgical adjuvant treatment according to current indications for low-grade gliomas. Molecular studies (BRAF status and mTOR/pmTOR pathway) have been performed in 24/26 patients, following for the use of target therapy in 11 of these patients. In our study we found that patients underwent biopsy have a better visual and endocrinological outcomes rather than patients with a tumor debulking. The five-year overall survival rate is 98% with a mean follow-up of 60 months.

Conclusions: Many children with OPGs survive with a residual tumor. They suffer from chronic diseases such as endocrine dysfunction, visual disturbance, motor deficits and poor quality of life. All patients need comprehensive diagnostic work-up including neuroimaging, clinical evaluations and neuropathology approach; at the same time, they need therapeutic decisions and concepts for the choice of timing and type of neurosurgical intervention, chemotherapy and target therapy as well as surveillance and rehabilitation to maximize survival and overall functional outcomes. Our study showed that minimal invasive surgery with the purpose of molecular characterization of the tumor is desirable to reduce morbidity correlate to surgery.

Keywords: optic pathway gliomas, optic pathway surgery, BRAF, molecular target, pediatric neuro-oncology

INTRODUCTION

Optic pathway gliomas (OPGs) account for 2%–7% of all pediatric central nervous system (CNS) tumors (1, 2). OPGs are typically associated to neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), can nonetheless develop sporadically in 20%–30% of the cases (3). OPGs are low-grade gliomas (LGG) potentially involving any portion of the optic pathways, with variable extension to the hypothalamus (4–6).

Their unpredictable natural course, including the heterogeneous presentation, possible spontaneous regression, and poor correlation between magnetic resonance imaging features (MRI) and visual acuity (VA) outcomes, renders management and prognosis usually challenging (7).

Heterogeneity is caused by different factors such as: age at presentation, NF1 status, tumor biology and disease location (8–10). Generally, NF1-associated OPGs are infiltrative, involving the optic nerves, chiasm, and/or posterior optic pathways and rarely require treatment (6, 11–14). On the other hand, sporadic OPGs frequently present earlier in life with larger tumors often arising from the chiasm, have a higher risk of progression (11–13) and require therapeutic intervention in the 90% of cases (15, 16).

MRI with dedicated sequences is indicated to confirm diagnosis and allow disease staging.

The classical Dodge staging system for OPGs classifies tumors based on anatomy with involvement of either the optic nerves, the optic chiasm, and the hypothalamus with associated structures (17). More recently, a modified Dodge classification has been developed, allowing a more detailed description of tumor involvement at multiple anatomical locations along the visual pathways (18).

Although being usually characterized by an indolent and favorable course, with over 90% 5-year overall survival (OS) rates, children with OPGs can experience significant chronic visual, neurological and endocrinological impairment (19, 20). Without reliable clinical indicators to predict the development of OPGs, careful surveillance for early signs of vision loss is essential (21).

The role of surgery has traditionally been very limited, due to tumor infiltration of eloquent brain structures and functional impairment associated with resection (22, 23).

Standard chemotherapy regimens include combinations of carboplatin and vincristine or vinblastine monotherapy (24, 25). More recently, molecular profiling of OPG has shown recurrent molecular lesions, such as MAPK pathway activation, opening the way to targeted approaches for the treatment of these children.

The purpose of our study was to retrospectively review our mono-institutional cohort of children with OPG, to determine the impact of surgery to support decision-making in the management of this challenging disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We retrospectively examined patients referred for OPG to Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital in Rome who underwent surgery from 2013 to 2021.

Inclusion criteria included: radiological diagnosis of OPG, age range 0–18 years, surgical procedure.

Collected variables included: age, gender, clinical presentation, NF1 status, Dodge stage, surgical strategy, histological and molecular features, visual and endocrinological assessment at diagnosis and after 3 months from surgery, treatment modalities and outcome.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) and was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients or legal guardians.

Radiological Assessment

Radiological images were reviewed at diagnosis, post-surgery, every six months during any treatment and at last follow-up for each patient.

Three Tesla MRI (Siemens Magnetom Skyra, Erlangen, Germany) studies were acquired using a standardized pediatric protocol including axial and coronal T2-weighted sequences, axial FLAIR, diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and susceptibility weighted imaging (SWI), pre- and post-contrast axial and volumetric T1-weighted sequences. In all patients, a spinal cord evaluation was also performed with post-contrast axial and sagittal T1-weighted sequences, and axial and sagittal T2-weighted sequences. Non-cooperating children underwent to general anesthesia. We adopted the Dodge

classification for tumor location (17): optic nerve alone (stage 1), optic chiasm with/without optic nerve (stage 2), and post-chiasm with or without hypothalamus involvement (stage 3).

Surgery

All procedures were performed by a dedicated pediatric neurosurgical team. Indication for surgery was discussed during a multidisciplinary tumor board in all cases. Purpose of surgery included both biopsy and debulking of lesions to alleviate symptomatic mass effect. Neurosurgical technique was adapted to lesion site and resection objective.

Lesions involving optic nerves and chiasm were approached by pterional craniotomy and microsurgical technique. Whenever significant extension to the third ventricle was present available options included endoscopic robot assisted procedures or microsurgical approaches including anterior transcallosal or frontal transcortical access to the lateral ventricle followed by transforaminal approach to the third ventricle. For lesions extending to the deep white matter, robot assisted stereotactic biopsy and tailors microsurgical approaches were considered.

All procedures were performed with navigation assistance and intraoperative monitoring and mapping as indicated to maximize preservation of eloquent areas.

Histopathological and Molecular Characterization

Tumor sample was firstly used for histopathologic diagnosis. Available tumors tissue samples were centrally reviewed by expert neuropathologists. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was carried out on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sections using an automated immunostainer (Dako Omnis).

Since 2018, all samples were investigated for BRAFv600E status and/or KIAA1549-BRAF fusion. Moreover, immunostaining for mTOR and p-mTOR was carried out. Previous samples were retrospectively analyzed for the same molecular aberrations.

Primary antibodies directed mTOR (clone 7C10 1:50, high pH, Cell Signaling Technology) and p-mTORSer2448 (D9C2, 1:100, high pH, Cell Signaling Technology) were used.

DNA isolation from tumor tissue was performed using the NucleoSpin Tissue Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Detection of the main mutations of codon 600 of the gene BRAF was performed using EasyPGX® ready BRAF (Diatach Pharmacogenetics). 10–25 ng of DNA per mix were used allowing the coamplification of one or more mutated alleles plus an endogenous control gene.

After obtaining informed consent for the genetic analyses, NGS panel was performed on total RNA extracted from formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded sections (FFPE) of the tumor using ReliaPrep™ FFPE Total RNA kit (Promega, Wisconsin, USA). The quantity of RNA extracted was measured using Qubit fluorometric quantification system (Agilent). Two hundred nanogrammes of RNA were used for library preparation with the Archer® Universal RNA Reagent Kit for Illumina®, Archer MBC adapters, and our custom

designed Gene Specific Primer (GSP) Pool kit. The sequencing run was performed using the Illumina MiSeq platform. NGS data were analyzed using Archer Data Analysis Software v6.2.3.

RNA was extracted from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor tissue following ReliaPrep™ FFPE Total RNA kit (Promega) and quality and quantity of RNA samples was ascertained with the use of Agilent 2,200 TapeStation system (Agilent Technologies). Mean RNA integrity number (RIN) was 5.2 (range 3.0–6.9).

The SureSelect XT HS2 RNA kit (Agilent Technologies) was used to prepare RNA sequencing libraries from 300 ng of total RNA according to the manufacturer's protocol. Libraries were pooled and sequencing run was performed in paired-end mode using the NextSeq 550 system (Illumina, San Diego, California) generating at least 30 million reads per sample. Raw reads were aligned to the reference human genome (UCSC-Build38) using STAR (2.5.3a) algorithm.

Candidate fusion transcripts were identified by means of RNACocktail (V.0.3) using the FusionCatcher tool with default parameters. Only candidate fusions with (1) at least two unique reads (i.e. unique mapping positions) spanning the fusion breakpoint, and (2) not found in healthy control populations were considered reliable.

Ophthalmologic Assessment

Visual performance data were collected at the time of diagnosis and six months after surgery.

Assessments included VA and Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT); visual field testing was excluded from this analysis for the well described bias depending on patient's cooperation.

VA was measured using Teller Acuity Card grating acuity (Stereo Optical, Chicago, Illinois, USA) in children younger than 2.5 years old.

In older patients, VA was assessed using Snellen charts converted to the nomenclature using logarithm of the minimal angle of resolution (log MAR). A Snellen score of 20/20 converts to a logMAR of 0.0, 20/40 to a logMAR of 0.30, 20/100 to a logMAR of 0.69.

OCT is a non-invasive objective imaging modality that allows for a precise measurement of retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness in OPGs. The best balanced cut off values of each analyzed sector have been referred to the RNFL thickness ranges proposed by Parrozzani et al. (26) and evaluation have been clustered as stable or worsened, with the cut off RNFL thickness reduction greater than 10% (in one or more quadrants or global average).

Endocrinological Assessment

Endocrinological data were collected at the time of diagnosis and six months after surgery.

Clinical and auxological data, IGF-I, IGFBP-3, FT4, TSH, FSH, LH, testosterone, 17-beta-estradiol, ACTH, cortisol, glucose and electrolytes serum levels were retrospectively collected. Hormonal detection was measured by chemiluminescent immunometric assay (Immulite 2000 XPi, Siemens). GH hypersecretion (GHH) was defined as either

IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 levels >97th percentile (27, 28). GH deficiency (GHD) was defined as reduction height velocity over a period of 6 months and a GH peak less than 10 ng/mL on 2 different provocative tests (arginine, clonidine or insulin tolerance test). Central precocious puberty (CPP) was defined as the appearance of secondary sexual characteristic before 8 years of age for girls and before 9 years of age for boys, and altered Gn-RH test. Thyrotropin deficiency was defined as low TSH levels with inappropriately low or normal FT4 levels based on age range values. ACTH deficiency was defined on cortisol peak reduction (≤ 500 mmol/L) during insulin tolerance test or ACTH test.

Treatment

Chemotherapy was administered according to SIOP LGG 2004 indications. Based on molecular profile, targeted treatments included everolimus, trametinib, selumetinib and vemurafenib.

Statistical Analysis

Data entry and cleaning were performed in Microsoft Excel. Data analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software 9.0.

Type of surgery (biopsy or microsurgical debulking) was correlated with visual and endocrinological outcome for the whole population.

For endocrinological outcome patients were stratified in 3 groups: no hormonal deficits, one endocrinological deficit and two or more deficits. Concerning ophthalmological outcomes, VA was clustered into three groups: < 0.2 logMAR (normal vision), 0.02 – 0.6 logMAR (mild impairment of vision), $\log\text{MAR} > 0.7$ (severe visual impairment) and for OCT evaluation RNFL thickness reduction was classified in two groups: (1) greater than 10% (in one or more quadrants or global average) (2) less than 10%.

Endocrinological and visual outcome were compared before and after surgery. Comparison tests included Fisher's exact test and chi-squared test, when appropriate.

All comparison tests were two-sided and considered significant at the 5% level.

RESULTS

We retrospectively identified 26 consecutive patients fulfilling inclusion criteria. Median age at diagnosis was 40.7 months (range 3.0–201.7). There were 13 males and 13 females. Five patients (19%) had a clinical and/or genetic diagnosis of NF1. Thirteen cases (50%) were diagnosed for evidence of visual symptoms including decreased VA and nystagmus. Two patients (8%) were diagnosed during a screening scan for NF1. Six children (23%) presented for headache and vomiting. Five patients (19%) were diagnosed after detection of CPP. Clinical features of the study population are summarized in **Table 1**.

TABLE 1 | Population characteristics.

OPGs	n = 26
Gender	
Male	13/26 (50%)
Female	13/26 (50%)
Age at diagnosis (months)	
Median (months)	40.7
Range (months)	3.0–201.7
Dodge classification	
Stage 1	1/26 (4%)
Stage 2	6/26 (23%)
Stage 3	19/26 (73%)
Hypothalamic involvement	18/26 (70%)
Type	
NF1	5/26 (19%)
Sporadic	21/26 (81%)
Surgery	
Biopsy	13/26 (50%)
Microsurgical debulking	13/26 (50%)
Ventriculoperitoneal shunt (VPS)	9/26 (35%)
Upfront Treatment	26/26 (100%)
Chemotherapy	23/26 (88%)
Target therapy	3/26 (12%)
Second-third line treatment	10/26 (42%)
Chemotherapy 2 nd line	2/26 (8%)
Target therapy	8/26 (31%)

Surgery

Biopsy was performed in 13 cases: robot-assisted stereotactic needle biopsy (23%), endoscopic transventricular biopsy (38.5%) and microsurgical biopsy (38.5%).

Microsurgical debulking was performed in the remaining half of the population.

Nine patients out of 26 required ventriculoperitoneal shunt (VPS) positioning for evidence of hydrocephalus. The rate of shunting was higher in patients who had undergone microsurgical debulking of OPG (6/9, 67%).

From 2013 to 2017, 5/15 patients underwent biopsy (33%); from 2018 to 2021 biopsy was performed on 7/11 patients (63%).

Histopathological and Molecular Characterization

Histological features of OPG were available for the whole population: pilocytic astrocytoma in 19/26 (73%), ganglioglioma in 5/26 (19%) and pilomyxoid astrocytoma in 2/26 (8%). The BRAFv600E mutation was investigated in 20/26 (76%) and the mutation was found in 5/20 cases analyzed (25%). KIAA1549-BRAF fusion was investigated in 15/26 (57%) and resulted positive in 10/15 (67%). Evaluation of immunostainings for mTOR/p-mTOR pathway was performed

TABLE 2 | Surgical treatment and molecular findings.

Patient	Year	NF1 status	Biopsy	Debulking	BRAFV600E	KIIA-BRAF	mTOR-pmTOR
1	2013						
2	2013						
3	2013						
4	2013						
5	2013						
6	2014						
7	2015						
8	2015						
9	2016						
10	2016						
11	2016						
12	2017						
13	2017						
14	2017						
15	2017						
16	2018						
17	2019						
18	2020						
19	2020						
20	2020						
21	2020						
22	2020						
23	2020						
24	2021						
25	2021						
26	2021						

- NF1 syndrome
- Stereotactic biopsy
- Endoscopic biopsy
- Microsurgical biopsy
- Microsurgical debulking
- BRAFV600E wild-type
- BRAFV600E mutated
- KIIA-BRAF not detected
- KIIA-BRAF fusion
- mTOR-pmTOR pathway expressed
- mTOR-pmTOR pathway not expressed
- not available

in 23/26 (88%) and an overexpression was confirmed in 22/23 cases (96%).

An overview of surgical details and molecular findings is presented in **Table 2**.

Treatment

All 26 patients received a post-surgical adjuvant treatment: chemotherapy in 23/26 patients and target first line therapy in 3 cases diagnosed after 2018 and with mild visual impairment.

Ten patients treated with standard chemotherapy progressed at follow-up. Median time to progression for all patients was 38 months (range 11–108). Second line treatment for disease progression was carried out in 10/26 patients (2/10 chemotherapy and 8/10 target therapy).

Ophthalmologic Assessment

Eleven children (42%) presented a pathological OCT (comparing with the most sensitive cut-off values of each RNFL analyzed in any optical nerve sector) before any surgical approach; the analysis after biopsy showed that 85% of the patients remained stable and 15% worsened (>10% reduction of the thickness). OCT worsened in 62% of the children after debulking surgery. Regarding VA, 9/26 patients (35%, $n = 9$) had normal/near normal vision (group 1, <0.2 LogMAR); 54% ($n = 14$) had mild/moderate impairment (group 2, 0.2 –0.6 LogMAR), and 11% ($n = 3$) had severe visual impairment (group 3, >0.7 LogMAR). VA evaluation after biopsy documented worsening of VA in 1 child (8%) vs 7/13 patients (54%) in the surgical debulking cohort (**Table 3**).

TABLE 3 | VA and OCT variations after surgical procedure.

	N patients	Stable	Worse
VA ^a post biopsy	13/26	12/13 (92%)	1/13 (8%)
VA post surgery	13/26	6/13 (46%)	7/13 (54%)
OCT ^b post biopsy	13/26	11/13 (85%)	3/13 (15%)
OCT post surgery	13/26	5/13 (38%)	8/13 (62%)

^aChange in VA defined between the three LogMAR clusters (>0.2; 0.2–0.6; >0.7).

^bRNFL thickness reduction greater than 10% (in one or more quadrants) was evaluated as worsening OCT.

TABLE 4 | Correlation of VA, OCT and hormonal deficits according to different surgical approach (biopsy vs debulking).

	Debulking	Biopsy	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Worsened VA	7/13 (54%)	1/13 (8%)	14 (1.4–168.0)	0.03
Worsened OCT	8/13 (62%)	2/13 (15%)	8.8 (1.3–48.0)	0.04
Worsened hormone deficits	8/13 (62%)	1/13 (8%)	19.2 (1.9–226.6)	0.01

Children undergoing biopsy were significantly less likely to have a VA outcome of >0.7 LogMAR ($p = 0.03$) and a thickness reduction > 10% ($p = 0.04$) compared with those undergoing debulking surgery (**Table 4**).

Endocrinological Assessment

Endocrine dysfunctions were identified in 11 patients (42%) before any surgical approach.

TABLE 5 | Endocrinological defects before and after surgery.

	Microsurgical debulking	Biopsy
Hormonal deficits at diagnosis	4/13 (31%)	7/13 (54%)
Stable hormonal status	2/4 (50%)	7/7 (100%)
Worse hormonal status	2/4 (50%)	0/7 (0)
New hormonal deficits after surgery	6/9 (67%)	1/6 (16%)

Globally, nine out of 26 patients (35%) presented a worsening endocrinological outcome after surgery; 8/13 patients (62%) after debulking and 1/13 after biopsy (8%).

Following surgery, 7 out of 15 patients without hormonal defects at diagnosis (46%) presented a new hormonal deficit: in one case after biopsy (14%) and in six (86%) after microsurgical debulking. In 2 cases out of 11 patients with endocrinological defects at diagnosis (18%), the pre-existing hormonal defects worsened after surgery, both having undergone debulking. In 9/11 cases (81%) the hormonal deficits remained stable (7/9 cases after biopsy and 2/9 after microsurgical debulking). In 7 cases (27%) no hormonal deficit was detected at follow-up.

As showed in **Table 4**, children treated with a bioptic approach were significantly less likely to have endocrinological impairment in comparison to children treated with microsurgical debulking ($p < 0.01$).

Endocrinological defects before and after surgery are summarized in **Table 5**.

Notably, only 3 patients presented worsening on all the three parameters (VA, OCT and hormone deficits) and all of them had undergone a microsurgical debulking procedure and needed a VPS implant.

Median OS at last follow-up for patients in this study was 65.5 months (range 6.6–156.8). Only one patient died due to severe neurological impairments from tumor growth 61 months after diagnosis.

DISCUSSION

OPGs represent 2%–7% of all pediatric intracranial tumors with a peak of incidence in children younger than 5 years (29–31) and affected by NF1. In contrast to the good prognosis *quoad vitam*, these tumors are associated with significant potential morbidity, the most important of which concern visual impairment and endocrinological disfunction (2, 9, 32).

Their management is still controversial ranging from conservative approach to multimodality treatments including surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy in selected cases (22, 30, 31, 33). The decision to treat and the choice of the type and time of treatment depends on different clinical and radiological aspects but also on the expertise of the treating team and available resources.

The role of surgical resection has recently been revised, on the bases of previously reported unacceptable morbidity, and

limited to bioptic procedures in selected cases and microsurgical debulking to alleviate mass effect symptoms (23, 34). Indications for surgery are even stricter in NF1 patients, in which MR is pathognomonic of OPG and histological confirmation is not mandatory to prompt treatment.

However, the ever-growing neurosurgical technical armamentarium opens new possibilities to push boundaries and reconsider a role for surgery in the management of these children.

Nonetheless, many aspects remain to be elucidated in respect to the potential benefits of high-risk surgical resections.

Surgical biopsy has often been of limited utility, the vast majority of these lesions being LGG susceptible to the same oncological treatment, even in non-NF1 children.

A greater understanding of the genetic landscape of LGG and the development of novel drugs that target some of these molecular aberrations have heralded a new focus upon molecularly driven treatments, with the goal to minimize toxicity and maximize survival and functional outcomes (35).

It is now well understood that abnormal MAPK pathway activation is the most frequent genetic aberration detected in pediatric LGG, most commonly resulting from activation of the BRAF oncogene (35–37). The two most common aberrations described are an activating point mutation BRAFV600E and a tandem duplication resulting in a KIAA1549-BRAF fusion. This fusion results in a transcript in which the kinase domain of the BRAF gene is fused to a gene of unknown function (KIAA1549) (38), leading to increased BRAF activation of the downstream MEK signaling cascade. Moreover, other potential driver mutations or fusions in sporadic OPGs include the KRAS, FGFR1, PTPN11, RAF1, and NTRK2 genes (39–43).

Clinical trials of oral targeted MAPK inhibitors (trametinib, dabrafenib and selumetinib) have shown efficacy in pediatric LGG (44), and upfront studies of these novel therapies are ongoing (45). Trametinib, a reversible and highly selective inhibitor of MEK1/MEK2 activation and kinase activity, has also shown to be effective, alone or in combination with dabrafenib, in phase I studies (38, 39) and case series reports (40, 41, 46). A phase II study to confirm the efficacy and safety of trametinib as single agent in NF1-associated LGG and non-NF1 gliomas with either KIAA1549-BRAF fusion or activation of the MAPK/ERK pathway, is ongoing (NCT03363217). Vemurafenib, a competitive and selective inhibitor of the BRAFV600E mutant ATP-binding domain, has proved well-tolerated and active as single agent in a case series of pediatric patients with BRAFV600E-positive LGG (47). Furthermore, as mTOR pathway activation in LGGs is well known, orally administration of everolimus has been studied and demonstrated disease stability also as upfront line (48).

The choice of the specific molecular inhibitor depends on the underlying biology, making biopsy essential (22, 23) to collect biological samples to perform molecular investigations to identify the best tailored treatment (49).

In our series, bioptic procedures were sufficient to allow molecular characterization of tumors in almost all cases, with an acceptable functional outcome.

In fact, both visual performance and endocrinological function were spared in the majority of cases when surgery was limited to biopsy independently from the technique used.

Visual deterioration after surgery was found to be higher after debulking (VA decline 54% and OCT worsening in 62%) than after biopsy (VA decline 8%, OCT worsening 15%).

Similarly, endocrinological worsening was found after surgery in 9/26 cases (35%), 8 of which had undergone microsurgical debulking. The difference in endocrinological impairment after surgery between biopsied (8%) and resected (62%) OPG was statistically significant. This evidence supports the notion that the incidence of hypopituitarism is higher with extensive resection.

Interestingly, we documented a change in the trend of surgical indications with an increased number of biopsies (63%) in the last 3 years compared to earlier ones (33%).

Although partial resection is an option in optic pathway glioma, it does not confer an advantage in the chances of disease control. In recent years our policy was to minimize surgical manipulation and functional complications, for the sake of faster recovery from surgery and improved quality of life.

Moreover, we found a high rate of targetable lesions for which effective and safe oral drugs were available. Considering that OPGs morbidity has a greater impact than mortality, this aspect becomes of paramount importance.

In pediatric OPG cases, in order to carry the minor possible damage to visual and endocrinological functions, it seems important to determine the treatment policy with a long-term perspective. We advocate the collection of tumor tissue to identify the molecular landscape and consequently use a target therapy approach in this population.

Our study has several limitations, including small and retrospective sample from a single institution. Moreover, sharing of surgical strategy in the setting of a multidisciplinary tumor board and development of a dedicated surgical oncology team must be accounted before translating results to different settings. However, we believe that our observations might deserve further studies in larger populations to allow generalization of our promising results.

REFERENCES

- Fried I, Tabori U, Tihan T, Reginald A, Bouffet E. Optic pathway gliomas: a review. *CNS Oncol.* (2013) 2(2):143–59. doi: 10.2217/cns.12.47
- Lobón-Iglesias MJ, Laurendeau I, Guerrini-Rousseau L, Tauziède-Espariat A, Briand-Suleau A, Varlet P, et al. NF1-like optic pathway gliomas in children: clinical and molecular characterization of this specific presentation. *Neuro-Oncol Adv.* (2020) 2(Supplement_1):i98–106. doi: 10.1093/oaajnl/vdz054
- Beres S, Avery R. Optic pathway gliomas. *J Pediatr Neurol.* (2016) 15 (01):15–24. doi: 10.1016/j.jspen.2017.04.006
- Blanchard G, Lafforgue M-P, Lion-François L, Kemlin I, Rodriguez D, Castelnau P, et al. Systematic MRI in NF1 children under six years of age for the diagnosis of optic pathway gliomas. Study and outcome of a French

CONCLUSIONS

The treatment approach of pediatric OPGs is still controversial. The purpose of management must be to reduce long-term disability.

Preliminary reports of efficacy and safety of molecularly driven targeted therapies prompts a reconsideration of the management strategy of these difficult cases.

In this scenario, a strong multidisciplinary approach might justify a growing role for surgery. Our preliminary data suggest the possibility of tissue sampling in virtually all cases with acceptable morbidity compared to more extensive surgical approaches.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AM and AC conceptualized the work. GDB and AC wrote the manuscript and contributed equally to the manuscript. VADA, AD and PV contributed to clinical data collection. GSC and AM reviewed radiological images. SR, IG and SB contributed to molecular data analysis and collection. MC contributed to surgical data collection. PM performed statistical analysis. EF, AC and AM contributed to the finishing of the work and revised it critically for important intellectual content.

All authors finally approved the version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Megan Eckley for helping in the English final version.

cohort. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol.* (2016) 20(2):275–81. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpn.2015.12.002

- Blazo MA, Lewis RA, Chintagumpala MM, Frazier M, McCluggage C, Plon SE. Outcomes of systematic screening for optic pathway tumors in children with Neurofibromatosis Type 1. *Am J Med Genet.* (2004) 127A(3):224–9. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.a.20650
- Prada CE, Hufnagel RB, Hummel TR, Lovell AM, Hopkin RJ, Saal HM, et al. The use of magnetic resonance imaging screening for optic pathway gliomas in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. *J Pediatr.* (2015) 167(4):851–6.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.07.001
- Falzon K, Dritmzias E, Picton S, Simmons I. Visual outcomes after chemotherapy for optic pathway glioma in children with and without neurofibromatosis type 1: results of the International Society of Paediatric

- Oncology (SIOP) Low-Grade Glioma 2004 trial UK cohort. *Br J Ophthalmol.* (2018) 102(10):1367–71. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2017-311305
8. Shofty B, Ben-Sira L, Kesler A, Constantini S. Optic pathway gliomas. *Adv Tech Stand Neurosurg.* (2015) 42:123–46. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-09066-5_7
 9. Dodgshun AJ, Elder JE, Hansford JR, Sullivan MJ. Long-term visual outcome after chemotherapy for optic pathway glioma in children: site and age are strongly predictive. *Cancer.* (2015) 121(23):4190–6. doi: 10.1002/cncr.29649
 10. Opocher E, Kremer LCM, Da Dalt L, van de Wetering MD, Viscardi E, Caron HN, et al. Prognostic factors for progression of childhood optic pathway glioma: a systematic review. *Eur J Cancer.* (2006) 42(12):1807–16. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2006.02.022
 11. Robert-Boire V, Rosca L, Samson Y, Ospina LH, Perreault S. Clinical presentation and outcome of patients with optic pathway glioma. *Pediatr Neurol.* (2017) 75:55–60. doi: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2017.06.019
 12. Shofty B, Ben-Sira L, Kesler A, Jallo G, Groves ML, Iyer RR, et al. Isolated optic nerve gliomas: a multicenter historical cohort study. *Br J Neurosurg.* (2017) 20(6):549–55. doi: 10.3171/2017.6.PEDS17107
 13. Avery RA, Mansoor A, Idrees R, Biggs E, Alsharid MA, Packer RJ, et al. Quantitative MRI criteria for optic pathway enlargement in neurofibromatosis type 1. *Neurology.* (2016) 86(24):2264–70. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000002771
 14. Parsa CF. Spontaneous regression of optic gliomas: thirteen cases documented by serial neuroimaging. *Arch Ophthalmol.* (2001) 119(4):516. doi: 10.1001/archophth.119.4.516
 15. Packer RJ, Iavarone A, Jones DTW, Blakeley JO, Bouffet E, Fisher MJ, et al. Implications of new understandings of gliomas in children and adults with NF1: report of a consensus conference. *Neuro-Oncology.* (2020) 22(6):773–84. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noaa036
 16. Fangusaro J, Onar-Thomas A, Poussaint TY, Wu S, Ligon AH, Lindeman N, et al. A phase II trial of selumetinib in children with recurrent optic pathway and hypothalamic low-grade glioma without NF1: a Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium study. *Neuro-Oncology.* (2021) 23(10):1777–88. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noab047
 17. Dodge HW. Gliomas of the optic nerves. *Arch Neuropsych.* (1958) 79(6):607. doi: 10.1001/archneurpsyc.1958.02340060003001
 18. Taylor T, Jaspas T, Milano G, Gregson R, Parker T, Ritzmann T, et al. Radiological classification of optic pathway gliomas: experience of a modified functional classification system. *BJR.* (2008) 81(970):761–6. doi: 10.1259/bjr/65246351
 19. Sylvester CL, Drohan LA, Sergott RC. Optic-nerve gliomas, chiasmal gliomas and neurofibromatosis type 1. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol.* (2006) 17(1):7–11. doi: 10.1097/01.icu.0000193070.32369.37
 20. Mishra MV, Andrews DW, Glass J, Evans JJ, Dicker AP, Shen X, et al. Characterization and outcomes of optic nerve gliomas: a population-based analysis. *J Neurooncol.* (2012) 107(3):591–7. doi: 10.1007/s11060-011-0783-2
 21. Listernick R, Ferner RE, Liu GT, Gutmann DH. Optic pathway gliomas in neurofibromatosis-1: controversies and recommendations. *Ann Neurol.* (2007) 61(3):189–98. doi: 10.1002/ana.21107
 22. Goodden J, Pizer B, Pettorini B, Williams D, Blair J, Didi M, et al. The role of surgery in optic pathway/hypothalamic gliomas in children: clinical article. *PED.* (2014) 13(1):1–12. doi: 10.3171/2013.8.PEDS12546
 23. Hill CS, Khan M, Phipps K, Green K, Hargrave D, Aquilina K. Neurosurgical experience of managing optic pathway gliomas. *Childs Nerv Syst.* (2021) 37(6):1917–29. doi: 10.1007/s00381-021-05060-8
 24. Ater JL, Zhou T, Holmes E, Mazewski CM, Booth TN, Freyer DR, et al. Randomized study of two chemotherapy regimens for treatment of low-grade glioma in young children: a report from the children's oncology group. *JCO.* (2012) 30(21):2641–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2011.36.6054
 25. Lassaletta A, Scheinemann K, Zelcer SM, Hukin J, Wilson BA, Jabado N, et al. Phase II weekly vinblastine for chemotherapy-naïve children with progressive low-grade glioma: a Canadian pediatric brain tumor consortium study. *JCO.* (2016) 34(29):3537–43. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2016.68.1585
 26. Parrozzani R, Miglionico G, Leonardi F, Pulze S, Trevisson E, Clementi M, et al. Correlation of peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer thickness with visual acuity in paediatric patients affected by optic pathway glioma. *Acta Ophthalmologica.* (2018) 96(8):e1004–9. doi: 10.1111/aos.13803
 27. Bedogni G, Giannone G, Maghnie M, Giacomozzi C, Di Iorgi N, Pedicelli S, et al. Serum insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) reference ranges for chemiluminescence assay in childhood and adolescence. Data from a population of in- and out-patients. *Growth Horm IGF Res.* (2012) 22(3–4):134–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ghir.2012.04.005
 28. Elmlinger MW, Kühnel W, Weber MM, Ranke MB. Reference ranges for two automated chemiluminescent assays for serum insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) and IGF-binding protein 3 (IGFBP-3). *Clin Chem Lab Med.* (2004) 42(6):654–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ghir.2012.04.005
 29. Jahraus CD, Tarbell NJ. Optic pathway gliomas. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* (2006) 46(5):586–96. doi: 10.1002/pbc.20655
 30. Jaing T-H, Lin K-L, Tsay P-K, Hsueh C, Hung P-C, Wu C-T, et al. Treatment of optic pathway hypothalamic gliomas in childhood: experience with 18 consecutive cases. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* (2008) 30(3):222–4. doi: 10.1097/MPH.0b013e318162bd6a
 31. Massimi L, Tufo T, Di Rocco C. Management of optic-hypothalamic gliomas in children: still a challenging problem. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther.* (2007) 7(11):1591–610. doi: 10.1586/14737140.7.11.1591
 32. Santoro C, Perrotta S, Picariello S, Scilipoti M, Cirillo M, Quaglietta L, et al. Pretreatment endocrine disorders due to optic pathway gliomas in pediatric neurofibromatosis type 1: multicenter study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2020) 105(6):dgaa138. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgaa138
 33. Sievert AJ, Fisher MJ. Pediatric low-grade gliomas. *J Child Neurol.* (2009) 24(11):1397–408. doi: 10.1177/0883073809342005
 34. Zoli M, Mazzatenta D, Valluzzi A, Marucci G, Acciarri N, et al. Expanding indications for the extended endoscopic endonasal approach to hypothalamic gliomas: preliminary report. *FOC.* (2014) 37(4):E11. doi: 10.3171/2014.7.FOCUS14317
 35. Ryall S, Zapotocky M, Fukuoka K, Nobre L, Guerreiro Stucklin A, Bennett J, et al. Integrated molecular and clinical analysis of 1,000 pediatric low-grade gliomas. *Cancer Cell.* (2020) 37(4):569–83.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2020.03.011
 36. Packer RJ, Pfister S, Bouffet E, Avery R, Bandopadhyay P, Bornhorst M, et al. Pediatric low-grade gliomas: implications of the biologic era. *Neuro Oncol.* (2017) 19(6):750–61. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/now209
 37. Jones DTW, Kieran MW, Bouffet E, et al. Pediatric low-grade gliomas: next biologically driven steps. *Neuro Oncol.* (2018) 20(2):160–73. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nox141
 38. Georger B, Moertel CL, Whitlock J, McCowage GB, Kieran MW, Broniscer A, et al. Phase I trial of trametinib alone and in combination with dabrafenib in children and adolescents with relapsed solid tumors or neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) progressive plexiform neurofibromas (PN). *JCO.* (2018) 36(15_suppl):10537.
 39. McCowage GB, Mueller S, Pratilas CA, Hargrave DR, Moertel CL, Whitlock J, et al. Trametinib in pediatric patients with neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF-1)-associated plexiform neurofibroma: a phase I/IIa study. *JCO.* (2018) 36(15_suppl):10504. doi: 10.1002/cam4.3910
 40. Ronsley R, Hounjet CD, Cheng S, Rassekh SR, Duncan WJ, Dunham C, et al. Trametinib therapy for children with neurofibromatosis type 1 and life-threatening plexiform neurofibroma or treatment-refractory low-grade glioma. *Cancer Med.* (2021) 10(11):3556–64. doi: 10.1002/cam4.3910
 41. Manoharan N, Choi J, Chordas C, Zimmerman MA, Scully J, Clymer J, et al. Trametinib for the treatment of recurrent/progressive pediatric low-grade glioma. *J Neurooncol.* (2020) 149(2):253–62. doi: 10.1007/s11060-020-03592-8
 42. Jones DTW, Hutter B, Jäger N, Korshunov A, Kool M, Warnatz H-J, et al. Recurrent somatic alterations of FGFR1 and NTRK2 in pilocytic astrocytoma. *Nat Genet.* (2013) 45(8):927–32. doi: 10.1038/ng.2682
 43. Sharma MK, Zehnbauser BA, Watson MA, Gutmann DH. RAS pathway activation and an oncogenic RAS mutation in sporadic pilocytic astrocytoma. *Neurology.* (2005) 65(8):1335–6. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000180409.78098.d7
 44. Hargrave DR, Bouffet E, Tabori U, Broniscer A, Cohen KJ, Hansford JR, et al. Efficacy and safety of dabrafenib in pediatric patients with BRAF V600 mutation-positive relapsed or refractory low-grade glioma: results from a phase I/IIa study. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2019) 25(24):7303–11. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-2177
 45. Fangusaro J, Onar-Thomas A, Young Poussaint T, Wu S, Ligon AH, Lindeman N, et al. Selumetinib in paediatric patients with BRAF-aberrant

- or neurofibromatosis type 1-associated recurrent, refractory, or progressive low-grade glioma: a multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2019) 20(7):1011–22. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30277-3
46. Bouffet E, Kieran M, Hargrave D, Roberts S, Aerts I, Broniscer A, et al. LGG-46. Trametinib therapy in pediatric patients with low-grade gliomas (lgg) with braf gene fusion; a disease-specific cohort in the first pediatric testing of trametinib. *Neuro Oncol.* (2018) 20(Suppl 2):i114. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noy059.387
47. Del Bufalo F, Ceglie G, Cacchione A, Alessi I, Colafati GS, Carai A, et al. BRAF V600E inhibitor (vemurafenib) for BRAF V600E mutated low grade gliomas. *Front Oncol.* (2018) 8:526. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00526
48. Cacchione A, Lodi M, Carai A, Miele E, Tartaglia M, Megaro G, et al. Upfront treatment with mTOR inhibitor everolimus in pediatric low-grade gliomas: a single-center experience. *Int J Cancer.* (2021) 148(10):2522–34. doi: 10.1002/ijc.33438
49. Hill CS, Devesa SC, Ince W, Borg A, Aquilina K. A systematic review of ongoing clinical trials in optic pathway gliomas. *Childs Nerv Syst.* (2020) 36(9):1869–86. doi: 10.1007/s00381-020-04724-1

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Del Baldo, Cacchione, Dell'anna, Merli, Colafati, Marrazzo, Rossi, Giovannoni, Barresi, Deodati, Valente, Ferretti, Capece, Mastronuzzi and Carai. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.