Pediatric Central Nervous System Cancers, Version 2.2023

Amar Gajjar, MD^{1,*}; Anita Mahajan, MD^{2,*}; Mohamed Abdelbaki, MD³; Clarke Anderson, MD⁴; Reuben Antony, MD, MBBCh, MRCP⁵; Tejus Bale, MD, PhD⁶; Ranjit Bindra, MD, PhD⁷; Daniel C. Bowers, MD⁸; Kenneth Cohen, MD, MBA⁹; Bonnie Cole, MD¹⁰; Kathleen Dorris, MD^{11,*}; Ralph Ermoian, MD^{10,*}; Andrea Franson, MD, MS^{12,*}; Jeffrey Helgager, MD, PhD¹³; Daniel Landi, MD¹⁴; Chi Lin, MD, PhD^{15,*}; Laura Metrock, MD¹⁶; Ronica Nanda, MD^{17,*}; Joshua Palmer, MD¹⁸; Sonia Partap, MD¹⁹; Ashley Plant, MD²⁰; Sumit Pruthi, MD²¹; Renee Reynolds, MD²²; Paul Ruggieri, MD^{23,*}; Duncan Stearns, MD²³; Phillip Storm, MD²⁴; Anthony Wang, MD^{25,*}; Katherine Warren, MD²⁶; Nicholas Whipple, MD, MPH²⁷; Wafik Zaky, MBBCh²⁸; Nicole R. McMillian, MS, CHES²⁹; and Lenora A. Pluchino, PhD²⁹

ABSTRACT

Central nervous system (CNS) cancers account for approximately one quarter of all pediatric tumors and are the leading cause of cancerrelated death in children. More than 4,000 brain and CNS tumors are diagnosed each year in children and teens, and the incidence rate has remained stagnant in recent years. The most common malignant pediatric CNS tumors are gliomas, embryonal tumors consisting of predominately medulloblastomas, and germ cell tumors. The inaugural version of the NCCN Guidelines for Pediatric Central Nervous System Cancers focuses on the diagnosis and management of patients with pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas. The information contained in the NCCN Guidelines is designed to help clinicians navigate the complex management of pediatric patients with diffuse high-grade gliomas. The prognosis for these highly aggressive tumors is generally poor, with 5-year survival rates of ,20% despite the use of combined modality therapies of surgery, radiation therapy and systemic therapy. Recent advances in molecular profiling has expanded the use of targeted therapies in patients whose tumors harbor certain alterations. However, enrollment in a clinical trial is the preferred treatment for eligible patients.

> J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2022;20(12):1339–1362 doi: [10.6004/jnccn.2022.0062](https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2022.0062)

¹St. Jude Children's Research Hospital/The University of Tennessee Health Science Center; ²Mayo Clinic Cancer Center; ³Siteman Cancer Center at Barnes-Jewish Hospital and Washington University School of Medicine; ⁴City of Hope National Medical Center; ⁵UC Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center; ⁶ Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center; ⁷ Yale Cancer Center/Smilow Cancer Hospital; ⁸UT Southwestern Simmons Comprehensive Cancer Center;
⁹The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins; ⁹The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins;
¹⁰Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center; ¹¹University of Colorado Cancer Center; 12

¹²University of Michigan Rogel Cancer Center; ¹³University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center; ¹⁴Duke Cancer Institute; ¹⁵Fred & Pamela Buffett Cancer Center; 16O'Neal Comprehensive Cancer Center at UAB; ¹⁷Moffitt Cancer Center; ¹⁸The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center - James Cancer Hospital and
Solove Research Institute; ¹⁹Stanford Cancer Institute; ²⁰Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center of Northwestern University; ²¹Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center; ²²University at Buffalo Neurosurgery; ²³Case Comprehensive Cancer Center/University Hospitals Seidman Cancer Center and Cleveland Clinic Taussig Cancer Institute; 24Abramson Cancer Center at the University of Pennsylvania; ²⁵UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center; ²⁶Dana-Farber/ Brigham and Women's Cancer Center | Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center; 27Huntsman Cancer Institute at the University of Utah; 28The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center; and ²⁹National Comprehensive Cancer Network.

NCCN CATEGORIES OF EVIDENCE AND CONSENSUS

Category 1: Based upon high-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 2A: Based upon lower-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 2B: Based upon lower-level evidence, there is NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 3: Based upon any level of evidence, there is major NCCN disagreement that the intervention is appropriate.

All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise noted.

Clinical trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

PLEASE NOTE

The NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) are a statement of evidence and consensus of the authors regarding their views of currently accepted approaches to treatment. Any clinician seeking to apply or consult the NCCN Guidelines is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine any patient's care or treatment. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN®) makes no representations or warranties of any kind regarding their content, use, or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

The complete NCCN Guidelines for Pediatric Central Nervous System Cancers are not printed in this issue of JNCCN but can be accessed online at NCCN.org.

© National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. The NCCN Guidelines and the illustrations herein may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

Disclosures for the NCCN Pediatric Central Nervous System Cancers Panel

At the beginning of each NCCN Guidelines Panel meeting, panel members review all potential conflicts of interest. NCCN, in keeping with its commitment to public transparency, publishes these disclosures for panel members, staff, and NCCN itself.

Individual disclosures for the NCCN Pediatric Central Nervous System Cancers Panel members can be found on page 1362. (The most recent version of these guidelines and accompanying disclosures are available at NCCN.org.)

The complete and most recent version of these guidelines is available free of charge at NCCN.org.

*Discussion Writing Committee Member.

INTRODUCTION TO PEDIATRIC DIFFUSE HIGH-GRADE GLIOMAS¹⁻⁴

All patients with pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas should be cared for by a multidisciplinary team with experience managing central nervous system (CNS) tumors

Epidemiology of Pediatric Diffuse High-Grade Gliomas

• 14.8% of all intracranial neoplasms are among children and adolescents (<19 years).

- . The incidence of pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas among children and adolescents is roughly 1.8 per 100,000 population.
- · Incidence varies with age.
- ∙ 5-year overall survival is <20%.
- . Prognostic features include age at presentation (<3 and >13 years), tumor location, sex, extent of resection, and genomic profile.

Risk Factors

-
- ▶ Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1)
- ▶ Li-Fraumeni syndrome
-
- Furcot syndrome/Lynch syndrome/constitutional mismatch repair deficiency (cMMRD):
◊ Mutations in APC/familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) locus (more often associated with medulloblastoma)
- ◊ Mutations in mismatch repair (MMR) genes
- . Exposure to ionizing radiation: Therapeutic cranial radiation treatments increase risk for pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas.

Clinical Presentation

- The most common symptoms include effects of increased intracranial pressure, such as headache, nausea, and vomiting
- . Other presenting symptoms include seizure, hemiparesis, monoparesis, cranial nerve deficits, ataxia, hemisensory loss, dysphasia, aphasia,
- and memory impairment. • Presenting symptoms among infants include increased head circumference and loss of developmental milestones.
- Shorter length of symptoms is associated with worse prognosis in older studies.

Treatment

- . Treatment for pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas frequently includes surgery, radiation therapy (RT), and chemotherapy
- · Goals of surgery include the safe reduction of tumor-associated mass effect and obtaining adequate tissue for histologic and molecular
- classification.
- . Referral for cancer predisposition evaluation and/or genetic counseling should be considered.
- a A multidisciplinary team that includes pediatric oncologists/neuro-oncologists, pediatric radiation oncologists, pathologists with expertise in neuropathology and molecular pathology, pediatric neuroradiologists, and pediatric neurosurgeons is strongly encouraged.

Overview

Pediatric central nervous system (CNS) tumors are fundamentally different than adult CNS tumors in terms of tumor type, histology, tumor location, molecular characterization, and treatment options. Although pediatric tumors are rare, accounting for only 1% of all tumor diagnoses, they are the leading cause of disease-related death in children. CNS cancers are the second most common malignancy in children after leukemia and lymphoma combined.¹ They account for 26% of all pediatric tumors and are the leading cause of cancer-related death in children.² More than 4,000 brain and spinal cord tumors are diagnosed each year in children and teens, and the incidence rate has remained stagnant in recent years.¹ According to the Central Brain Tumor Registry of the United States Statistical Report, the incidence rate of primary CNS tumors in children \leq 14 years of age was 5.83 per 100,000 population between 2013 and 2017.³ The most common malignant pediatric CNS tumors are gliomas, embryonal tumors consisting of predominately medulloblastomas, and germ cell tumors.3 Information on the literature search criteria and guidelines update methodology, using the PubMed database, 4 are available in these guidelines at NCCN.org.

Tumor Types

The NCCN Guidelines for Pediatric Central Nervous System Cancers focus on the management of pediatric patients with malignant diseases of the CNS. These guidelines will be updated annually to include new information or treatment philosophies as they become available. However, because this field continually evolves, practitioners should use all available information to determine the best clinical options for their patients. The initial version of the guidelines addresses pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas. Additional tumor types will be addressed in subsequent versions of the NCCN Guidelines.

References INTRO 1 OF 2

Principles of Management

Several important principles guide surgical management and treatment with radiation therapy (RT) and systemic therapy for children with CNS tumors, including tumor histology, patient age and performance status, location of the tumor in the brain, resectability of the tumor, and prior management. All patients with pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas should be cared for by a multidisciplinary team with experience managing CNS tumors. The involvement of pediatric oncologists/neuro-oncologists, pediatric radiation oncologists, pathologists with expertise in neuropathology and molecular pathology, pediatric neuroradiologists, and

INTRODUCTION TO PEDIATRIC DIFFUSE HIGH-GRADE GLIOMAS **REFERENCES**

¹ Udaka YT, Packer RJ. Pediatric brain tumors. Neurol Clin 2018;36:533-556.
² Coleman C, Stoller S, Grotzer M, Stucklin AG. Pediatric hemispheric high-grade glioma: targeting the future. Cancer Metastasis Rev 2020;39:2

Neuro-Oncology 2020;22(12 Suppl 2):iv1-iv96. 4 Jones C, Karajannis MA, Jones DTW, et al. Pediatric high-grade glioma: biologically and clinically in need of new thinking. Neuro Oncol 2017;19:153-161.

Version 2.2023, 10/31/22 © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved.
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

INTRO 2 OF 2

pediatric neurosurgeons is strongly encouraged. The pathologic diagnosis is critical and may be difficult to accurately determine without sufficient tumor tissue. Review of the tumor tissue by an experienced neuropathologist is highly recommended.

The information contained in the algorithms and principles of management sections are designed to help clinicians navigate the complex management of pediatric patients with CNS tumors. Systemic therapy options are listed in "Principles of Systemic Therapy" (page 1352); however, enrollment in a clinical trial is the preferred treatment for eligible patients.

WHO Classification of Pediatric CNS Tumors

Due to the unique nature of childhood tumors made clear by advancements in molecular analyses, pediatric tumors are now covered in a separate volume of the recently published fifth edition of the WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System (WHO CNS5).^{5,6} The inaugural WHO Classification of Pediatric CNS Tumors featured fundamental paradigm shifts affecting pediatric CNS tumor classification, including the use of a layered, integrated diagnostic approach involving both histologic and molecular analyses; the inclusion of novel, molecularly defined tumor entities; the adaptation of tumor grading as a measure of differential aggressiveness within a tumor type rather than between tumor types; and the widespread introduction of novel molecular diagnostic tools for tumor classification.

Pediatric Diffuse High-Grade Gliomas

In WHO CNS5, gliomas are divided into 4 distinct categories: adult-type diffuse gliomas (the majority of primary brain tumors in adults), pediatric-type diffuse low-grade gliomas (expected to have good prognoses), pediatrictype diffuse high-grade gliomas (expected to have poor prognosis), and circumscribed astrocytic gliomas (referring to their more concentrated growth pattern).5

The NCCN Guidelines for Pediatric CNS Cancers currently include recommendations for the management of the 4 types of pediatric-type diffuse high-grade gliomas recognized in WHO CNS5⁵:

- diffuse hemispheric glioma, H3 G34-mutant
• diffuse pediatric-type high-grade glioma, H3-w
- diffuse pediatric-type high-grade glioma, H3–wild-types and IDH–wild-type
- infant-type hemispheric glioma
• diffuse midline glioma (DMG).
- diffuse midline glioma (DMG), H3 K27-altered

The first 3 are newly recognized tumor entities. Diffuse hemispheric glioma, H3 G34-mutant is a malignant,

infiltrative glioma of the cerebral hemispheres with a missense mutation in the H3F3A gene that results in a G34R/V substitution of histone H3. Diffuse pediatric-type high-grade glioma, H3–wild-type and IDH–wild-type represents a mixture of distinct molecular subtypes specified as being wild-type for both H3 and IDH gene families. Infant-type hemispheric glioma is a novel tumor type typically occurring in newborns and very young children and is associated with fusion genes involving ALK, ROS1, NTRK1/2/3, or MET. Although this is not a new entity, the nomenclature was changed from DMG, H3 K27-mutant to DMG, H3 K27-altered to include subtypes with a different mechanism for the loss of H3K27 trimethylation (eg, EZHIP protein overexpression).5,6

Introduction to Pediatric Diffuse High-Grade Glioma

Epidemiology

Pediatric diffuse high-grade glioma has an incidence rate of roughly 1.8 per 100,000 population and represents approximately 15% of all intracranial neoplasms diagnosed in children and adolescents \leq 19 years of age (see INTRO, page 1340).^{1,7} Although incidence rates generally decrease with age from 0–19 years, they are highest for age groups 0–4 years (6.18/100,000 population) and 15–19 years (7.09/ 100,000 population).³ The prognosis for these highly aggressive tumors is generally poor, with 5-year survival rates of ,20% despite the use of combined modality therapies of surgery, RT, and systemic therapy.⁷ Prognosis and survival rates depend on multiple factors, including age at presentation, tumor location, sex, extent of resection, histologic subtype and genomic profile.⁸ Although diagnosis is more common in females, males typically have higher mortality rates from CNS tumors.³

Risk Factors

Although the cause of most pediatric CNS tumors is unknown, several genetic and environmental factors have been linked to an increased risk of primary brain tumor development in children. Certain inherited cancer predisposition syndromes, including neurofibromatosis type-1, Li-Fraumeni syndrome, and Turcot syndrome/Lynch syndrome/ constitutional mismatch repair deficiency (cMMRD), are associated with increased susceptibility to pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas (see INTRO, page 1340).^{9–11} Exposure to high-dose ionizing radiation has also been linked to pediatric brain malignancies.^{9,12,13} Ionizing radiation has more carcinogenic potential in children because they are more radiosensitive than adults and have more potential years of life to express the risk.¹³ Estimated

risk is higher for younger children, and the estimated latency between radiation exposure and brain tumor development is 7–9 years, with meningiomas and gliomas being the most common radiation-induced tumor types.^{3,8,9,13}

Clinical Presentation

Presentation and symptoms depend largely on tumor location and patient age at the time of diagnosis.¹⁴ The most common symptoms include effects of increased intracranial pressure, such as headaches that get worse over time, nausea, vomiting, and blurred vision. These may be caused by growth of the tumor, swelling in the brain, or blocked flow of cerebrospinal fluid.¹ Other presenting symptoms include seizure, hemiparesis, monoparesis, cranial nerve deficits, ataxia, hemisensory loss, dysphasia, aphasia, and memory impairment. Presenting symptoms among infants include increasing head circumference and loss of developmental milestones. School-age children may experience poor school performance, fatigue, and personality changes. Symptoms may occur gradually and worsen over time or happen suddenly, such as with a seizure.¹

Treatment Overview

Treatment of pediatric diffuse high-grade glioma depends on many factors such as the type of tumor, its location and size, how far it has spread, and the age and overall health of the patient.¹ The main treatment paradigm includes surgery followed by systemic therapy with or without RT. The goals of surgery include the safe reduction of tumor-associated mass effect and obtaining adequate tissue for histologic and molecular classification. The location and size of the tumor and the general condition of the patient are important determinants of surgical outcome.^{8,9,15,16} Cranial radiation may result in developmental impairments in young children; therefore, omitting RT in children \leq 3 years of age is reasonable.⁸ Despite surgery and adjuvant therapy, pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas typically have a poor prognosis. Referral for cancer predisposition evaluation and/or genetic counseling should be considered.

Principles of Brain and Spine Tumor Imaging

Conventional MRI is recommended for tumor diagnosis, surgical guidance, and therapeutic monitoring. It may be complemented by advanced neuroimaging techniques such as MR perfusion imaging, MR spectroscopy, and PET to enhance diagnostic capability, differentiate radiation necrosis from active neoplasm, and guide biopsy. Imaging is always recommended to investigate the etiology of emergent signs and symptoms. The subsequent

sections list imaging modalities used in neuro-oncology to make treatment decisions (see PEDCNS-A, page 1346).

MRI of the Brain and/or Spine

Conventional MRI of the entire neural axis (with and without intravenous contrast) is the imaging modality of choice for the evaluation of pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas.17 MRI offers excellent soft tissue contrast and depiction of neoplasms through a combination of standard, universally available pulse sequences. An additional benefit of MRI is that the patients is not exposed to ionizing radiation. Pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas typically show an infiltrative growth pattern and present as large, heterogeneous, poorly differentiated, intracranial masses with indistinct borders occupying most of one hemisphere or spread through the corpus callosum into the other hemisphere.⁸ They may demonstrate mass effect on surrounding structures, hemorrhage, increased perfusion, vasogenic edema, and a variable degree of contrast enhancement.17 Higher grade components commonly enhance and demonstrate restricted diffusion, which is a key feature that reflects the high-grade nature of the tumor.8 Limitations of MRI include the relatively long examination time; requirement of deep sedation/ anesthesia for younger children; metal from surgery and

implants causing artifacts; and the fact that some implants are unsafe in the MRI environment.

Compared with gray matter, pediatric diffuse highgrade gliomas may demonstrate iso- to hypointense T1 signal and hyperintense T2 signal with surrounding edema, which is apparent on fluid attenuation inversion recovery images. Different signal characteristics can be seen in the case of tumor hemorrhage, such as T1 hyperintense, T2 hypointense, and low signal on susceptibilityweighted imaging.¹⁷ Therefore, basic MRI sequences of the brain should include T1-weighted images before contrast, T1-weighted images in 2 planes after contrast (one of which would ideally be acquired as a 3D sequence), T2-weighted, T2-fluid attenuation inversion recovery, and diffusion-weighted imaging, and gradient echo or susceptibility-weighted (blood-sensitive) imaging. These images should be used for preliminary diagnostic evaluation and immediate postoperative follow-up (ideally within 24–48 hours after surgery, if clinically feasible) to evaluate disease burden (measurable and nonmeasurable disease) on initial examination and extent of resection on immediate postoperative scan.¹⁸⁻²¹

Basic MRI of the spine should include postcontrast sagittal and axial T1-weighted images of the entire neural axis; additional sequences such as heavily T2-weighted images

and/or diffusion-weighted imaging may be considered. These images should be used to evaluate for leptomeningeal metastasis. Preoperative spine imaging should be performed at the time of brain imaging because many children require sedation to tolerate the examination.

Follow-up studies of the brain and spine should be performed at intervals defined by the treatment algorithms (see "NCCN Recommendations," page 1356). More frequent imaging may be necessary in the event of clinical deterioration or evolving imaging findings concerning for recurrent or residual disease. Longitudinal follow-up studies may be complemented by MR perfusion or MR spectroscopy to assess response to therapy or to evaluate for progression, pseudoprogression, or radiation necrosis. Postoperative spine MRI evaluating for leptomeningeal spread of neoplasm should be delayed 2–3 weeks to avoid confusion with blood byproducts.

MR Perfusion

MR perfusion refers to a group of techniques which measure cerebral blood volume and/or cerebral blood flow (CBF) in neoplasms. These techniques may be useful for grading, response assessment, identifying malignant degeneration and pseudo-progression, distinguishing radiation necrosis from recurrent neoplasm, and choosing biopsy site.²²–²⁴ Limitations of MR perfusion include the degradation of reliability by adjacent metal, blood byproducts, air, and bone/soft tissue interface; and other general limitations of MRI as listed previously. Generally, most high-grade gliomas show higher perfusion (increased cerebral blood volume and/or CBF) than low-grade gliomas.^{17,25}

Various MR perfusion techniques include dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced (DSC), dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE), and arterial spin labeling (ASL) perfusion. The choice among these will depend on user availability and preference. DSC perfusion is the most commonly used technique. Due to the need for power injectors and large-bore intravenous access, DSC is challenging to perform on infants but is feasible in young children.17 Other limitations include calcification and hemorrhage-induced susceptibility within the tumor and contrast leakage due to breakdown of the blood-brain barrier.17 DCE can be used as an alternative or complementary technique to DSC, although few studies have assessed its use in children.26,27 The advantages of DCE over DSC are fewer artifacts, multiparametric characterization of tumor microvasculature, and the quantification of leakage to assess blood-brain barrier integrity²⁸; however,

PRINCIPLES OF BRAIN AND SPINE TUMOR IMAGING^a

Conventional MRI is recommended for tumor diagnosis, surgical guidance, and therapeutic monitoring. It may be complemented by advanced neuroimaging techniques such as MR perfusion imaging, MR spectroscopy, and PET to enhance diagnostic capability, differentiate radiation necrosis from active neoplasm, and guide biopsy. Imaging is always recommend and symptoms. Below is a list of imaging modalities available and used in neuro-oncology to make treatment decisions.

MRI¹⁻⁴ of the Brain and/or Spine (entire neural axis) (with and without IV contrast)

- Benefits: excellent soft tissue contrast and depiction of neoplasms through a combination of standard, universally available pulse sequences; typically infiltrative growth pattern; higher grade components commonly enhance and demonstrate restricted diffusion; no ionizing radiation
- Limitations: relatively long examinations; sensitive to patient motion so younger children generally require deep sedation/anesthesia; metal
from surgery and implants cause artifact; some implants are unsafe in MRI envir
- Basic MRI sequences of the brain should include T1-weighted images before contrast, T1-weighted images in two planes after contrast (one of which would ideally be acquired as a 3D sequence), T2-weighted, T2-FLAIR, and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and gradient echo or
susceptibility-weighted (blood-sensitive) imaging.
- > These should be utilized for preliminary diagnostic evaluation and immediate postoperative follow-up (ideally within 24-48 hours postop, if clinically feasible) to evaluate disease burden (measurable and non-measurable disease) on initial exam and extent of resection on immediate postoperative scan.
- > 2D acquisitions should be ≤4-mm slices; 3D acquisitions should be nearly isotropic.
- > Post-contrast 3D sequence can be obtained as either 3D T1-weighted gradient echo or turbo spin echo (TSE) acquisitions for planar reconstructions and/or volumetric analysis of tumors.
- · Group II gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs) are recommended for use given the potential of higher gadolinium retention with linear **GBCAs**
- Basic MRI imaging of the spine should include post-contrast sagittal and axial T1-weighted images of the entire neural axis. Additional
sequences such as heavily T2-weighted images and/or DWI may be helpful.
-
- These should be utilized to evaluate for leptomeningeal spread of neoplasm.
-
- A Sagittal slices should be 3 mm and axial slices may be 3- to 4-mm slices.
♦ Preoperative spine imaging should be performed at the time of brain imaging since many children require sedation to tolerate the exam. > Postoperative spine MRIs should be delayed to occur at least 10 days after surgery if evaluating for leptomeningeal spread of neoplasm to
- be necessary if indicated by the treating physician in the event of clinical deterioration or evolving imaging findings concerning for recurrent or residual disease.
- > Longitudinal follow-up studies may be complemented by MR perfusion or MR spectroscopy to assess response to therapy or to evaluate for progression, pseudoprogression, or radiation necrosis if those techniques are available.

a Some imaging modalities or techniques may not be available at all institutions

Version 2.2023, 10/31/22 © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved,
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

PEDCNS-A 1 OF 3

DSC typically offers better blood volume estimation than $DCE.²⁹$

ASL perfusion, which uses magnetically labeled water as contrast, has been shown to be effective in grading and choosing biopsy site in children with brain tumors.^{30–32} ASL lacks contrast injection and high-flow injections making it advantageous for pediatric use. Other advantages include easier potential for CBF quantification, better image quality in younger children due to their immature sinus cavities, and the ability to repeat the test if the patient moves.^{17,33} Limitations of ASL perfusion include a low signal-to-noise ratio, the need for greater magnetic field strength and the fact that assessment is limited to CBF.³⁴

MR Spectroscopy

MR spectroscopy is used to assess the metabolites of tissues including neoplasms and may be useful for grading, response assessment, identifying malignant degeneration and pseudo-progression, distinguishing radiation necrosis from recurrent neoplasm, and choosing biopsy site. $17,24,35$ The choice between single voxel and multivoxel spectroscopy will depend on user preference and availability. The limitations of MR spectroscopy include the degradation of reliability by adjacent metal, blood by-products, and bone/soft

tissue/air interfaces; long and complex acquisitions; nonstandard acquisitions; nonstandard postprocessing; and postprocessing time.

A systematic review and meta-analysis comprising 455 patients across 18 studies showed that MR spectroscopy alone has only moderate diagnostic ability to differentiate glioma recurrence from radiation necrosis, and should therefore be combined with other techniques for this purpose.³⁵ Conversely, another systematic review and meta-analysis comparing the diagnostic accuracy of advanced MRI techniques to conventional MRI found that MR spectroscopy had the highest diagnostic accuracy for treatment response evaluation in patients with high-grade glioma, supporting its use for this purpose. 24

CT of the Brain

MRI scans are used more often than CT scans for brain and spine imaging because they are more detailed and do not use radiation. However, there are some circumstances in which CT scan provides advantages over MRI. CT offers higher sensitivity to dystrophic calcification in neoplasms. It also provides greater detail of bone structures and therefore might show the effects of tumors on the skull.¹ CT also has a shorter acquisition time, and sedation is generally not needed. Limitations of CT include limited

PRINCIPLES OF BRAIN AND SPINE TUMOR IMAGING⁸

CT of the Brain (with contrast or with and without contrast):
• Can be used for rapid assessment in the acute setting and for the evaluation of acute intracranial hemorrhage, ventriculomegaly, and shuntrelated issues

- Should be used in those patients in whom an MRI is contraindicated because of unsafe implants or foreign bodies.
- . Benefits: shorter acquisition; generally no sedation is needed; ideal in acute or immediate postoperative setting; sensitive to acute blood and calcium
- Limitations: ionizing radiation; limited soft tissue contrast; metal causes artifact

MR Perfusion⁵⁻⁷: Measures cerebral blood volume and/or cerebral blood flow in neoplasms; choice of various techniques (dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced [DSC] vs. dynamic contrast-enhanced [DCE] vs. arterial spin labeling [ASL] perfusion) will depend upon user availability and preference

- . May be helpful for grading neoplasms, assessing response to therapy, identifying malignant degeneration and pseudoprogression,
- distinguishing radiation necrosis from recurrent neoplasm, and choosing biopsy site • Limitations: reliability degraded by adjacent metal, blood byproducts, air, and bone/soft tissue interface; other general limitations of MRI are as listed above

MR Spectroscopy^{7,8}: Assess metabolites of neoplasms (choice of single voxel vs. multivoxel spectroscopy will depend on user preference and availability)

. May be helpful for grading neoplasms, assessing response to therapy, identifying malignant degeneration and pseudoprogression, distinguishing radiation necrosis from recurrent neoplasm, and choosing biopsy site

Limitations: complex acquisition; long acquisitions; nonstandard acquisition and post-processing; reliability degraded by adjacent metal, blood byproducts, and bone/soft tissue/air interfaces

Brain PET Studies: Assess brain tissue metabolism with radiopharmaceutical
• May be useful in differentiating between neoplasm and radiation necrosis, tumor grading, or identifying more aggressive focus for biopsy

. Limitations: spatial resolution; availability of radioisotopes; additional radiation exposure

Supplemental Imaging for Preoperative Planning:

- . Isotropic volumetric MRI to accurately localize the neoplasms by coregistering the data with intraoperative guidance software; often
complemented with isotropic CT studies to improve localization
- . Functional MRI studies can be used to depict spatial relationships between eloquent cortex (eg, regions of the brain primarily responsible for speech, vision, and motor and sensory function) and the neoplasms to serve as a road map and promote safe resections
- . Pressure in a maging (DTI) may also be used to localize major white matter tracts underlying the eloquent cortex that could also
- compromise vital functions if injured during surgery

a Some imaging modalities or techniques may not be available at all institutions.

Version 2.2023, 10/31/22 @ National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved.
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

PEDCNS-A 2 OF 3

soft tissue contrast; patient exposure to ionizing radiation; and metal-caused artifacts.

On CT, pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas typically present as heterogeneous lesions with mass effect, poorly defined margins, and variable areas of hyperattenuation, which may reflect hemorrhage, necrosis, or surrounding edema. Contrast-enhanced CT features are variable.¹⁷

CT of the brain (without contrast or with and without contrast) is ideal for rapid assessment in the acute or immediate postoperative setting and for the evaluation of acute intracranial hemorrhage, ventriculomegaly, and shunt related issues. CT should also be used in patients in whom an MRI is contraindicated because of unsafe implants or foreign bodies.

Brain PET Studies

Brain PET studies assess brain tissue metabolism using a radiopharmaceutical, usually the glucose metabolism tracer FDG. PET is typically combined with anatomic imaging and may be useful in differentiating between neoplasm and radiation necrosis, tumor grading, or identifying more aggressive focus for biopsy. Since PET scan images are not as detailed as CT or MRI, it is used mostly as a complementary test to provide information about whether abnormal areas seen on other imaging tests are likely to be tumors.³⁶ PET is more likely to be helpful for identifying high-grade tumors than lowgrade tumors.³⁶ Additional limitations of PET include availability of radioisotopes and radiation exposure to the patient.

Supplemental Imaging for Preoperative Planning

Isotropic volumetric MRI may be used for preoperative planning to accurately localize the neoplasms by coregistering the data with intraoperative guidance software. This technique is often complemented with isotropic CT studies to improve localization. Functional MRI studies can be used to depict spatial relationships between eloquent cortex (eg, regions of the brain primarily responsible for speech, vision, and motor and sensory function) and the neoplasms to serve as a road map and promote safe resections. Diffusion tensor imaging may also be used to localize major white matter tracts underlying the eloquent cortex that could also compromise vital functions if injured during surgery.

Principles of Pathology

Major molecular and genetic differences exist between pediatric and adult CNS tumors, which are established in WHO CNS5.^{5,6,17} In contrast to tumors in adults, tumors in children typically carry a much lower burden

REFERENCES

¹ Erker C, Tamrazi B, Poussaint TY, et al. Response assessment in paediatric high-grade glioma: recommendations from the Response Assessment in Pediatric Neuro-Oncology (RAPNO) working group. Lancet Oncol 2020; 21:e317-e329.
² Cooney TM, Cohen KJ, Guimaraes CV, et al. Response assessment in diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma: recommendation from the Response Assessment in Pediatr

Started gibtary, being used to the entertainment of exceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced perfusion MR imaging. Radiology 2009;253:486-496.
The started profile of the started perfusion MR imaging. Radiology 2009;253:486

Version 2.2023, 10/31/22 © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved.
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

PEDCNS-A 3 OF 3

of genetic aberrations (except for hypermutant tumors) and are often driven by a single genetic driver event, such as a point mutation or translocation leading to an oncogenic fusion.5,6 The NCCN Guidelines describe guiding principles for the diagnosis of pediatric CNS tumors according to the parameters of WHO CNS5.5,6 A general workflow for processing of tissue and tumor characterization using histologic, immunohistochemical (IHC), and molecular data are presented in the "Principles of Pathology" section of the algorithm (see PEDCNS-B, page 1349). However, this is not meant to serve as an exhaustive algorithm for diagnosis and classification of the multitude of subtypes of pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas that have presently been described.

Standard Histopathologic Examination and Classification

Integrated histopathologic and molecular characterization of gliomas per WHO CNS5 should be standard practice.⁵ Molecular and genetic characterization complements standard histopathologic analysis, providing additional diagnostic and prognostic information that improves diagnostic accuracy and aids in treatment and clinical trial selection. Therefore, histologic and IHC examination should be performed on all tumors. Care should be taken to conserve tissue, and IHC studies for molecular markers may be skipped in lieu of submitting tissue directly for molecular studies in cases where the specimen is scant. Commonly used IHC markers for molecular alterations, and broad indications for using them, are listed in the algorithm (see PEDCNS-B 1 of 4, page 1349). However, as stated previously, this is not intended to be an exhaustive list. Molecular alterations demonstrated by IHC may require confirmation by molecular methods (see "Molecular Characterization," next section).

Molecular Characterization

Pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas comprise a biologically diverse group of tumors. There is a high degree of histologic overlap and nonspecificity of histologic features among the numerous recognized pathologic entities of pediatric tumors, and underlying molecular alterations in pediatric gliomas are distinct from those seen in adults. This uncertainty that can be posed by overlapping tumor features underscores the immense importance of molecular testing in pediatric tumor diagnostics. Molecular testing in many cases is critical to diagnosis, distinguishing high-grade tumors from lower grade counterparts, and uncovering alterations that have been demonstrated to be prognostically relevant.³⁷⁻⁴² In addition, clinical trial stratification is becoming increasingly dependent on molecular characterization. See Table 1 on PEDCNS-B 3 of 4

Neuro-Oncology (RAPNO) working group. Lancet Oncol; 2020:21:830-8336.
3 Jaspan T, Morgan PS, Warmuth-Metz M, et al. Response assessment in pediatric neuro-oncology: implementation and expansion of the RANO criteria in a ra

⁴ Ellingson BM, Bendszus M, Boxerman J, et al. Consensus recommendations for a standardized Brain Tumor Imaging Protocol in clinical trials. Neuro Oncol
2015;17:1188-1198.
⁵ Wan B, Wang S, Tu M, et al. The diagnostic per 2017;96:e6333

a barajas R, Chang J, Segal MR, et al. Differentiation of recurrent glioblastoma multiforme from radiation necrosis after external beam radiation therapy with dynamic

PRINCIPLES OF BRAIN TUMOR PATHOLOGY

The standard practice for tumor classification should involve integration of histologic and molecular features, as per the World Health Organization (WHO) 2021 Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System. A general workflow for processing of tissue and tumor
characterization using histologic, immunohistochemical (IHC), and molecular data is pres exhaustive algorithm for diagnosis and classification of the multitude of subtypes of pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas that have presently been described.

Standard Histopathologic Examination and Classification

Histologic and IHC examination of the tumor should be performed. Care should be taken to conserve tissue, and IHC studies for molecular markers may be skipped in lieu of submitting tissue directly for molecular studies in cases where the specimen is scant. Commonly used IHC markers for molecular alterations, and broad indications for using them, are presented below. Molecular alterations demonstrated by IHC may require confirmation by molecular methods (see Molecular Characterization [PEDCNS-B 2 of 4]).

Commonly Used IHC Markers for High-Grade Glial Tumors

- grade 4, with fulfillment of appropriate histologic parameters, particularly with a supportive molecular profile. Should be used in conjunction with H3 K27M, in which positivity is also diagnostic of this entity in the appropriate context
- INI1 (SMARCB1) rhabdoid morphology)
- . IDH1 R132H (particularly for AYA patients): Positivity is diagnostic of an IDH-mutant diffuse glioma including oligodendroglioma; IDH-mutant and 1p/19q codeleted, and astrocytoma, IDH-mutant. These tumors are considered to be adult-type diffuse gliomas and are beyond the scope of these guidelines. Please refer to the adult NCCN Guidelines for Central Nervous System Cancers^t.

- Limited Tissue Sample/Specimen
• When tissue is limited, recommend obtaining the following if possible:
- ▶ Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) histology
- **Limited IHC panel**
- **Next generation sequencing (NGS)**
- Methylation profiling
- Limited IHC panels should only employ stains that would provide essential diagnostic information; in cases of particularly limited tissue, stains for mutations (such as *IDH1* R132H or BRAF V600E) already covered by NGS can also be omitted if redundant.

Version 2.2023, 10/31/22 © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved.
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

PEDCNS-B 1 OF 4

(page 1351) for molecular alterations of significance in pediatric gliomas.

In light of the sheer number of genes of interest, in conjunction with the many types of recurrent alterations (including point mutations, insertion/deletions, copy number variations, and fusions), broad molecular testing is required for comprehensive classification of pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas. Broad molecular testing should include detection of copy number and gene fusions via next-generation sequencing (NGS) with fusion detection (ROS1, MET, NTRK1/2/3, ALK, FGFR1/2/ 3), RNA sequencing, or high-resolution copy number array. DNA methylation-based analysis may offer objective, more precise tumor classification; however, it should not be used as a first-line molecular test. In the pediatric population, dedicated germline testing should be strongly considered in the appropriate clinical context, recognizing that not all sequencing assays readily distinguish between germline and somatic variants. $43,44$

Limited Tissue Sample/Specimen

In cases where tissue available for processing is limited, care should be taken to prioritize obtaining the following tests: hematoxylin and eosin histology, limited IHC panel, NGS and methylation profiling. The limited IHC panels should only use stains that would provide essential diagnostic information. In cases of particularly limited tissue, stains for mutations (such as IDH1 R132H or BRAF V600E) already covered by NGS can be omitted if redundant.

Principles of Surgery

Surgical resection plays an important role in the primary treatment of nonpontine pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas. The goals of surgery are maximal safe tumor resection, alleviation of symptoms related to increased intracranial pressure or tumor mass effect, increased survival, decreased need for corticosteroids, and obtainment of adequate tissue for a pathologic diagnosis and molecular genetic characterization (see PEDCNS-C, page 1353). The histology and location of the tumor, as well as the extent of possible resection, are significant prognostic factors that influence the decision for surgical management.⁴⁵ Surgical resection is not feasible for patients with DMG of the pons (previously called diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma) or most other brainstem tumors.

Preoperative Assessment

All patients being considered for surgery should undergo a preoperative assessment including laboratory work,

PRINCIPLES OF BRAIN TUMOR PATHOLOGY

Molecular Characterization

Pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas comprise a rare, but biologically diverse group of tumors. In adults, molecular workup has remained
relatively simple, with focus on distinguishing IDH-mutant from IDH-wild-type gliomas exists a high degree of histologic overlap and non-specificity of histologic features amongst the numerous recognized pathologic entities and underlying molecular alterations in pediatric gliomas are distinct from those seen in adults. This underscores the immense importance

of molecular testing in pediatric tumor diagnostics.
▶ Molecular testing in many cases is critical to diagnosis, distinguishing high-grade tumors from lower grade counterparts, and uncovering alterations that have been demonstrated to be prognostically relevant 2-7 (See Table 1 PEDCNS-B 3 of 4).

A While targeted therapies are still limited, clinical trial stratification is becoming increasingly dependent on molecular characterization.
• In light of the number of genes of interest, in conjunction with the many typ deletions, copy number variations and fusions), broad molecular testing is required for comprehensive classification of pediatric diffuse

- high-grade gliomas:
▶ Copy number and fusion detection:
- ◊ NGS with fusion detection (ROS1, MET, NTRK1/2/3, ALK, FGFR1/2/3)
- \Diamond RNA sequencing
- ♦ High-resolution copy number array
- > DNA methylation-based analysis may offer objective, more precise tumor classification; however, it should not be used as a first-line molecular test

In the pediatric population, dedicated germline testing should be strongly considered in the appropriate clinical context, recognizing that not all sequencing assays readily distinguish between germline and somatic variant

Version 2.2023, 10/31/22 © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved.
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

PEDCNS-B 2 OF 4

imaging, and multidisciplinary consult. Advanced imaging can be considered in cases where patients may benefit from it. Emergent situations should be treated before further investigative studies or interventions. Consider medical management to treat focal neurologic deficits, seizure, and pain (ie, dexamethasone, antiepileptics, acetaminophen). However, medications that may alter the patient's neurologic examination or increase surgical risks (eg, narcotics) should be avoided. Outside of emergent clinical presentations, multidisciplinary case discussion should be used for treatment planning and optimization of patient care, including radiation oncology, neurosurgery, radiology, and oncology/neurooncology. Physical therapy/occupational therapy and sleep and swallow assessments can be considered to assist with comorbidity management and referral to a child life social worker can be considered for family/ patient support.

Surgical Procedure

Study-level and individual patient data meta-analyses, as well as a small number of prospective and retrospective studies have demonstrated an association between greater extent of resection and improved overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival in patients with pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas. $46-53$ In the HIT-GBM

study of 85 pediatric patients with malignant nonpontine gliomas, gross total resection was the strongest predictor of OS and event-free survival (EFS).⁵² In the HIT-GBM-C study, 5-year OS was significantly improved in patients with tumors that were completely resected before combination chemoradiotherapy $(63\%; n=21)$ when compared with historical controls $(17\%; P=.003).$ ⁵¹

Nearly all diffuse high-grade gliomas recur. Reresection at recurrence may improve outcomes, although evidence varies widely.^{48,54} As in adult patients with diffuse high-grade gliomas, tumor involvement in specific critical brain areas and poor performance status may be associated with unfavorable reresection outcomes.⁵⁴

Postoperative Management

After surgical resection, patients should be monitored for signs and symptoms of increased intracranial pressure, fluctuations in blood pressure, and sodium and serum osmolarity. Prophylaxis for seizures, infections and deep vein thrombosis can be considered.55

Principles of RT Management

RT plays an essential role in the adjuvant treatment of patients with pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas who are 3 years of age and older.56,57 Out of concern for long Table 1^{a,6,7}

PRINCIPLES OF BRAIN TUMOR PATHOLOGY

a Human gene nomenclature evolves over time. For a current list of gene nomenclature, please refer to the HGNC database, Human Genome Organization (HUGO) Gene Nomenclature Committee site: https://www.genenames.org.

Version 2.2023, 10/31/22 @ National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved.
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

PEDCNS-B 3 OF 4

term complications with brain development, it is reasonable to omit RT in patients <3 years of age.8,9,15,16 Child life specialists, audio and video distraction techniques, and other pediatric-friendly interventions are recommended to improve pediatric tolerance of RT without anesthesia. The dose of RT administered varies depending on the setting and pathology (see PEDCNS-D, page 1354).

After surgery, patients aged \geq 3 years with pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas (except for those with pontine DMG) are treated with RT combined with concurrent and/or adjuvant systemic therapy.56,57 Initiation of RT is recommended whenever the patient has recovered from surgery and should begin within 4 to 8 weeks of resection. Intensity-modulated RT (IMRT) is used in most instances to allow reduction of risk or magnitude of side effects from treatment. Accepted normal tissue constraints should be used, and although the prognosis of these patients is often poor, as low as reasonably achievable principle still applies to the lenses, retina, pituitary gland/hypothalamus, cochlea, lacrimal glands, hippocampi, temporal lobes, spinal cord, and uninvolved brain. Normal tissue constraints can be found in PEDCNS-D (page 1354). Proton therapy, which offers maximal sparing of normal tissue, may be considered for patients with better prognoses (eg, IDH1-mutated tumors, 1p/19q-codeleted, younger age),

since most of the data are derived from studies involving pediatric patients with low-grade glioma.⁵⁸–⁶²

Most studies on reirradiation are from adult highgrade glioma studies of recurrent glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) and have suggested improvements in progression-free survival, but limited OS gains.^{54,63-66} Multiple dosing schedules have been reported for reirradiation, including stereotactic radiosurgery.54,64–⁶⁷ One of the few pediatric studies conducted was a retrospective cohort study of 40 children with recurrent supratentorial high-grade glioma who had received \geq 1 course of RT.⁶⁸ Of the 40, 14 children received reirradiation and had improved median survival from the time of first disease progression when compared with the 26 patients who were not offered reirradiation (9.4 vs 3.8 months; $P=.005$, suggesting that reirradiation can be effective for short-term disease control.

Patients with pontine DMG should begin RT as soon as possible after diagnosis, regardless of age, given the highly effective nature of this modality for symptom control.¹⁶ Dose-escalated RT and concurrent or adjuvant systemic therapy have produced disappointing results in patients with pontine DMG, and are therefore not recommended.16,69–⁷³ The NCCN Panel recommends using IMRT, but 3D conformal RT is an also acceptable option.¹⁵ Hypofractionated RT has been evaluated as an alternative

PRINCIPLES OF BRAIN TUMOR PATHOLOGY **REFERENCES**

1 WHO classification of tumours series, 5th ed.; vol. 6. Available from: https://tumourclassification.iarc.who.int/chapters/45.

² Ryall S, Zapotocky M, Fukuoka K, et al. Integrated molecular and clinical analysis of 1,000 pediatric low-grade gliomas. Cancer Cell 2020;37:569-583. 3 Clarke M, Mackay M, i under B, et al. Infant high-grade gliomas comprise multiple subgroups characterized by novel targetable 3 gene fusions and favorable outcomes.
Cancer Discov 2020;10:942-963.

4 Guerreiro Stucklin AS, Ryall S, Fukuoka, et al. Alterations in ALK/ROS1/NTRK/MET drive a group of infantile hemispheric gliomas. Nat Commun 2019;10:4343.

" Guerrero Suckin AS, Kyali S, Priklowa, et al. Alterations in ALENNED in Inc. The a group of inflamine hemisphenc gitomas. Nat Commun Z019; 10:4343.
5 Johnson A, Severson E, Gay L, et al. Comprehensive genomic profiling

- Elison Day, Tawkins D., 00163.007. Et al. characterized H. dilluse ghorias characterized by art B. an BLT, or FOFRT alterations of BNAF Contracted H.
- Acta Neuropathol 2019;137:683-687.
- Louis DN, Wesseling P, Aldape K

CNS tumor classification and grading. Brain Pathol 2020;30:844-856. 8 Zhang J, Walsh MF, Wu G, et al. Germline mutations in predisposition genes in pediatric cancer. N Eng J Med 2015;373:2336-2346.

9 Akhavanfard S, Padmanabhan R, Yehia L, et al. Comprehensive germline genomic profiles of children, adolescents and young adults with solid tumors. Nat Commun 2020:11:2206.

Version 2.2023, 10/31/22 © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved.
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

PEDCNS-B 4 OF 4

to standard fractionation in the first-line and reirradiation settings, although data are limited and studies are ongoing to assess the benefits and safety of this approach.^{74–76} Although recent data have shown hypofractionated RT to be statistically noninferior to conventional RT ,^{77,78} larger, multi-institutional trials are needed to elucidate the optimal technique, dose, and fractionation for RT in the treatment of pediatric patients with pontine DMG. Patients with pontine DMG whose tumors progress or recur after initial RT have poor prognosis and limited treatment options. Palliative reirradiation has been shown to alleviate symptoms in these patients and improve quality of life.⁷⁹–⁸¹

Principles of Systemic Therapy

Combined Modality Therapy

The panel's preference for the use of RT with concurrent and adjuvant temozolomide (TMZ) and lomustine for patients 3 years of age or older is supported by the results of the phase II COG ACNS0423 trial, which reported the results of 108 pediatric patients with high-grade gliomas who received RT with concurrent and adjuvant TMZ plus lomustine for 6 cycles after maximal surgical resection (see PEDCNS-E, page 1357).⁵⁶ The 3-year EFS and OS were significantly improved compared with the participants of the ACNS0126 study who received adjuvant TMZ alone without lomustine (0.22 vs 0.11; $P = .019$ and 0.28 vs 0.19; $P=.019$, respectively).^{56,57} The addition of lomustine also resulted in significantly better EFS and OS in participants without gross-total resection $(P=.019$ and .00085, respectively). Although the addition of lomustine resulted in modest outcome benefits compared with TMZ alone, survival rates remained low. Therefore, use of this regimen without lomustine is also an option for adjuvant therapy.⁵⁷

Chemotherapy

Avoiding RT in patients \leq 3 years of age is reasonable due to the risk of brain injury; therefore, chemotherapy alone is recommended for these patients. The chemotherapy regimens recommended by the panel in this setting are cyclophosphamide, vincristine, cisplatin, and etoposide and vincristine, carboplatin, and TMZ (see PEDCNS-E, page 1357).^{82,83} A Pediatric Oncology Group study showed that high-grade gliomas in children $<$ 3 years of age are sensitive to chemotherapy.⁸² In this study, 18 children \leq 3 years of age with malignant gliomas were treated with postoperative chemotherapy with cyclophosphamide and vincristine for 2 cycles. Of the 10 patients evaluated for neuroradiologic response, the partial response rate was 60% and the 5-year progression-free survival rate was 43%. In the Head Start II

PRINCIPLES OF SURGERY

Preoperative Assessment

- Labs, imaging, multidisciplinary consult
- . Treat emergent situations prior to further investigative studies or interventions
- Treat entergent studential prior to rativer investigative staties or interventions
• Consider medical management to treat focal neurologic deficits, seizure, and pain (ie, dexamethasone, anti-epileptics, acetaminophen)
•
-
- Patients should undergo neuro-axis imaging if clinically indicated. • Consider advanced imaging in cases where patients may benefit from it
- Consider appropriate ancillary testing
- . Outside of emergent clinical presentations, multidisciplinary case discussion should be utilized for treatment planning and optimization of
- patient care. Treatment decision planning should include radiation oncology, neurosurgery, radiology, and oncology/neuro-oncology.
Consider physical therapy/occupational therapy and sleep and swallow assessments to assist
-
- . Consider referral to a child life social worker for family/patient support

Surgical Procedure

- . Alleviate symptoms related to increased intracranial pressure or tumor mass effect, increase survival, and decrease corticosteroid dose requirements. . Obtain adequate and optimal tissue for a pathologic diagnosis and molecular genetic characterization
-
- . In pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas, a small number of prospective and retrospective studies have demonstrated an association between greater extent of resection and improved overall survival and progression-free survival (PFS).
Nearly all diffuse high-grade gliomas recur. Re-resection at the time of recurrence may improve outcomes. As in adult patients
- diffuse high-grade gliomas, tumor involvement in specific critical brain areas and poor Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) score may be associated with unfavorable re-resection outcomes.

Postoperative Management

- Monitor for signs and symptoms of increased intracranial pressure
• Monitor for signs and symptoms of increased intracranial pressure
-
- Avoid fluctuations in blood pressure hypo or hypertension • Consider the following:
- ▶ Seizure prophylaxis
- Antibiotics for infection prophylaxis
- Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) prophylaxis

1 Greenhalgh J, Weston J, Dendar Y, et al. Antiepileptic drugs as prophylaxis for postcraniotomy seizures. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2020;4:CD007286.

Version 2.2023, 10/31/22 © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved.
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN

PEDCNS-C

and III trials, 32 children $<$ 6 years of age with newlydiagnosed high-grade gliomas were treated with 4 cycles of induction chemotherapy with vincristine, carboplatin, and TMZ followed by myeloablative chemotherapy and stem cell rescue.⁸³ The 5-year EFS and OS rates were 25% and 36%, respectively. Children \leq 3 years of age had improved 5-year EFS and OS (44% and 63%, respectively) compared with older children (31% and 38% for children aged 36–71 months and 0% and 13% for children \geq 72 months).

Targeted Therapy

Recent advances in molecular technology have enabled the development of molecular agents capable of targeting the biologic drivers of pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas.⁸⁴ These targeted therapies provide a means for treating pediatric patients without the involvement of cytotoxic chemotherapy and radiation. Evidence for the use of several targeted therapies in the treatment of patients with pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas with various molecular signatures is discussed in further detail subsequently.

BRAF V600E Mutated Tumor

The BRAF V600E point mutation, which results in constitutive activation of the MEK/ERK pathway, is detected in approximately 10%–15% of pediatric high-grade gliomas.⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷ Many tumors that initially respond to BRAF inhibition eventually develop resistance due to reactivation of the MAPK pathway.88,89 Combined therapy targeting BRAF and downstream MEK has shown success in several clinical trials in adults with cancer.88–⁹⁰ However, data on this regimen in the pediatric population are limited to small case series and reports.91,92 In one such case series, 3 pediatric patients with BRAF V600E–mutated high-grade gliomas exhibited clinical responses to combined BRAF/MEK blockade using dabrafenib and trametinib.⁹¹ One patient who received the combination as maintenance therapy after resection and RT remained disease-free for 20 months, at which time disease progression was noted. The other 2 patients who were treated with the combined regimen at the time of disease progression or at initial diagnosis, experienced a reduction in tumor size and stabilized disease for 32 and 23 months, respectively. None of the patients exhibited significant toxicities.

BRAF blockade with vemurafenib has also shown early success in treating patients with pediatric diffuse highgrade gliomas.84,93,94 In the phase I trial of the Pacific Pediatric Neuro-Oncology Consortium study (PNOC-002), 19 pediatric patients with recurrent or progressive BRAF V600E–mutated high-grade gliomas were treated with vemurafenib for a median of 23 cycles.⁸⁴ One patient had a complete response, 5 patients had partial responses and 13 patients experienced stabilized disease. Grade \geq 3

PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY MANAGEMENT

Pediatric Diffuse High-Grade Glioma (except diffuse midline glioma and diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma) Adjuvant (Dose, timing)

- in 1.8 to 2.0 Gy with a cone-down to 59.4 to 60 Gy in 1.8 to 2.0 Gy fractions for newly diagnosed disease.
- ⊙ Simultaneous integrated boost (SIB) techniques can be considered, to deliver 1.8 and 2.0 Gy x 30 fractions concurrently to 54 and 60 Gy.
Initiation of RT is recommended whenever a patient has recovered from surgery and

• Re-irradiation (Dose, timing)

- The majority of data are from adult HGG studies of recurrent glioblastoma multiforme (GBM)
-
- Free majority of usea are from automometers in PFS, but limited overall survival gains
Multiple dosing schedules have been reported for re-irradiation and should be performed with a conformal technique

↑ 30–35 Gy in 5–1
-
-
- . Principles of Radiation Therapy (Include simulation, treatment planning, normal tissue RT constraints)
- > Child life specialists, audio and video distraction techniques, and other pediatric-friendly interventions can improve pediatric tolerance of **RT** without anesthesia.
- Proton therapy may be considered for patients with better prognoses (eg, IDH1-mutated tumors, 1p/19q-codeleted, younger age).
- In most instances intensity-modulated RT (IMRT) allows reduction of risk or magnitude of side effects from treatmen Patients should be placed supine with immobilization. CT simulation for treatment planning should include ≤0.25 cm slice thickness. Volumetric CT simulation for treatment planning is recommended.
-
- Volumetric C1 simulation for treatment planning is recommended.

Image-guided RT (IGRT) may be used to ensure daily setup accuracy.

Tumor volumes are best defined using pre- and postoperative MRI imaging using both post-c
-
-
-
-
- CTV2 is an isotropic expansion of 0.5–1 cm on GTV2.
PTV1/2 is an isotropic expansion of 0.5–1 cm on GTV2.
PTV1/2 is an isotropic expansion that is institution-specific, but typically 3–5 mm, and dependent on frequency and
- $PTV1 = 50.4 54$ Gy
 $PTV2 = 59.4 60$ Gy
- Maximal PTV coverage should be balanced against normal tissue tolerances (See PEDCNS-D 3 of 3).
- Accepted normal tissue constraints should be used, and although the prognosis of these patients often is poor, ALARA (as low as
reasonably achievable) principles still applies to the lenses, retina, pituitary gland/hypotha temporal lobes, spinal cord, and uninvolved brain.

adverse events included secondary keratoacanthoma, rash, and fever. Due to promising antitumor activity and manageable toxicities, the phase II part of the trial is currently ongoing (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: [NCT01748149](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01748149?term=NCT01748149&draw=2&rank=1)).

TRK-Fusion–Positive Tumor

Gene fusions involving NTRK1, NTRK2, or NTRK3 encode for TRK fusion proteins (TRKA, TRKB, TRKC) which have increased kinase function and are implicated in the oncogenesis of many solid tumors.^{95,96} The small-molecule TRK inhibitors larotrectinib and entrectinib have demonstrated activity in several trials of adults and children with various cancers.97–¹⁰⁰ In the multicenter phase I SCOUT trial, 24 pediatric and adolescent patients (aged 1 month to 21 years; median age, 4.5 years) with advanced solid or primary CNS tumors were treated with larotrectinib, regardless of TRK fusion status.⁹⁹ In patients with TRK-fusion positive tumors, the objective response rate (ORR) was 93% compared with 0% in patients without TRK fusion. In addition to a high ORR, larotrectinib was also well tolerated, with most patients experiencing only grade 1 adverse events and dose-limiting toxicity in one patient. The phase II part of this trial is currently ongoing and recruiting patients (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: [NCT02637687\)](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02637687?term=NCT02637687&draw=2&rank=2).

The phase I/II STARTRK-NG trial assessed the activity of entrectinib in 43 pediatric patients (aged $<$ 22 years) with

solid tumors including primary CNS tumors, regardless of TRK fusion status.98 In patients with TRK-fusion–positive tumors, the ORR was 58% and the median duration of treatment was 11 months. The median duration of response was not reached. Treatment with entrectinib resulted in antitumor activity in patients with TRK-fusion–positive tumors; however, it also led to dose-limiting toxicities in 4 patients (9%). The most common treatment-related adverse events were weight gain (49%) and bone fractures (21%). The phase II part of this trial is currently ongoing (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: [NCT02650401\)](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02650401?term=NCT02650401&draw=2&rank=3).

Hypermutant Tumor

The inherited cancer predisposition syndrome cMMRD often leads to the development of pediatric diffuse highgrade gliomas characterized by a higher mutational burden than typically seen in sporadically occurring brain tumors or other solid tumors.¹⁰¹ The resultant hypermutant tumors may be amenable to immune checkpoint inhibition; however, evidence of their efficacy is currently limited to small case reports and single-institution experiences.¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ In one such case report, 2 siblings with recurrent hypermutant pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas were treated with the antiprogrammed death-1 inhibitor nivolumab, which resulted in significant clinical and radiologic responses in both children after several months

PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY MANAGEMENT

Diffuse Midline Glioma (DMG)/Diffuse Intrinsic Pontine Glioma (DIPG)

Initiation of RT should be considered as soon as possible after diagnosis, given the highly effective nature of this modality for symptom management.

- . Recommend using IMRT: 3D conformal RT is an acceptable option.
- . 54 Gy in 1.8 Gy fractions (30 total fractions) is recommended; higher doses are now considered non-standard.
- An option of hypofractionated RT (39 Gy in 3 Gy fractions [13 total fractions]) is emerging as an alternative treatment to standard fractionation, although data are limited and studies are ongoing to assess the benefit/safety of this approach. This is also being tested in the re-irradiation setting.
- . Palliative re-irradiation of 20–30 Gy has been shown to alleviate symptoms related to tumor progression. • Tumor volumes
- ▶ Gross tumor volume (GTV): Defined as the tumor best demonstrated on MRI. The MRI sequence that best defines the extent of disease should be used. The T2 sequence is usually the most appropriate image to use for GTV definition for these patients.
- Clinical target volume (CTV): Shall include the GTV with a 1-cm margin in all directions, respecting anatomic barriers to spread.
Planning target volume (PTV): Shall include the CTV with a 0.3–0.5 cm margin dependent on im be left up to the discretion of the treating radiation oncologist and may not be uniform in all dimensions. The clinician should consider the effects of the beam penumbra when designing the treatment apertures.

Version 2.2023, 10/31/22 © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved.
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

Continue **PEDCNS-D** 2 OF 3

of treatment.101 A retrospective chart review of 11 pediatric patients with recurrent or refractory CNS tumors treated with ipilimumab, nivolumab, and/or pembrolizumab at Dana-Farber/Boston Children's Hospital showed that immune checkpoint inhibitors are reasonably well tolerated in pediatric patients and warrant further study in clinical trials.¹⁰³

Palliative Systemic Therapy for Recurrent or Progressive Disease

Despite aggressive primary management, most patients with pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas will experience recurrence or disease progression.¹⁰¹ Patients with recurrent or progressive disease have a median OS of ≤ 6 months, and no effective therapies currently exist.¹⁰¹ The use of systemic therapy for the management of recurrent or progressive disease depends on the extent of disease and the patient's condition. Targeted therapy based on the molecular composition of the tumor is recommended for patients with good performance status (see PEDCNS-E, page 1357). This includes but is not limited to the following: checkpoint blockade for high tumor mutational burden or personal or family history of cMMRD; RAF and MEK inhibition for tumors with BRAF V600E mutation, and TRK inhibitors for tumors with NTRK gene fusion.

Patients with poor performance status may receive palliative chemotherapy with oral etoposide,¹⁰⁴ bevacizumab (or an FDA-approved biosimilar),¹⁰⁵ or single-agent nitrosoureas (lomustine or carmustine).56 In a phase II trial, 28 children with recurrent brain and solid tumors received daily oral etoposide for 21 consecutive days with courses repeating every 28 days pending bone marrow recovery.104 Three of the 4 patients with medulloblastoma exhibited a partial response and 2 of the 5 patients with ependymoma showed response (one with a complete response and one with a partial response), demonstrating activity for etoposide in recurrent brain tumors. Toxicity was manageable, with only 1 hospitalization for neutropenic fever and 2 patients who withdrew due to treatmentrelated adverse events (one with grade 4 thrombocytopenia and one with grade 2 mucositis).

The multicenter phase II HERBY trial evaluated the addition of bevacizumab to RT plus TMZ for treatment of pediatric patients $(n=121,$ aged between 3 and 18 years) with newly diagnosed nonpontine highgrade gliomas.¹⁰⁵ Median EFS did not differ significantly between the treatment groups, and the addition of bevacizumab did not reduce the risk of death. Because adding BEV to $RT+TMZ$ did not improve EFS in pediatric patients with newly diagnosed high-grade glioma, the panel has reserved use of bevacizumab (or

PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY MANAGEMENT **NORMAL TISSUE CONSTRAINTS^a**

The noted normal tissue constraints are per COG ACNS0831 and ARAR0331.

^b In general all normal tissues should be as low as reasonably achievable even if the constraint is achieved (ALARA principle).

Version 2.2023, 10/31/22 ® National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved.
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

PEDCNS-D 3 OF 3

an FDA-approved biosimilar) as a single agent in the palliative setting for patients with recurrent or progressive disease.

NCCN Recommendations

Radiologic Presentation and Multidisciplinary Review

When a patient presents with a clinical and radiologic picture suggestive of pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas, input from a multidisciplinary team is needed for treatment planning (see PGLIO-1, page 1342). The involvement of pediatric oncologists/neuro-oncologists, pediatric radiation oncologists, pathologists with expertise in neuropathology and molecular pathology, pediatric neuroradiologists, and pediatric neurosurgeons with specific expertise in the management of pediatric high-grade gliomas is strongly encouraged. Neurosurgical input is needed to determine the feasibility of maximal safe resection. A pathologic diagnosis is critical and may be difficult to accurately determine without sufficient tumor tissue obtained during biopsy. Review of the tumor tissue by an experienced neuropathologist is highly recommended.

Primary Treatment and Pathologic Diagnosis

For primary treatment of pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas, the NCCN Guidelines recommend maximal

safe resection with the goal of image-verified complete resection, whenever possible (see PGLIO-1, page 1342). If the patient is symptomatic because of mass tumor effect but complete resection is not feasible, then subtotal resection is recommended for tissue diagnosis and debulking. A postoperative MRI is recommended, ideally within 24 to 48 hours after surgery, to confirm extent of resection.¹⁸⁻²¹ If a clinically beneficial cytoreduction is not feasible, then a stereotactic biopsy or open biopsy is recommended for pathologic analysis. Recommendations for molecular testing of diffuse high-grade glioma tumors are provided in the "Principles of Brain Tumor Pathology" section (see page 1351). The resulting information should be used to form a pathologic diagnosis. Detection of genetic alterations may also expand clinical trial options for the patient.

Adjuvant Therapy

The NCCN Panel recommends clinical trial enrollment whenever possible as the preferred treatment option for all pediatric patients with diffuse high-grade gliomas (see PGLIO-2, page 1343). Outside of a clinical trial, patients \geq 3 years of age with pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas, except DMG, H3 K27-altered or other tumor with a

PRINCIPLES OF SYSTEMIC THERAPY^{a,b} (PARTICIPATION IN A CLINICAL TRIAL IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED)

pontine tumor location, can receive standard brain RT with concurrent and adjuvant TMZ without lomustine or with lomustine (preferred).^{56,57} Standard brain RT alone and standard brain RT with concurrent TMZ and adjuvant targeted therapy based on the molecular composition of the tumor are also options in this setting. Patients \leq 3 years of age can receive systemic chemotherapy with either cyclophosphamide, vincristine, cisplatin, and etoposide⁸² or vincristine, carboplatin, and TMZ^{83} to delay the need for RT or with adjuvant targeted therapy based on the molecular composition of the tumor.

Patients with nonpontine DMG, H3 K27-altered can receive either standard brain RT alone or standard brain RT with concurrent and adjuvant TMZ alone or with lomustine (see PLGIO-3, page 1344). Patients with pontine located tumors, including DMG, H3 K27-altered or pediatric diffuse high-grade glioma, H3–wild-type and IDH– wild-type, should receive standard brain RT alone if clinical trial enrollment is not possible.

Follow-up and Recurrence

Most pediatric patients with diffuse high-grade gliomas eventually develop tumor recurrence or progression. Therefore, patients with recurrent or progressive disease

should be followed closely with brain MRI scans starting at 2–6 weeks postirradiation, then every 2–3 months for 1 year, then every 3–6 months indefinitely after the completion of treatment of newly diagnosed disease. Pseudoprogression may occur within 6–9 months after RT and can be seen on MRI; therefore, pseudoprogression should be considered if MRI changes are noted in this time period. Management of recurrent or progressive disease depends on the extent of disease and the patient's condition. The efficacy of current treatment options remains poor; therefore, enrollment in a clinical trial, whenever possible, is preferred for the management of recurrent or progressive disease (see PGLIO-4, page 1345). Surgical resection of locally recurrent disease is reasonable followed by an additional brain MRI scan. However, enrollment in a phase 0 or preoperative clinical trial should be considered before resection. If recurrent or progressive local disease is not resectable or if it is diffuse with multiple lesions, then surgery can still be considered for large symptomatic lesions. Reresection at the time of recurrence may improve outcomes; however, tumor involvement in specific critical brain areas and poor performance status may be associated with unfavorable reresection outcomes.

Preferred systemic therapy options for recurrent disease include but are not limited to dabrafenib/trametinib⁹¹

PRINCIPLES OF SYSTEMIC THERAPY **REFERENCES**

- ¹ Jakacki RI, Cohen KJ, Buxton A, et al. Phase 2 study of concurrent radiotherapy and temozolomide followed by temozolomide and lomustine in the treatment of
children with high-grade glioma: a report of the Children's On
- 2011:13:317-323.
- ³ Duffner P, Krischer JP, Burger PC, et al. Treatment of infants with malignant gliomas: the Pediatric Oncology Group experience J Neurooncol 1996;28:245-256. 4 Expiriment Franchise Text of the Head Start II and III trials Pediatr Blood Cancer 2016;63:1806-1813.
The protocol of the Head Start II and III trials Pediatr Blood Cancer 2016;63:1806-1813.
- in the man which contribute the second of the pediatric BRAFV600E mutated high-grade gliomas to combined BRAF and MEK inhibitor therapy.
- Oncotarget 2019;10:555-557 ் Nicolaides T, Nazemi K, Crawford J, et al. Phase I study of vemurafenib in children with recurrent or progressive BRAF V600E mutant brain tumors: Pacific
- Pediatric Neuro-Oncology Consortium study (PNOC-002) Oncolarget 2020;11:1942-1952.
The diatric Neuro-Oncology Consortium study (PNOC-002) Oncolarget 2020;11:1942-1952.
TLaetesh TW, DuBois SG, Mascarenhas L, et al. Larotrec label, phase 1/2 study. Lancet Oncology 2018;19:705-714.
- alour, priase in∠ survey, Lancet Oncougy 2016, i.e. roses and young adults with solid or primary CNS tumors harboring NTRK, ROS1 or ALK aberrations
© SCIARTRK-NG). Neuro Oncol 2022 Apr 8:noac087. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noac
- e bouffett E, Larouche V, Campbell BB, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibition for hypermutant glioblastoma multiforme resulting from germline biallelic mismatch repair deficiency. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:2206-2211.

¹⁰ Larouche V, Atkinson A, Albrecht S, et al. Sustained complete response of recurrent glioblastoma to combined checkpoint inhibition in a young patient with

¹⁰ Larouche V,
- ¹⁰ Larouche V, Atkinson A, Albrecht S, et al. Sustained complete response of recu
constitutional mismatch repair deficiency Pediatr Blood Cancer 2018;65:e27389
¹¹ Cacciotti C. Choi J. Alexandrescu S. et al. Immune chec
- Cacciotti C, Choi J, Alexandrescu S, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibition for pediatric patients with recurrent/refractory CNS tumors: a single institution experience J Neuroncol 2020;149:113-122.
¹² Needle MN, Molloy PT, Geyer JR, et al. Phase II study of daily oral etoposide in children with recurrent brain tumors and other solid tumors Med Pediatr Oncol
- $1997.29.28.32$
- 13 Grill J, Massimino M, Bouffet E, et al. Phase II, open-label, randomized, multicenter trial (HERBY) of bevacizumab in pediatric patients with newly diagnosed high-grade glioma. J Clin Oncol 2018;36:951-958

.
Version 2.2023, 10/31/22 © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved.
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NC

PEDCNS-E 2 OF 2

or vemurafenib⁸⁴ for BRAF V600E-mutated tumors, larotrectinib⁹⁹ or entrectinib⁹⁸ for *TRK*-fusion–positive tumors, and nivolumab^{101,102} or pembrolizumab¹⁰³ for hypermutant tumors. Reirradiation, if feasible, is an alternative option. Patients with poor performance status should receive palliative/best supportive care. Recommended regimens for palliation are oral etoposide,104 bevacizumab (or an FDAapproved biosimilar), 105 or nitrosoureas (lomustine or carmustine).⁵⁶

Summary

Pediatric CNS cancers are the leading cause of cancerrelated death in children. The initial version of the NCCN Guidelines for Pediatric CNS Cancers provides an evidence- and consensus-based treatment approach for the management of patients with pediatric diffuse highgrade gliomas, which are highly aggressive tumors with a

poor prognosis. Referral for cancer predisposition evaluation and/or genetic counseling should be considered for patients with pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas linked to certain inherited cancer predisposition syndromes. All patients should be cared for by a multidisciplinary team with experience managing pediatric CNS tumors. The NCCN panel recommends clinical trial participation as the preferred treatment option for patients with pediatric diffuse high-grade gliomas. Outside of a clinical trial, the main treatment paradigm includes surgery followed by systemic therapy with or without RT. Recent advances in molecular profiling has expanded the use of targeted therapies in patients whose tumors harbor certain alterations. However, nearly all patients will experience recurrent disease, which has limited treatment options. Subsequent versions of the guidelines will address additional tumor types.

References

- 1. American Cancer Society. Key statistics for brain and spinal cord tumors in children. 2022. Accessed April 26, 2022. Available at: [https://www.](https://www.cancer.org/cancer/brain-spinal-cord-tumors-children/about/key-statistics.html) [cancer.org/cancer/brain-spinal-cord-tumors-children/about/key](https://www.cancer.org/cancer/brain-spinal-cord-tumors-children/about/key-statistics.html)[statistics.html](https://www.cancer.org/cancer/brain-spinal-cord-tumors-children/about/key-statistics.html)
- American Cancer Society. Cancer facts and figures 2022. Accessed April 26, 2022. Available at: [https://www.cancer.org/latest-news/facts-and](https://www.cancer.org/latest-news/facts-and-figures-2022.html)fi[gures-2022.html](https://www.cancer.org/latest-news/facts-and-figures-2022.html)
- 3. Ostrom QT, Patil N, Cioffi G, et al. CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and other central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2013-2017. Neuro Oncol 2020;22(Suppl 2): iv1–96.
- 4. US National Library of Medicine. Key MEDLINE® indicators. Accessed July 24, 2014. Available at: [http://www.nlm.nih.gov/bsd/](http://www.nlm.nih.gov/bsd/bsd_key.html) [bsd_key.html](http://www.nlm.nih.gov/bsd/bsd_key.html)
- 5. Louis DN, Perry A, Wesseling P, et al. The 2021 WHO classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. Neuro Oncol 2021; 23:1231–1251.
- Pfister SM, Reyes-Múgica M, Chan JKC, et al. A summary of the inaugural WHO classification of pediatric tumors: transitioning from the optical into the molecular era. Cancer Discov 2022;12:331–355.
- 7. Coleman C, Stoller S, Grotzer M, et al. Pediatric hemispheric highgrade glioma: targeting the future. Cancer Metastasis Rev 2020;39: 245–260.
- 8. Subramanian S, Ahmad T. Childhood Brain Tumors. Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls Publishing; 2022.
- 9. Johnson KJ, Cullen J, Barnholtz-Sloan JS, et al. Childhood brain tumor epidemiology: a brain tumor epidemiology consortium review. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2014;23:2716–2736.
- 10. Hottinger AF, Khakoo Y. Neurooncology of familial cancer syndromes. J Child Neurol 2009;24:1526–1535.
- 11. Stefanaki K, Alexiou GA, Stefanaki C, et al. Tumors of central and peripheral nervous system associated with inherited genetic syndromes. Pediatr Neurosurg 2012;48:271–285.
- 12. Braganza MZ, Kitahara CM, Berrington de González A, et al. Ionizing radiation and the risk of brain and central nervous system tumors: a systematic review. Neuro Oncol 2012;14:1316–1324.
- 13. Ostrom QT, Adel Fahmideh M, Cote DJ, et al. Risk factors for childhood and adult primary brain tumors. Neuro Oncol 2019;21:1357–1375.
- 14. Udaka YT, Packer RJ. Pediatric brain tumors. Neurol Clin 2018;36: 533–556.
- 15. Vern-Gross TZ, Schreiber JE, Broniscer A, et al. Prospective evaluation of local control and late effects of conformal radiation therapy in children, adolescents, and young adults with high-grade glioma. Neuro Oncol 2014;16:1652–1660.
- 16. Pollack IF, Agnihotri S, Broniscer A. Childhood brain tumors: current management, biological insights, and future directions. J Neurosurg Pediatr 2019;23:261–273.
- 17. Goncalves FG, Viaene AN, Vossough A. Advanced magnetic resonance imaging in pediatric glioblastomas. Front Neurol 2021; 12:733323.
- 18. Cooney TM, Cohen KJ, Guimaraes CV, et al. Response assessment in diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma: recommendations from the Response Assessment in Pediatric Neuro-Oncology (RAPNO) working group. Lancet Oncol 2020;21:e330–336.
- 19. Erker C, Tamrazi B, Poussaint TY, et al. Response assessment in paediatric high-grade glioma: recommendations from the Response Assessment in Pediatric Neuro-Oncology (RAPNO) working group. Lancet Oncol 2020;21:e317–329.
- 20. Jaspan T, Morgan PS, Warmuth-Metz M, et al. Response assessment in pediatric neuro-oncology: implementation and expansion of the RANO criteria in a randomized phase II trial of pediatric patients with newly diagnosed high-grade gliomas. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2016;37: 1581–1587.
- 21. Ellingson BM, Bendszus M, Boxerman J, et al. Consensus recommendations for a standardized brain tumor imaging protocol in clinical trials. Neuro Oncol 2015;17:1188–1198.
- 22. Barajas RF Jr, Chang JS, Segal MR, et al. Differentiation of recurrent glioblastoma multiforme from radiation necrosis after external beam radiation therapy with dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced perfusion MR imaging. Radiology 2009;253:486–496.
- 23. Wan B, Wang S, Tu M, et al. The diagnostic performance of perfusion MRI for differentiating glioma recurrence from pseudoprogression: a meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96:e6333.
- 24. van Dijken BRJ, van Laar PJ, Holtman GA, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging techniques for treatment response evaluation in patients with high-grade glioma, a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Radiol 2017;27:4129–4144.
- 25. Dallery F, Bouzerar R, Michel D, et al. Perfusion magnetic resonance imaging in pediatric brain tumors. Neuroradiology 2017;59:1143– 1153.
- 26. Vajapeyam S, Stamoulis C, Ricci K, et al. Automated processing of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI: correlation of advanced pharmacokinetic metrics with tumor grade in pediatric brain tumors. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2017;38:170–175.
- 27. Miyazaki K, Jerome NP, Collins DJ, et al. Demonstration of the reproducibility of free-breathing diffusion-weighted MRI and dynamic contrast enhanced MRI in children with solid tumours: a pilot study. Eur Radiol 2015;25:2641–2650.
- 28. Heye AK, Culling RD, Valdés Hernández MdelC, et al. Assessment of blood-brain barrier disruption using dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI: a systematic review. Neuroimage Clin 2014;6:262–274.
- Anzalone N, Castellano A, Cadioli M, et al. Brain gliomas: multicenter standardized assessment of dynamic contrast-enhanced and dynamic susceptibility contrast MR images. Radiology 2018;287:933– 943.
- 30. Dangouloff-Ros V, Deroulers C, Foissac F, et al. Arterial spin labeling to predict brain tumor grading in children: correlations between histopathologic vascular density and perfusion MR imaging. Radiology 2016;281: 553–566.
- 31. Hales PW, d'Arco F, Cooper J, et al. Arterial spin labelling and diffusion-weighted imaging in paediatric brain tumours. Neuroimage Clin 2019;22:101696.
- 32. Yeom KW, Mitchell LA, Lober RM, et al. Arterial spin-labeled perfusion of pediatric brain tumors. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2014; 35:395–401.
- 33. Deibler AR, Pollock JM, Kraft RA, et al. Arterial spin-labeling in routine clinical practice, part 1: technique and artifacts. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2008;29:1228–1234.
- 34. Gupta PK, Saini J, Sahoo P, et al. Role of dynamic contrast-enhanced perfusion magnetic resonance imaging in grading of pediatric brain tumors on 3T. Pediatr Neurosurg 2017;52:298–305.
- 35. Zhang H, Ma L, Wang Q, et al. Role of magnetic resonance spectroscopy for the differentiation of recurrent glioma from radiation necrosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Radiol 2014;83: 2181–2189.
- 36. American Cancer Society. Tests for brain and spinal cord tumors in children. Accessed July 25, 2022. Available at: [https://www.cancer.org/](https://www.cancer.org/cancer/brain-spinal-cord-tumors-children/detection-diagnosis-staging/how-diagnosed.html) [cancer/brain-spinal-cord-tumors-children/detection-diagnosis-staging/](https://www.cancer.org/cancer/brain-spinal-cord-tumors-children/detection-diagnosis-staging/how-diagnosed.html) [how-diagnosed.html](https://www.cancer.org/cancer/brain-spinal-cord-tumors-children/detection-diagnosis-staging/how-diagnosed.html)
- 37. Ryall S, Zapotocky M, Fukuoka K, et al. Integrated molecular and clinical analysis of 1,000 pediatric low-grade gliomas. Cancer Cell 2020;37: 569–583.e5.
- Clarke M, Mackay A, Ismer B, et al. Infant high-grade gliomas comprise multiple subgroups characterized by novel targetable gene fusions and favorable outcomes. Cancer Discov 2020;10: 942–963.
- 39. Guerreiro Stucklin AS, Ryall S, Fukuoka K, et al. Alterations in ALK/ ROS1/NTRK/MET drive a group of infantile hemispheric gliomas. Nat Commun 2019;10:4343.
- 40. Johnson A, Severson E, Gay L, et al. Comprehensive genomic profiling of 282 pediatric low- and high-grade gliomas reveals genomic drivers, tumor mutational burden, and hypermutation signatures. Oncologist 2017;22:1478–1490.
- 41. Ellison DW, Hawkins C, Jones DT, et al. cIMPACT-NOW update 4: diffuse gliomas characterized by MYB, MYBL1, or FGFR1 alterations or
BRAF^{V600E} mutation. Acta Neuropathol 2019;137:683–687.
- 42. Louis DN, Wesseling P, Aldape K, et al. cIMPACT-NOW update 6: new entity and diagnostic principle recommendations of the cIMPACT-Utrecht meeting on future CNS tumor classification and grading. Brain Pathol 2020;30:844–856.
- 43. Zhang J, Walsh MF, Wu G, et al. Germline mutations in predisposition genes in pediatric cancer. N Engl J Med 2015;373: 2336–2346.
- Akhavanfard S, Padmanabhan R, Yehia L, et al. Comprehensive germline genomic profiles of children, adolescents and young adults with solid tumors. Nat Commun 2020;11:2206.
- 45. Balogun JA, Rutka JT. Surgery of intracranial gliomas in children. Prog Neurol Surg 2018;30:204–217.
- 46. Pollack IF, Boyett JM, Yates AJ, et al. The influence of central review on outcome associations in childhood malignant gliomas: results from the CCG-945 experience. Neuro Oncol 2003;5:197–207.
- 47. Finlay JL, Boyett JM, Yates AJ, et al. Randomized phase III trial in childhood high-grade astrocytoma comparing vincristine, lomustine, and prednisone with the eight-drugs-in-1-day regimen. J Clin Oncol 1995; 13:112–123.
- 48. Silva da Costa MD, Camargo NC, Dastoli PA, et al. High-grade gliomas in children and adolescents: is there a role for reoperation? J Neurosurg Pediatr 2020;27:160–169.
- 49. McCrea HJ, Bander ED, Venn RA, et al. Sex, age, anatomic location, and extent of resection influence outcomes in children with high-grade glioma. Neurosurgery 2015;77:443–452; discussion 452–453.
- 50. Napieralska A, Krzywon A, Mizia-Malarz A, et al. High-grade gliomas in children-a multi-institutional Polish study. Cancers (Basel) 2021;13: 2062.
- 51. Wolff JE, Driever PH, Erdlenbruch B, et al. Intensive chemotherapy improves survival in pediatric high-grade glioma after gross total resection: results of the HIT-GBM-C protocol. Cancer 2010;116: 705–712.
- 52. Kramm CM, Wagner S, Van Gool S, et al. Improved survival after gross total resection of malignant gliomas in pediatric patients from the HIT-GBM studies. Anticancer Res 2006;26:3773–3779.
- 53. Hatoum R, Chen JS, Lavergne P, et al. Extent of tumor resection and survival in pediatric patients with high-grade gliomas: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Netw Open 2022;5:e2226551.
- 54. Birk HS, Han SJ, Butowski NA. Treatment options for recurrent highgrade gliomas. CNS Oncol 2017;6:61–70.
- 55. Greenhalgh J, Weston J, Dundar Y, et al. Antiepileptic drugs as prophylaxis for postcraniotomy seizures. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2020;4: CD007286.
- 56. Jakacki RI, Cohen KJ, Buxton A, et al. Phase 2 study of concurrent radiotherapy and temozolomide followed by temozolomide and lomustine in the treatment of children with high-grade glioma: a report of the Children's Oncology Group ACNS0423 study. Neuro Oncol 2016;18:1442–1450.
- 57. Cohen KJ, Pollack IF, Zhou T, et al. Temozolomide in the treatment of high-grade gliomas in children: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. Neuro Oncol 2011;13:317–323.
- 58. Chambrelant I, Eber J, Antoni D, et al. Proton therapy and gliomas: a systematic review. Radiation 2021;1:218–233.
- 59. Mizumoto M, Oshiro Y, Yamamoto T, et al. Proton beam therapy for pediatric brain tumor. Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo) 2017;57: 343–355.
- 60. Hug EB, Muenter MW, Archambeau JO, et al. Conformal proton radiation therapy for pediatric low-grade astrocytomas. Strahlenther Onkol 2002;178:10–17.
- 61. Greenberger BA, Pulsifer MB, Ebb DH, et al. Clinical outcomes and late endocrine, neurocognitive, and visual profiles of proton radiation for pediatric low-grade gliomas. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014;89:1060– 1068.
- 62. Hauswald H, Rieken S, Ecker S, et al. First experiences in treatment of low-grade glioma grade I and II with proton therapy. Radiat Oncol 2012;7:189.
- 63. Skeie BS, Enger PO, Brøgger J, et al. γ knife surgery versus reoperation for recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. World Neurosurg 2012;78: 658–669.
- 64. Minniti G, Niyazi M, Alongi F, et al. Current status and recent advances in reirradiation of glioblastoma. Radiat Oncol 2021;16:36.
- 65. Ziu M, Goyal S, Olson JJ. Congress of Neurological Surgeons systematic review and evidence-based guidelines update on the role of radiation therapy in the management of progressive and recurrent glioblastoma in adults. J Neurooncol 2022;158: 255–264.
- 66. Amelio D, Amichetti M. Radiation therapy for the treatment of recurrent glioblastoma: an overview. Cancers (Basel) 2012;4:257–280.
- Shrieve DC, Alexander E III, Wen PY, et al. Comparison of stereotactic radiosurgery and brachytherapy in the treatment of recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. Neurosurgery 1995;36:275–282; discussion 282–284.
- 68. Tsang DS, Oliveira C, Bouffet E, et al. Repeat irradiation for children with supratentorial high-grade glioma. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2019;66: e27881.
- 69. Pollack IF, Stewart CF, Kocak M, et al. A phase II study of gefitinib and irradiation in children with newly diagnosed brainstem gliomas: a report from the Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium. Neuro Oncol 2011; 13:290–297.
- 70. Jennings MT, Sposto R, Boyett JM, et al. Preradiation chemotherapy in primary high-risk brainstem tumors: phase II study CCG-9941 of the Children's Cancer Group. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:3431-3437.
- 71. Cohen KJ, Heideman RL, Zhou T, et al. Temozolomide in the treatment of children with newly diagnosed diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. Neuro Oncol 2011;13:410–416.
- 72. Bradley KA, Zhou T, McNall-Knapp RY, et al. Motexafin-gadolinium and involved field radiation therapy for intrinsic pontine glioma of

childhood: a children's oncology group phase 2 study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013;85:e55–60.

- 73. Freeman CR, Krischer JP, Sanford RA, et al. Final results of a study of escalating doses of hyperfractionated radiotherapy in brain stem tumors in children: a Pediatric Oncology Group study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1993;27:197–206.
- 74. Gallitto M, Lazarev S, Wasserman I, et al. Role of radiation therapy in the management of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma: a systematic review. Adv Radiat Oncol 2019;4:520–531.
- 75. Zaghloul MS, Eldebawy E, Ahmed S, et al. Hypofractionated conformal radiotherapy for pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG): a randomized controlled trial. Radiother Oncol 2014;111:35–40.
- 76. Hu X, Fang Y, Hui X, et al. Radiotherapy for diffuse brainstem glioma in children and young adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016;2016: CD010439.
- 77. Zaghloul MS, Akoush H, Ahmed S, et al. Hypofractionated radiation for pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG): younger children have better survival. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2018; 101:1008–1009.
- 78. Zaghloul MS, Nasr A, Tolba M, et al. Hypofractionated radiation therapy for diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma: a noninferiority randomized study including 253 children. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2022; 113:360–368.
- 79. Freese C, Takiar V, Fouladi M, et al. Radiation and subsequent reirradiation outcomes in the treatment of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma and a systematic review of the reirradiation literature. Pract Radiat Oncol 2017;7:86–92.
- 80. Lu VM, Welby JP, Mahajan A, et al. Reirradiation for diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Childs Nerv Syst 2019;35:739–746.
- 81. Amsbaugh MJ, Mahajan A, Thall PF, et al. A phase 1/2 trial of reirradiation for diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2019;104:144–148.
- 82. Duffner PK, Krischer JP, Burger PC, et al. Treatment of infants with malignant gliomas: the Pediatric Oncology Group experience. J Neurooncol 1996;28:245–256.
- 83. Espinoza JC, Haley K, Patel N, et al. Outcome of young children with high-grade glioma treated with irradiation-avoiding intensive chemotherapy regimens: final report of the Head Start II and III trials. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2016;63:1806–1813.
- 84. Nicolaides T, Nazemi KJ, Crawford J, et al. Phase I study of vemurafenib
in children with recurrent or progressive BRAF^{V600E} mutant brain tumors: Pacific Pediatric Neuro-Oncology Consortium study (PNOC-002). Oncotarget 2020;11:1942–1952.
- 85. Gierke M, Sperveslage J, Schwab D, et al. Analysis of IDH1-R132 mutation, BRAF V600 mutation and KIAA1549-BRAF fusion transcript status in central nervous system tumors supports pediatric tumor classification. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2016;142:89–100.
- 86. Kleinschmidt-DeMasters BK, Aisner DL, Birks DK, et al. Epithelioid GBMs show a high percentage of BRAF V600E mutation. Am J Surg Pathol 2013;37:685-698.
- 87. Schindler G, Capper D, Meyer J, et al. Analysis of BRAF V600E mutation in 1,320 nervous system tumors reveals high mutation frequencies in pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma, ganglioglioma and extra-cerebellar pilocytic astrocytoma. Acta Neuropathol 2011;121: 397–405.
- 88. Hatzivassiliou G, Song K, Yen I, et al. RAF inhibitors prime wild-type RAF to activate the MAPK pathway and enhance growth. Nature 2010; 464:431–435.
- 89. Poulikakos PI, Zhang C, Bollag G, et al. RAF inhibitors transactivate RAF dimers and ERK signalling in cells with wild-type BRAF. Nature 2010; 464:427–430.
- 90. Su F, Viros A, Milagre C, et al. RAS mutations in cutaneous squamouscell carcinomas in patients treated with BRAF inhibitors. N Engl J Med 2012;366:207–215.
- 91. Toll SA, Tran HN, Cotter J, et al. Sustained response of three pediatric BRAFV600E mutated high-grade gliomas to combined BRAF and MEK inhibitor therapy. Oncotarget 2019;10:551–557.
- 92. Marks AM, Bindra RS, DiLuna ML, et al. Response to the BRAF/MEK inhibitors dabrafenib/trametinib in an adolescent with a BRAF V600E mutated anaplastic ganglioglioma intolerant to vemurafenib. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2018;65:e26969.
- Robinson GW, Orr BA, Gajjar A. Complete clinical regression of a BRAF V600E-mutant pediatric glioblastoma multiforme after BRAF inhibitor therapy. BMC Cancer 2014;14:258.
- 94. Bautista F, Paci A, Minard-Colin V, et al. Vemurafenib in pediatric patients with BRAFV600E mutated high-grade gliomas. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2014;61:1101–1103.
- 95. Gatalica Z, Xiu J, Swensen J, et al. Molecular characterization of cancers with NTRK gene fusions. Mod Pathol 2019;32:147–153.
- 96. Amatu A, Sartore-Bianchi A, Siena S. NTRK gene fusions as novel targets of cancer therapy across multiple tumour types. ESMO Open 2016;1:e000023.
- 97. Drilon A, Laetsch TW, Kummar S, et al. Efficacy of larotrectinib in TRK fusion-positive cancers in adults and children. N Engl J Med 2018;378:731–739.
- 98. Desai AV, Robinson GW, Gauvain K, et al. Entrectinib in children and young adults with solid or primary CNS tumors harboring NTRK, ROS1, or ALK aberrations (STARTRK-NG). Neuro Oncol 2022;24:1776–1789.
- 99. Laetsch TW, DuBois SG, Mascarenhas L, et al. Larotrectinib for paediatric solid tumours harbouring NTRK gene fusions: phase 1 results from a multicentre, open-label, phase 1/2 study. Lancet Oncol 2018;19:705–714.
- 100. Doebele RC, Drilon A, Paz-Ares L, et al. Entrectinib in patients with advanced or metastatic NTRK fusion-positive solid tumours: inte-

grated analysis of three phase 1-2 trials. Lancet Oncol 2020;21:271– 282.

- 101. Bouffet E, Larouche V, Campbell BB, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibition for hypermutant glioblastoma multiforme resulting from germline biallelic mismatch repair deficiency. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:2206– 2211.
- 102. Larouche V, Atkinson J, Albrecht S, et al. Sustained complete response of recurrent glioblastoma to combined checkpoint inhibition in a young patient with constitutional mismatch repair deficiency. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2018;65:e27389.
- 103. Cacciotti C, Choi J, Alexandrescu S, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibition for pediatric patients with recurrent/refractory CNS tumors: a single institution experience. J Neurooncol 2020;149:113–122.
- 104. Needle MN, Molloy PT, Geyer JR, et al. Phase II study of daily oral etoposide in children with recurrent brain tumors and other solid tumors. Med Pediatr Oncol 1997;29:28–32.
- 105. Grill J, Massimino M, Bouffet E, et al. Phase II, open-label, randomized, multicenter trial (HERBY) of bevacizumab in pediatric patients with newly diagnosed high-grade glioma. J Clin Oncol 2018;36:951–958.

Individual Disclosures for the Pediatric Central Nervous System Cancers

The NCCN Guidelines Staff have no conflicts to disclose.

^aThe following individuals have disclosures relating to employment/governing board, patent, equity, or royalty:

Kathleen Dorris, MD: Amgen Inc., and Gilead Sciences, Inc.

Anita Mahajan, MD: ASTRO, Pediatric Radiation Oncology Society, and PTCOG-NA.