Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Thrombosis Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/thromres

Full Length Article

Effectiveness and safety of anticoagulants among venous thromboembolism cancer patients with and without brain cancer

Alexander Cohen^{a,*}, Virginia Noxon^b, Amol Dhamane^c, Robert Bruette^b, Shrushti Shah^b, Dionne M. Hines^d, Tamuno Alfred^d, Xuemei Luo^e

^a Department of Hematological Medicine, Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, King's College London, Westminster Bridge Road, London, UK

^b STATinMED, LLC, Dallas, TX, USA

^c Bristol Myers Squibb Company, Lawrenceville, NJ, USA

^d Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA

^e Pfizer Inc., Groton, CT, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Venous thromboembolism Brain cancer Anticoagulant

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Patients with brain cancer are at a high risk of developing venous thromboembolism (VTE) and are underrepresented in clinical trials. This study compared the risk of recurrent VTE (rVTE), major bleeding (MB), and clinically relevant non-major bleeding (CRNMB) among VTE cancer patients initiating apixaban, low molecular weight heparin (LMWH), or warfarin stratified by patients with brain vs other cancer types.

Materials and methods: Active cancer patients initiating apixaban, LMWH, or warfarin within 30 days after VTE diagnosis were identified from 4 US commercial and the Medicare databases. Inverse probability of treatment weights (IPTW) was used to balance patient characteristics. Cox proportional hazards models were used to evaluate the interaction between brain cancer status and treatment on outcomes (rVTE, MB, and CRNMB), with a *p*-value <0.1 indicating a significant interaction.

Results: Of 30,586 patients with active cancer (5 % had brain cancer), apixaban (vs. LMWH and warfarin) was associated with lower risk of rVTE, MB, and CRNMB. Generally, no significant interactions (P > 0.1) were found between brain cancer status and anticoagulant treatment across outcomes. The exception was MB for apixaban [vs LMWH (*p*-value for interaction = 0.091)] with a higher reduction among those with brain cancer (HR = 0.32) than those with (HR = 0.72) other cancer.

Conclusions: Among VTE patients with all types of cancer, apixaban (vs LMWH and warfarin) was associated with a lower risk of rVTE, MB, and CRNMB. In general, anticoagulant treatment effects were not significantly different between VTE patients with brain cancer and those with other cancer.

1. Introduction

Venous thromboembolism (VTE), which includes deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE), is a leading cause of death in cancer patients [1–3]. An estimated 20 %–30 % of all incident VTE events occur in patients with active cancer, particularly in the first year after a cancer diagnosis [3–8]. VTE is associated with an increased risk of hospitalization and intracranial hemorrhage, as well as delays in cancer treatment, resulting in significant health care utilization and costs [3,9–11]. The likelihood of VTE varies depending on patient age, type of cancer treatment, and cancer stage [12–15]. The type of

malignancy is also a factor as those with brain cancer have a considerably higher risk of VTE compared to other cancer patients [5,6,15–18].

Low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) was once the standard of care for VTE among cancer patients [16,19,20]. However, oral anticoagulants such as warfarin have also been used for the treatment of VTE in cancer patients [21]. The last decade has seen the emergence of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) including apixaban, dabigatran, edoxaban and rivaroxaban as alternative VTE treatments [16,22–32]. A 2021 guideline released by the American Society of Hematology (ASH) recommended that a DOAC (apixaban or rivaroxaban) or LMWH be used for the initial treatment (within first week) of VTE for patients with cancer

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2023.04.009

Received 9 December 2022; Received in revised form 29 March 2023; Accepted 10 April 2023 Available online 13 April 2023





^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Hematological Medicine, Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, King's College London, Westminster Bridge Road, London SE1 7EH, UK.

E-mail address: alexander.cohen@kcl.ac.uk (A. Cohen).

^{0049-3848/© 2023} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

[33]. In addition, the guideline suggests that DOAC is the preferred treatment over LMWH for the short-term treatment of VTE (3–6 months). The ASH guidelines noted that, compared with LMWH, DOACs have the potential to reduce the risk of recurrent VTE and major bleeding (MB). However, the guideline panel indicated that evidence for their recommendations is uncertain due to the small number of controlled trials that have examined these treatments in cancer patients. Data is also very limited among patients with brain cancer given that these patients are either excluded or represent only a small fraction of the overall sample size (<5 %) among studies examining DOACs [22,25,31].

There is a critical need for more research that evaluates the effects of different anticoagulant treatments, including DOACs, among VTE patients with active brain cancer. The goal of this real-world study was to evaluate the effectiveness (recurrent VTE) and safety (MB and clinically relevant non-major bleeding [CRNMB] events) for apixaban, LMWH, and warfarin among VTE patients with cancer stratified by brain cancer status (i.e., with brain cancer or with other cancer) in a real-world setting.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data sources

Medical and pharmacy claims data were pooled from four commercial databases (Optum, Humana, PharMetrics Plus and MarketScan) and the Medicare Fee for Service (FFS) database. The study period ranged from March 1, 2014, through June 30, 2017 (MarketScan), December 31, 2017 (Optum, Humana and Medicare FFS), or March 31, 2018 (PharMetrics). Data were de-identified and data collection complied with the requirements of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).

2.2. Study design and patient selection

A retrospective longitudinal cohort design analysis was used to examine adults 18 years or older who had been diagnosed with VTE (had any medical claim with an ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM diagnosis code for a VTE in any position) with an identification period spanning September 1, 2014, through the end of available data. Patients were included if they had active cancer. Active cancer was defined as having two or more claims at least one day apart for a cancer diagnosis, or one claim for a cancer diagnosis and additional claim for cancer treatment in the 6months prior to index through 30-days after index. VTE patients with active cancer were also required to be newly treated with an anticoagulant (apixaban, LMWH, or warfarin) within 30 days after index VTE event and have continuous enrollment in medical and pharmacy benefits for the 6-months prior to the index VTE event until the index date. The date of treatment initiation was defined as index date. The final study population excluded patients with prior evidence of atrial fibrillation/flutter, mechanical heart valve, or use of any outpatient anticoagulant in the 6 months prior to the index date and also excluded patients with VTE during 6 months before index VTE event. In addition, patients with evidence of an inferior vena cava filter, pregnancy or antiphospholipid syndrome during the study period were excluded. Additionally among warfarin patients who had LMWH bridging therapy initiated before the warfarin start, those with evidence of MB or recurrent VTE between the LMWH initiation and warfarin start were excluded. The final population also excluded those with a follow-up of 0 days.

2.3. Patient characteristics

Patient characteristics were measured on the index date and included demographics (e.g., age, gender, geographic region), VTE-related factors (e.g., setting of index VTE event), position of VTE diagnosis (primary or secondary), and type of VTE diagnosis (DVT only, PE only, or PE with DVT). Non-cancer provoked factors (i.e., events that were preceded by hormone therapy, fracture/trauma involving lower extremities, pelvic/orthopedic surgery, or hospitalization for any reason for \geq 3 days during 3 months prior to index VTE event), comorbidities (National Cancer Institute adaptation of the CCI [NCI Comorbidity Index] and comorbid conditions), selected surgeries, concomitant medications and cancer-related characteristics (e.g., cancer site, evidence of metastasis, hematologic cancer or not, VTE risk level, and cancer-related treatment) were measured in the 6-months prior to index VTE through the index date.

2.4. Outcomes

Study outcomes included recurrent VTE, MB, and CRNMB events which were measured from the index date through the earliest of death, disenrollment, switch to another anticoagulant, discontinuation of treatment (i.e., no evidence of anticoagulant use for at least 30 days after the end of the patient's supply), or 6-months after the index date. A recurrent VTE event was considered an inpatient admission with a diagnosis (ICD-9 or ICD-10) for VTE in the primary or 1st listed position. If the index VTE event was an inpatient admission, any admission within 7 days of the index VTE event was not considered a recurrent VTE. A MB event was an inpatient admission with a diagnosis (ICD-9 or ICD-10) for bleeding in the primary or 1st listed position. A CRNMB event was an inpatient admission for a bleeding event that did not qualify as a MB event (i.e., had a bleed diagnosis in the secondary position and the bleeding was for a non-critical site) or an ambulatory care visit for non-critical bleeding.

2.5. Analysis

To reduce the potential for confounding, inverse probability of treatment weights (IPTW) using stabilized weights was used to balance patient characteristics across treatment cohorts. Weights were generated as the inverse of the propensity score generated by a multinomial logistic model for the probability of receiving treatment (LMWH, apixaban or warfarin) with LMWH as the reference. Stabilized weights reduce variability in the treatment weights caused by outliers. Patient characteristics with absolute standardized differences (STD) <10 % were considered balanced. After IPTW, Cox proportional hazard models were used to compare the risk of recurrent VTE, MB and CRNMB for apixaban vs. LMWH, warfarin vs. LMWH and apixaban vs. warfarin in patients with all cancer types. Incidence rates (IR) were generated and presented per 100 person-years. Patients were then stratified by the presence of brain cancer or other cancer. Brain cancer was identified by the presence of an ICD-9 or ICD-10 diagnosis code indicating primary brain cancer in the 6-months prior to index through 30-days post index (Supplemental Table 1). The distribution of brain cancer types (not mutually exclusive) is in Supplemental Table 2. Interaction analyses using Cox proportional hazard models were conducted to evaluate whether treatment effects were different for patients with brain vs. other cancer. Models were adjusted for observed unbalanced patient characteristics after IPTW in the overall cancer patients and after stratifying by brain cancer status. Interactions with p-value <0.1 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Patient characteristics and outcomes in overall cancer patients

A total of 30,586 VTE patients with active cancer met all eligibility criteria and were included in this analysis. Among these patients, 25 % were treated with apixaban, 37 % with LMWH, and 38 % with warfarin within 30 days after the index VTE event (Fig. 1). After IPTW, patient characteristics were mostly balanced between treatment cohorts (Table 1). Patient characteristics before IPTW can be found in the



Fig. 1. Patient selection criteria.

Table 1

Patient characteristics for all included VTE cancer patients treated with apixaban, LMWH and warfarin (Post-IPTW).^a

Characteristic	Apixaban	LMWH	Warfarin	Apixaban vs LMWH	Apixaban vs warfarin	LMWH vs warfarin
	N = 7807	N = 11,192	N = 11,587	STD	STD	STD
Age in years, mean (sd)	71.8 (11.2)	68.5 (12.8)	72.0 (11.1)	27.38	2.33	29.61
18–54	574 (7.4 %)	1402 (12.5 %)	805 (6.9 %)	17.36	1.59	18.92
55–64	1011 (13.0 %)	2190 (19.6 %)	1271 (11.0 %)	18.00	6.12	24.09
65–74	3033 (38.9 %)	3826 (34.2 %)	4649 (40.1 %)	9.71	2.60	12.32
75–79	1390 (17.8 %)	1596 (14.3 %)	2093 (18.1 %)	9.67	0.69	10.36
≥ 80	1799 (23.0 %)	2178 (19.5 %)	2769 (23.9 %)	8.76	2.03	10.79
Gender						
Male	3541 (45.4 %)	5045 (45.1 %)	5262 (45.4 %)	0.57	0.11	0.68
Female	4266 (54.6 %)	6147 (54.9 %)	6325 (54.6 %)	0.57	0.11	0.68
Geographic region						
Northeast	1567 (20.1 %)	2265 (20.2 %)	2271 (19.6 %)	0.42	1.19	1.60
South	2898 (37.1 %)	4060 (36.3 %)	4247 (36.7 %)	1.73	0.95	0.78
Midwest	2020 (25.9 %)	2914 (26.0 %)	3087 (26.6 %)	0.37	1.75	1.37
West	1315 (16.8 %)	1938 (17.3 %)	1969 (17.0 %)	1.25	0.40	0.85
Other	8 (0.1 %)	15 (0.1 %)	13 (0.1 %)	0.97	0.32	0.65
Setting of index VTE event						
Inpatient	4186 (53.6 %)	5964 (53.3 %)	6328 (54.6 %)	0.66	2.00	2.66
Outpatient ^b	3621 (46.4 %)	5228 (46.7 %)	5259 (45.4 %)	0.66	2.00	2.66
ER	3163 (40.5 %)	4481 (40.0 %)	4591 (39.6 %)	0.96	1.81	0.85
Position of VTE diagnosis						
Primary	5319 (68.1 %)	6897 (61.6 %)	7859 (67.8 %)	13.67	0.65	13.01
Secondary	2488 (31.9 %)	4295 (38.4 %)	3728 (32.2.%)	13.67	0.65	13.01
VTE diagnosis	2100 (01.9 /0)	1255 (50.175)	0720 (02.2 70)	10.07	0.00	10.01
DVT only	4314 (55 3 %)	6323 (56 5 %)	6303 (54.4.%)	2 50	1 73	4 23
BF with DVT	946(121%)	1242(11.1.06)	1/21(12/10)	2.50	0.74	3.00
PE with DVT	940 (12.1 %) 9540 (22.6 %)	2627 (22.4.04)	2952 (22.2.04)	0.40	1.22	1.90
PE without DV1	2040 (02.0 %)	3027 (32.4 %) 7059 (62.1 %)	7410 (64 0 %)	0.49	0.54	2.01
NCI comorbidity index moon (cd)	5019 (64.5 %)	7058 (03.1 %)	7419 (64.0 %)	2.55	0.54	2.01
NCI comorbidity index, mean (sd)	3.1 (2.6)	2.9 (2.6)	3.1 (2.7)	6.82	0.59	/.38
Baseline comorbidity	2000 (40 7 0/)		5761(4070/)	0.60	0.04	0.74
Anenna Genterlener og setterter	3880 (49.7 %)	5525 (49.4 %)	5/61 (49.7 %)	0.09	0.04	0.74
	1819 (23.3 %)	2812 (25.1 %)	2500 (22.1 %)	4.2/	2.74	7.02
Cerebrovascular disease	10/8 (13.8 %)	1482 (13.2 %)	1763 (15.2 %)	1.00	4.01	5.67
Hematologic disorders	1266 (16.2 %)	1739 (15.5 %)	1787 (15.4 %)	1.87	2.18	0.30
Thrombocytopenia	990 (12.7 %)	1437 (12.8 %)	1285 (11.1 %)	0.48	4.92	5.40
Ischemic heart/coronary artery disease	2139 (27.4 %)	2765 (24.7 %)	3231 (27.9 %)	6.15	1.08	7.23
Dyspepsia	2767 (35.4 %)	3973 (35.5 %)	3992 (34.4 %)	0.13	2.07	2.20
Hyperlipidemia	4097 (52.5 %)	5303 (47.4 %)	6201 (53.5 %)	10.21	2.07	12.29
Obesity	1418 (18.2 %)	2051 (18.3 %)	2131 (18.4 %)	0.41	0.59	0.18
Pneumonia	1479 (18.9 %)	2081 (18.6 %)	2182 (18.8 %)	0.88	0.27	0.61
Sleep apnea	865 (11.1 %)	1196 (10.7 %)	1298 (11.2 %)	1.27	0.39	1.66
Thrombophilia	477 (6.1 %)	627 (5.6 %)	695 (6.0 %)	2.19	0.51	1.68
Congestive heart failure	1227 (15.7 %)	1489 (13.3 %)	1908 (16.5 %)	6.85	2.03	8.88
Diabetes	2440 (31.2 %)	3395 (30.3 %)	3862 (33.3 %)	1.97	4.45	6.43
Hypertension	5798 (74.3 %)	7772 (69.4 %)	8638 (74.5 %)	10.75	0.63	11.38
Renal disease	2492 (31.9 %)	2800 (25.0 %)	3566 (30.8 %)	15.32	2.46	12.86
Liver disease	1499 (19.2 %)	2817 (25.2 %)	1957 (16.9 %)	14.40	6.03	20.43
COPD	2066 (26.5 %)	2450 (21.9 %)	3134 (27.0 %)	10.70	1.33	12.03
Peripheral vascular disease	1662 (21.3 %)	2202 (19.7 %)	2573 (22.2 %)	4.02	2.22	6.23
Baseline any bleed	2723 (34.9 %)	3934 (35.1 %)	4051 (35.0 %)	0.57	0.18	0.38
Recent history of falls	479 (6.1 %)	664 (5.9 %)	705 (6.1 %)	0.85	0.22	0.64
Fracture/trauma	758 (9.7 %)	1027 (9.2 %)	1112 (9.6 %)	1.84	0.40	1.44
Selected surgeries	3368 (43.1 %)	5055 (45.2 %)	4712 (40.7 %)	4.09	5.00	9.10
Baseline medication use						
Antiarrhythmic	1461 (18.7 %)	2262 (20.2 %)	2070 (17.9 %)	3.78	2.20	5.98
Statins	3067 (39.3 %)	4025 (36.0 %)	4630 (40.0 %)	6.86	1.38	8.24
Anti-platelets	527 (6.8 %)	686 (6.1 %)	815 (7.0 %)	2.55	1.09	3.64
Aromatase inhibitors	440 (5.6 %)	596 (5.3 %)	658 (5.7 %)	1.35	0.16	1.52
Beta blockers	2712 (34.7 %)	3612 (32.3 %)	4112 (35.5 %)	5.22	1.57	6.79
Gastroprotective agents	2825 (36.2 %)	3941 (35.2 %)	4180 (36.1 %)	2.05	0.24	1.81
NSAIDs	1596 (20.4 %)	2406 (21.5 %)	2330 (20.1 %)	2.58	0.84	3.42
Cancer metastasis ^d	3760 (48.2.%)	5637 (50.4 %)	5502 (47.5 %)	4 40	1.36	5.76
Cancer type ^d	0,00 (1012 /0)		0002 (1110 10)	1110	100	01/0
Hematological	1278 (16.4 %)	1924 (17.2.%)	1906 (16.5 %)	2.20	0.22	1.98
Non-hematological	6529 (83.6 %)	9268 (82.8 %)	9681 (83.5 %)	2.20	0.22	1.98
Khorana risk scale	0029 (00.0 /0)	200 (02.0 /0)	2001 (00.0 /0)			1.70
Very high risk	1091 (14 0 %)	1593 (14 2 %)	1569 (13 5 %)	0.75	1.25	1.99
High rick	3177 (14.0 7 %)	4605 (17.2 70)	4815 (41 6 %)	2.54	1.23	0.80
Other	3530 (45 2 04)	4004 (12 0 04)	5202 (11 0 %)	2.07	0.85	2.00
Cancer-related treatment ^d	5559 (1 5.5 %)	7707 (73.0 %)	3203 (77.9 %)	5.05	0.00	4.17
Cancer related treatment	5020 (64 2 %)	7860 (70 2 %)	7470 (64 6 %)	10.90	0.51	12.21
Chamatharany	3020 (04.3 %)	/009 (/U.3 %)	7479 (04.0 %)	12.02	0.01	14.51
Hormone thereavy	3372 (40.0 %) 103 (6 3 %)	3030 (32.1 %) 704 (6.2 %)	5203 (44.9 %) 745 (6 4 0/)	0.12	2.20	0.56
Immunothereny	493 (0.3 %)	160 (1 = 0/)	145 (0.4 %)	2.01	0.44	2.24
minunomerapy	91 (1.2 %)	109 (1.5 %)	143 (1.2 %)	3.01	0.//	2.24
					(4	continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Characteristic	Apixaban	LMWH	Warfarin	Apixaban vs LMWH	Apixaban vs warfarin	LMWH vs warfarin
	N=7807	$N = 11,\!192$	N = 11,587	STD	STD	STD
Radiation Surgery	2515 (32.2 %) 692 (8.9 %)	3875 (34.6 %) 1186 (10.6 %)	3812 (32.9 %) 1051 (9.1 %)	5.11 5.88	1.46 0.73	3.65 5.15

^a Due to IPTW, totals of subgroups may be higher or lower by 1.

^b Defined as a VTE that is preceded by hormone therapy, fracture/trauma involving lower extremities, pelvic/orthopedic surgery, or hospitalization for any reason for \geq 3 days during 3 months prior to VTE.

^c Includes ER visits.

^d Measured in the 6-months prior VTE through 30-days after VTE.

Supplemental Table 3. Compared to LMWH, apixaban was associated with lower risk of recurrent VTE (HR: 0.66; 95 % CI: 0.53–0.83), MB (HR: 0.68; 95 % CI: 0.56–0.83), and CRNMB (HR: 0.74; 95 % CI: 0.66–0.83); (Fig. 2). Compared to warfarin, apixaban was associated with lower risk of recurrent VTE (HR: 0.76; 95 % CI: 0.61–0.94), MB (HR: 0.82; 95 % CI: 0.68–0.99), and CRNMB (HR: 0.85; 95 % CI: 0.77–0.94); (Fig. 3). Warfarin (vs LMWH) had a similar risk of recurrent VTE (HR: 0.95; 95 % CI: 0.77–1.17) and a significantly lower risk of MB (HR: 0.84; 95 % CI: 0.72–0.99) and CRNMB (HR: 0.88; 95 % CI: 0.79–0.98); (Fig. 4).

3.2. Patient characteristics by brain cancer status

Of the 30,586 patients in this analysis, 5 % had brain cancer (21.4 % apixaban, 39.0 % LMWH, 39.6 % warfarin) and 95 % had other cancer type (25.7 % apixaban, 36.5 % LMWH, 37.8 % warfarin) which included multiple myeloma, leukemia and breast cancer (Fig. 1 and Supplemental Table 4). Post IPTW, mean age tended to be lower for brain cancer patients (63–68 years across medication groups) compared to other cancer patients (69–72 years); (Table 2). Patients with brain cancer and other

cancer had similar common comorbidities (mean NCI comorbidity index scores ranged from 2.7 to 3.4) and the presence of each comorbidity was generally similar across treatment cohorts by brain cancer status (Table 2). Baseline medication use tended to be lower for brain cancer patients compared to other cancer patients except for gastroprotective agents. In contrast, cancer-related chemotherapy, radiation, and surgery were more common in the brain cancer patient group compared to nonbrain cancer.

3.3. Evaluation of outcomes by brain cancer status

3.3.1. Apixaban vs LMWH

As represented in Fig. 2, effects of apixaban vs LMWH were consistent regardless of brain cancer status. Among brain cancer patients, numerically lower incidence rates were observed for apixaban vs. LMWH for recurrent VTE (5.80 vs 19.77; HR: 0.32; 95 % CI: 0.09–1.09), MB (9.76 vs 22.46; HR: 0.32; 95 % CI: 0.13–0.79), and CRNMB (26.62 vs 44.87; HR: 0.58; 95 % CI: 0.33–1.04). Likewise, among other cancer patients, incidence rates were numerically lower for apixaban (vs LMWH) patients for recurrent VTE (7.66 vs 14.76; HR: 0.70; 95 % CI:



Fig. 2. Apixaban compared to LMWH.*

*Due to IPTW, the sum of events in the brain cancer and non-brain cohorts may be off by a factor of 1 from the reported all patients total. Hazard Ratio was adjusted for unbalanced patient characteristics after IPTW or after stratified by brain cancer status.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CRNMB, clinically significant non-major bleeding; IR: incidence rate; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

A. Cohen et al.

Thrombosis Research 226 (2023) 117-126

Fig. 3. Apixaban compared to warfarin.*

*Due to IPTW, the sum of events in the brain cancer and non-brain cohorts may be off by a factor of 1 from the reported all patients total. Hazard Ratio was adjusted for unbalanced patient characteristics after IPTW or after stratified by brain cancer status. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CRNMB, clinically significant non-major bleeding; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

Fig. 4. Warfarin compared to LMWH.*

*Due to IPTW, the sum of events in the brain cancer and non-brain cohorts may be off by a factor of 1 from the reported all patients total. Hazard Ratio was adjusted for unbalanced patients characteristics after IPTW or after stratified by brain cancer status.

Table 2

Post-IPTW patient characteristics by brain cancer status.^a

Characteristic	Brain cancer (N =	1516)		No brain cancer ($N = 29,069$)			
	Apixaban	LMWH	Warfarin	Apixaban	LMWH	Warfarin	
	N = 325	N = 591	N = 600	N = 7482	N = 10,601	N = 10,987	
Age (years), mean (sd)	67.8 (12.3)	62.9 (11.3)	66.2 (16.1)	71.9 (11.1)	68.8 (12.8)	72.3 (10.8)	
18–54	31 (9.6 %)	139 (23.6 %)	103 (17.2 %)	543 (7.3 %)	1263 (11.9 %)	701 (6.4 %)	
55–64	76 (23.2 %)	162 (27.4 %)	103 (17.1 %)	936 (12.5 %)	2029 (19.1 %)	1168 (10.6 %)	
65–74	138 (42.6 %)	183 (30.9 %)	241 (40.1 %)	2895 (38.7 %)	3643 (34.4 %)	4408 (40.1 %)	
75–79	58 (17.8 %)	53 (9.0 %)	93 (15.6 %)	1332 (17.8 %)	1543 (14.6 %)	2000 (18.2 %)	
>80	22 (6.9 %)	54 (9.2 %)	60 (10.0 %)	1776 (23.7 %)	2124 (20.0 %)	2709 (24 7 %)	
Gender	22 (015 70)	01 (312 70)	00 (1010 /0)	1770 (2017 70)	2121 (2010 /0)	2,03 (211, 70)	
Male	138 (42.4.%)	330 (55.9.%)	274 (45 7 %)	3404 (45 5 %)	4715 (44 5 %)	4988 (45.4.%)	
Female	188 (57.6 %)	260 (44 1 %)	326 (54 3 %)	4078 (54 5 %)	5886 (55 5 %)	5999 (54.6 %)	
Ceographic region	100 (37.0 %)	200 (44.1 %)	320 (34.3 %)	4078 (34.3 %)	3880 (33.3 %)	3999 (34.0 %)	
Northoast	60 (20 0 04)	121 (22 1 04)	102 (17 1 04)	1500 (20.0.04)	2125 (20.1.04)	2160 (10 7 %)	
South	08(20.870)	200(25.2.0)	102(17.170)	1300(20.0%)	2155 (20.1 %)	2109 (19.7 %) 4017 (26.6 %)	
Niducet	96 (30.1 %) 76 (32.2 %)	209 (33.3 %)	231 (30.4 %)	2000 (37.4 %)	3632(30.3%)	4017 (30.0 %) 2015 (26 5 %)	
Midwest	76 (23.3 %)	152 (25.7 %)	1/2 (28.7 %)	1944 (26.0 %)	2/62 (26.1 %)	2915 (26.5 %)	
West	84 (25.9 %)	98 (16.6 %)	94 (15.7%)	1231 (16.4 %)	1839 (17.4 %)	18/5 (17.1 %)	
Other	0 (0.0 %)	1 (0.2 %)	1 (0.2 %)	8 (0.1 %)	13 (0.1 %)	12 (0.1 %)	
Index VTE setting							
Inpatient	155 (47.7 %)	284 (48.1 %)	306 (50.9 %)	4030 (53.9 %)	5679 (53.6 %)	6022 (54.8 %)	
Outpatient	170 (52.3 %)	306 (51.9 %)	294 (49.1 %)	3451 (46.1 %)	4922 (46.4 %)	4965 (45.2 %)	
ER	159 (48.7 %)	287 (48.6 %)	278 (46.4 %)	3004 (40.2 %)	4194 (39.6 %)	4313 (39.3 %)	
Position of VTE diagnosis							
Primary	212 (65.2 %)	418 (70.8 %)	418 (69.7 %)	5107 (68.3 %)	6479 (61.1 %)	7441 (67.7 %)	
Secondary	113 (34.8 %)	173 (29.2 %)	182 (30.3 %)	2375 (31.7 %)	4123 (38.9 %)	3546 (32.3 %)	
VTE diagnosis							
DVT only	199 (61.0 %)	328 (55.6 %)	322 (53.6 %)	4115 (55.0 %)	5995 (56.6 %)	5981 (54.4 %)	
PE with DVT	29 (8.8 %)	81 (13.7 %)	80 (13.3 %)	917 (12.3 %)	1161 (11.0 %)	1352 (12.3 %)	
PE without DVT	98 (30.1 %)	182 (30.8 %)	199 (33.1 %)	2450 (32.7 %)	3445 (32.5 %)	3654 (33.3 %)	
Provoked factors ^b	261 (80.2 %)	433 (73.3 %)	458 (76.4 %)	4758 (63.6 %)	6624 (62.5 %)	6961 (63.4 %)	
NCI comorbidity index	3.4 (3.3)	2.7 (2.0)	3.1 (3.0)	3.1 (2.6)	3.0 (2.7)	3.1 (2.6)	
Baseline comorbidity				012 (210)	,	012 (210)	
Anemia	165 (50.8 %)	200 (33.8 %)	250 (43.1.%)	3715 (49 7 %)	5324 (50.2.%)	5502 (50.1.%)	
Central venous catheter	80 (24 5 %)	97 (16.4.%)	142(23.7%)	1739 (23.2.%)	2716 (25.6 %)	2424 (22.1.%)	
Cerebrovascular disease	156 (48 0 %)	241 (40 8 %)	270(451%)	(123.2%)	12/10(23.0%)	1403 (13.6.%)	
Userstalagia disenders	130 (40.0 %)	241 (40.0 %)	2/0 (43.1 %)	$\frac{1167}{1560}$	1610 (15.2.0/)	1692 (15.0 %)	
Thrombourtononio	99 (30.4 %)	119 (20.2 %)	103(17.3%)	1107(13.0%)	1019 (13.5 %)	1100 (10.0 %)	
Inrombocytopenia	81 (24.9 %)	110 (18.6 %)	86 (14.3 %)	909 (12.2 %)	1328 (12.5 %)	1199 (10.9 %)	
Ischemic heart/coronary artery disease	57 (17.7%)	96 (16.3 %)	108 (18.0 %)	2082 (27.8 %)	2669 (25.2 %)	3123 (28.4 %)	
Dyspepsia	87 (26.9 %)	130 (22.0 %)	164 (27.3 %)	2679 (35.8 %)	3843 (36.2 %)	3828 (34.8 %)	
Hemiplegia or paraplegia	81 (24.7 %)	139 (23.5 %)	119 (19.8 %)	111 (1.5 %)	148 (1.4 %)	213 (1.9 %)	
Hyperlipidemia	153 (47.1 %)	246 (41.6 %)	302 (50.3 %)	3944 (52.7 %)	5057 (47.7 %)	5899 (53.7 %)	
Obesity	39 (11.9 %)	102 (17.2 %)	110 (18.3 %)	1379 (18.4 %)	1949 (18.4 %)	2022 (18.4 %)	
Pneumonia	81 (24.9 %)	99 (16.8 %)	112 (18.6 %)	1398 (18.7 %)	1982 (18.7 %)	2070 (18.8 %)	
Sleep apnea	37 (11.4 %)	52 (8.8 %)	61 (10.2 %)	828 (11.1 %)	1143 (10.8 %)	1236 (11.3 %)	
Thrombophilia	23 (7.0 %)	39 (6.6 %)	22 (3.6 %)	455 (6.1 %)	588 (5.5 %)	673 (6.1 %)	
Congestive heart failure	20 (6.1 %)	65 (11.0 %)	57 (9.5 %)	1207 (16.1 %)	1425 (13.4 %)	1851 (16.8 %)	
Diabetes	77 (23.6 %)	122 (20.7 %)	165 (27.5 %)	2363 (31.6 %)	3273 (30.9 %)	3697 (33.6 %)	
Hypertension	236 (72.5 %)	375 (63.6 %)	427 (71.2 %)	5563 (74.3 %)	7397 (69.8 %)	8210 (74.7 %)	
Renal disease	72 (22.2 %)	91 (15.4 %)	103 (17.1 %)	2419 (32.3 %)	2709 (25.6 %)	3463 (31.5 %)	
Liver disease	72 (22.3 %)	92 (15.5 %)	126 (21.1 %)	1427 (19.1 %)	2725 (25.7 %)	1830 (16.7 %)	
COPD	91 (28.0 %)	98 (16.5 %)	142 (23.6 %)	1975 (26.4 %)	2352 (22.2 %)	2992 (27.2 %)	
Peripheral vascular disease	65 (19.9 %)	71 (12.1 %)	90 (15.0 %)	1598 (21.4 %)	2130 (20.1 %)	2483 (22.6 %)	
Baseline any bleed	151 (46.4 %)	209 (35.4 %)	290 (48.3 %)	2572 (34.4 %)	3725 (35.1 %)	3761 (34.2 %)	
Recent history of falls	26 (8.1 %)	50 (8.5 %)	52 (8.7 %)	453 (6.1 %)	614 (5.8 %)	653 (5.9 %)	
Fracture/trauma	25 (7.7 %)	51 (8.6 %)	31 (5.2 %)	734 (9.8 %)	976 (9.2 %)	1081 (9.8 %)	
Selected surgeries	240 (73.9 %)	377 (63 7 %)	432 (72.0 %)	3127 (41.8 %)	4679 (44.1 %)	4280 (39.0 %)	
Baseline medication use	210 (7015 70)		102 (/ 210 / 0)	012/ (110 /0)	107.5 (1112.70)	1200 (0510 70)	
Antiarrhythmic	62 (18 0 %)	81 (13 8 %)	81 (13 6 %)	1400 (18 7 %)	2181 (20.6.%)	1080 (18 1 %)	
Stating	110(26,2.04)	177(20.0.04)	206 (24 4 04)	2040 (20.4.%)	2101 (20.0 %)	1909 (10.1 %)	
Boto blockore	110 (30.2 %) 90 (37 2 %)	177 (29.9 %)	200 (34.4 %) 1EE (3E 9.04)	2949 (39.4 %)	2492 (22.9.04)	4423 (40.3 %) 20E6 (26.0 %)	
Controppotentino oconto	09 (27.3 %)	129 (21.9 %)	100 (40.0 %)	2023 (33.1 %)	3462 (32.6 %)	3930 (30.0 %) 3901 (35.4 %)	
Gastroprotective agents	137 (42.1 %)	254 (43.0 %)	289 (48.2 %)	2089 (35.9 %)	3087 (34.8 %)	3891 (35.4 %)	
INDAIDS	30 (17.3 %)	113 (19.5 %)	/9 (13.2 %)	1540 (20.0 %)		2231 (20.5 %)	
Cancer metastasis	217 (66.7 %)	270 (45.7 %)	405 (67.6 %)	3543 (47.4 %)	5307 (50.6 %)	5097 (46.4 %)	
Cancer type							
Hematological	41 (12.7 %)	45 (7.6 %)	64 (10.7 %)	1237 (16.5 %)	1879 (17.7 %)	1842 (16.8 %)	
Non-hematological	284 (87.3 %)	546 (92.4 %)	536 (89.3 %)	6245 (83.5 %)	8722 (82.3 %)	9145 (83.2 %)	
Khorana risk scale							
Very high risk	289 (88.9 %)	535 (90.6 %)	542 (90.3 %)	802 (10.7 %)	1058 (10.0 %)	1027 (9.4 %)	
High risk	20 (6.1 %)	46 (7.7 %)	34 (5.7 %)	3158 (42.2 %)	4649 (43.9 %)	4780 (43.5 %)	
Other	16 (5.0 %)	10 (1.7 %)	24 (3.9 %)	3522 (47.1 %)	4894 (46.2 %)	5179 (47.1 %)	
Cancer-related treatment ^c							
Cancer treatment	307 (94.3 %)	543 (91.9 %)	539 (89.8 %)	4714 (63.0 %)	7326 (69.1 %)	6941 (63.2 %)	
Chemotherapy	198 (60.9 %)	363 (61.4 %)	317 (52.9 %)	3394 (45.4 %)	5473 (51.6 %)	4887 (44.5 %)	
Hormone therapy	9 (2.8 %)	9 (1.5 %)	14 (2.4 %)	484 (6.5 %)	695 (6.6 %)	730 (6.6 %)	
**							

(continued on next page)

A. Cohen et al.

Table 2 (continued)

Characteristic	Brain cancer ($N = 1516$)			No brain cancer ($N = 29,069$)		
	Apixaban	LMWH	Warfarin	Apixaban	LMWH	Warfarin
	N = 325	N = 591	N = 600	N = 7482	N = 10,601	N = 10,987
Immunotherapy	15 (4.7 %)	14 (2.4 %)	24 (3.9 %)	76 (1.0 %)	155 (1.5 %)	121 (1.1 %)
Radiation	269 (82.8 %)	464 (78.5 %)	490 (81.7 %)	2245 (30.0 %)	3411 (32.2 %)	3322 (30.2 %)
Cancer-related surgery	148 (45.5 %)	236 (39.9 %)	281 (46.9 %)	544 (7.3 %)	951 (9.0 %)	769 (7.0 %)

^a Due to rounding from IPTW, patient counts and proportions may be off slightly.

^b Defined as a VTE that is preceded by hormone therapy, fracture/trauma involving lower extremities, pelvic/orthopedic surgery, or hospitalization for any reason for >3 days during 3 months prior to VTE.

^c Measured in the 6-months prior VTE through 30-days after VTE.

0.55–0.88), MB (10.92 vs 17.01; HR: 0.72; 95 % CI: 0.59–0.88), and CRNMB (33.02 vs 48.41; HR: 0.76; 95 % CI: 0.67–0.86). There were no significant interactions between treatment (apixaban vs. LMWH) and brain cancer status on recurrent VTE and CRNMB (Fig. 2, *p*-value for interactions >0.1). A significant interaction was observed for MB (Fig. 2, p's value for interaction = 0.091). Although apixaban was consistently associated with a lower risk of MB vs. LMWH for all patients regardless of brain cancer (HR = 0.32) than those with (HR = 0.72) other cancer.

3.3.2. Apixaban vs warfarin

Similarly, as represented in Fig. 3, effects of apixaban vs. warfarin on recurrent VTE, MB and CRNMB were not significantly different between patients with brain cancer and patients with other cancer (*p*-value for interactions >0.1). Incidence rates were numerically lower for apixaban patients (vs warfarin) among those with brain cancer for recurrent VTE (5.80 vs 17.68; HR: 0.28; 95 % CI: 0.08–1.02), MB (9.76 vs 16.64; HR: 0.51; 95 % CI: 0.19–1.40), and CRNMB (26.62 vs 31.39; HR: 0.82; 95 % CI: 0.41–1.63). Likewise, the incidence rates were numerically lower for apixaban patients (vs warfarin) among those with other cancer for recurrent VTE (7.66 vs 9.18; HR: 0.76; 95 % CI: 0.61–0.95), MB (10.92 vs 12.66; HR: 0.84; 95 % CI: 0.70–1.02), and CRNMB (33.02 vs 37.84; HR: 0.85; 95 % CI: 0.76–0.94).

3.3.3. Warfarin vs LMWH

As represented in Fig. 4, effects of warfarin vs. LMWH on recurrent VTE, MB and CRNMB were not significantly different between patients with brain cancer and patients with other cancer (*p*-value for interactions >0.1). For recurrent VTE, the HR for warfarin vs. LMWH after adjustment for unbalanced observed patient characteristics was close to 1 for patients with brain cancer (17.68 vs 19.77; HR: 1.11; 95%CI: 0.59–2.11) and patients without brain cancer (9.18 vs 14.76; HR: 0.94; 95 % CI: 0.76–1.17). For MB and CRNMB, incidence rates were numerically lower for warfarin vs. LMWH for patients with brain cancer: MB (16.64 vs 22.46; HR: 0.62; 95 % CI: 0.33–1.16), and CRNMB (31.39 vs 44.87; HR: 0.70; 95 % CI: 0.42–1.16). Likewise, the incidence rates were numerically lower for warfarin patients (vs LMWH) among those with other cancer for MB (12.66 vs 17.01; HR: 0.87; 95 % CI: 0.73–1.02), and CRNMB (37.84 vs 48.41; HR: 0.90; 95 % CI: 0.81–1.01).

4. Discussion

The present study evaluated the risk of recurrent VTE, MB, and CRNMB among VTE patients with active cancer who initiated apixaban, LMWH, or warfarin stratified by the presence of brain vs. other cancer. In VTE patients with all types of cancer, apixaban was associated with lower risk of recurrent VTE, MB, and CRNMB compared to LMWH and warfarin, and warfarin had similar risk of recurrent VTE and a lower risk of MB and CRNMB than LMWH. When stratified by brain cancer status, treatment effects were generally consistent between patients with brain cancer and patients with other cancer and consistent with the overall population.

The findings from this study add to a growing body of research

demonstrating the efficacy/effectiveness and safety of DOACs such as apixaban in VTE patients with cancer [25,31,34]. By using four commercial and Medicare databases, this study included a larger starting sample size and allowed for opportunities to evaluate specific tumor types such as brain cancer [34]. The study results related to the comparison of apixaban to LMWH for overall cancer patients were generally consistent with previous studies [25,31,34].

There has historically been a lack of evidence regarding the efficacy/ effectiveness and safety of apixaban in VTE patients with brain cancer. For example, CARAVAGGIO, the largest randomized clinical trial that has examined the efficacy and safety of apixaban relative to dalteparin (LMWH) in VTE patients with cancer excluded individuals with brain cancer [31]. The current study helps to address this evidence gap. When stratified by brain vs. other cancer, in general no significant interactions were observed between treatments (apixaban vs. LMWH, warfarin vs. LMWH, and warfarin vs. LMWH) and brain cancer status on the outcomes of recurrent VTE, MB, and CRNMB. These findings suggest that the treatment effects of apixaban, LMWH, and warfarin in VTE patients with brain cancer were generally consistent with the treatment effects in those with other cancer types. These data provide some initial evidence to inform the effectiveness and safety of apixaban in VTE patients with brain cancer.

4.1. Limitations

The present analysis should be reviewed within the context of several limitations associated with retrospective claims analyses. First, only associations and not causation can be inferred from this study. Second, residual confounding may be present, even though IPTW was used to balance patient characteristics between treatment cohorts in overall cancer patients and further adjusted for variables that became unbalanced after stratifying by brain cancer status. Third, there may be unmeasured confounding as we do not have information on some factors that may impact treatment outcomes such as cancer stage, whether the tumor was primary resected, over-the-counter medication use, and lab values. Fourth, the commercial databases do not have complete death information for the patients, and consequently, mortality and fatal recurrent VTE in patients with brain or other cancer were not assessed. Mortality may be a competing risk in both patient populations. Fifth, there were differences between cancer cohorts that could have impacted study findings, including a small sample size for the brain cancer cohort and variable types of cancer represented in the other cancer cohort. Sixth, our algorithm to identify active cancer was based on diagnosis codes and prescription claims, not clinical assessment. Although we have tried to ensure that the diagnosis and cancer treatment were close to index VTE event (≤ 6 months) and included two diagnoses at least 1 month apart to avoid regular follow-up, there may be misclassification of active cancer vs. history of cancer. Seventh, misclassifications of exposures and outcomes may also be possible as the databases in this analysis are designed for billing rather than diagnostic purposes. Coding errors and missing data may exist, which could contribute to underreporting of outcomes. Specifically, the algorithm used to determine CRNMB events (including ICD-9 and ICD-10 diagnosis codes) has not been validated; however, this algorithm does follow the suggested definition by the International Society on Thrombosis and Hematology (ISTH) as closely as possible [35]. Moreover, the presence of a diagnosis code on a medical claim may not indicate a positive presence of recurrent VTE or any disease, as the diagnosis code may be incorrectly coded or included as rule-out criteria rather than actual disease. Eighth, this study used data from 2014 to 2018 when main international clinical guidelines recommended LMWH as the treatment of choice in cancerassociated thrombosis. Patients receiving warfarin during that time were against clinical guidelines [36,37]. They constituted a special group of patients and findings related to warfarin comparison should be interpreted with caution. Finally, the results reported here may not apply to the entire US VTE population with brain cancer as uninsured patients, Medicaid enrollees, and patients within the Veterans Affairs health system were not included. Additionally, the data were from US databases and may not generalize to non-US populations.

5. Conclusions

Among VTE patients with active cancer, apixaban (vs LMWH and warfarin) was associated with a lower risk of recurrent VTE, MB, and CRNMB. Warfarin (vs LMWH) was associated with similar risk of recurrent VTE and a lower risk of MB and CRNMB. Analyses stratified by brain vs. other cancer generally showed no significant difference in the treatment effects between those with brain cancer and those with other cancer. More research is needed to discern the most effective and safe anticoagulant treatment in VTE patients with brain cancer.

Funding

This study was sponsored by Pfizer and Bristol Myers Squibb.

Declaration of competing interest

AC received research support from Pfizer Inc. and Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. VN, RB and SS were paid employees of STATinMED at the time of the study; STATinMED is a paid consultant to Pfizer, Inc. and Bristol-Myers Squibb Company in connection with the development of this manuscript. AD is a paid employee of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. DMH, TA, and XL are paid employees of Pfizer, Inc.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2023.04.009.

References

- A.A. Khorana, C.W. Francis, E. Culakova, N.M. Kuderer, G.H. Lyman, Thromboembolism is a leading cause of death in cancer patients receiving outpatient chemotherapy, J. Thromb. Haemost. 5 (3) (2007) 632–634.
- [2] G. Gussoni, S. Frasson, M. La Regina, P. Di Micco, M. Monreal, Three-month mortality rate and clinical predictors in patients with venous thromboembolism and cancer. Findings from the RIETE registry, Thromb. Res. 131 (1) (2013) 24–30.
- [3] G.H. Lyman, E. Culakova, M.S. Poniewierski, N.M. Kuderer, Morbidity, mortality and costs associated with venous thromboembolism in hospitalized patients with cancer, Thromb. Res. 164 (Suppl 1) (2018) S112–s8.
- [4] J.F. Timp, S.K. Braekkan, H.H. Versteeg, S.C. Cannegieter, Epidemiology of cancerassociated venous thrombosis, Blood 122 (10) (2013) 1712–1723.
- [5] J.A. Heit, Epidemiology of venous thromboembolism, Nat. Rev. Cardiol. 12 (8) (2015) 464–474.
- [6] A.J. Walker, T.R. Card, J. West, C. Crooks, M.J. Grainge, Incidence of venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer - a cohort study using linked United Kingdom databases, Eur. J. Cancer 49 (6) (2013) 1404–1413.
- [7] T.R. Smith, A.D. Nanney 3rd, R.R. Lall, R.B. Graham, J. McClendon Jr., R.R. Lall, et al., Development of venous thromboembolism (VTE) in patients undergoing surgery for brain tumors: results from a single center over a 10 year period, J. Clin. Neurosci. 22 (3) (2015) 519–525.
- [8] S. Yust-Katz, J.J. Mandel, J. Wu, Y. Yuan, C. Webre, T.A. Pawar, et al., Venous thromboembolism (VTE) and glioblastoma, J. Neuro-Oncol. 124 (1) (2015) 87–94.

- [9] A.A. Thomas, H. Wright, K. Chan, H. Ross, P. Prasad, A. Goodwin, et al., Safety of apixaban for venous thromboembolic primary prophylaxis in patients with newly diagnosed malignant glioma, J. Thromb. Thrombolysis 1–6 (2021).
- [10] A.A. Khorana, M.R. Dalal, J. Lin, G.C. Connolly, Health care costs associated with venous thromboembolism in selected high-risk ambulatory patients with solid tumors undergoing chemotherapy in the United States, Clinicoecon Outcomes Res. 5 (2013) 101–108.
- [11] K.P. Cohoon, C.L. Leibson, J.E. Ransom, A.A. Ashrani, M.S. Park, T.M. Petterson, et al., Direct medical costs attributable to venous thromboembolism among persons hospitalized for major operation: a population-based longitudinal study, Surgery 157 (3) (2015) 423–431.
- [12] Y. Hisada, J.E. Geddings, C. Ay, N. Mackman, Venous thrombosis and cancer: from mouse models to clinical trials, J. Thromb. Haemost. 13 (8) (2015) 1372–1382.
- [13] V.Q. Trinh, P.I. Karakiewicz, J. Sammon, M. Sun, S. Sukumar, M.K. Gervais, et al., Venous thromboembolism after major cancer surgery: temporal trends and patterns of care, JAMA Surg. 149 (1) (2014) 43–49.
- [14] E. Donnellan, A.A. Khorana, Cancer and venous thromboembolic disease: a review, Oncologist 22 (2) (2017) 199–207.
- [15] F. Horsted, J. West, M.J. Grainge, Risk of venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis, PLoS Med. 9 (7) (2012), e1001275.
- [16] A.A. Khorana, N. Mackman, A. Falanga, I. Pabinger, S. Noble, W. Ageno, et al., Cancer-associated venous thromboembolism, Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers 8 (1) (2022) 11.
- [17] C.E. Chee, A.A. Ashrani, R.S. Marks, T.M. Petterson, K.R. Bailey, L.J. Melton 3rd, et al., Predictors of venous thromboembolism recurrence and bleeding among active cancer patients: a population-based cohort study, Blood 123 (25) (2014) 3972–3978.
- [18] J.T. Senders, N.H. Goldhaber, D.J. Cote, I.S. Muskens, H.Y. Dawood, F. De Vos, et al., Venous thromboembolism and intracranial hemorrhage after craniotomy for primary malignant brain tumors: a National Surgical Quality Improvement Program analysis, J. Neuro-Oncol. 136 (1) (2018) 135–145.
- [19] R. Roberti, L.F. Iannone, C. Palleria, A. Curcio, M. Rossi, A. Sciacqua, et al., Direct oral anticoagulants: from randomized clinical trials to real-world clinical practice, Front. Pharmacol. 12 (2021).
- [20] N.S. Key, A.A. Khorana, N.M. Kuderer, K. Bohlke, A.Y.Y. Lee, J.I. Arcelus, et al., Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis and treatment in patients with cancer: ASCO clinical practice guideline update, J. Clin. Oncol. 38 (5) (2020) 496–520.
- [21] A.A. Khorana, D. Yannicelli, K.R. McCrae, D. Milentijevic, C. Crivera, W.W. Nelson, et al., Evaluation of US prescription patterns: are treatment guidelines for cancerassociated venous thromboembolism being followed? Thromb. Res. 145 (2016) 51–53.
- [22] Z.-C. Gu, Y.-D. Yan, S.-Y. Yang, L. Shen, L.-C. Kong, C. Zhang, et al., Direct versus conventional anticoagulants for treatment of cancer associated thrombosis: a pooled and interaction analysis between observational studies and randomized clinical trials, Ann. Transl. Med. 8 (4) (2020) 95.
- [23] A. Douros, C. Filliter, L. Azoulay, V. Tagalakis, Effectiveness and safety of direct oral anticoagulants in patients with cancer associated venous thromboembolism, Thromb. Res. 202 (2021) 128–133.
- [24] T. van der Hulle, P.L. den Exter, J. Kooiman, J.J. van der Hoeven, M.V. Huisman, F. A. Klok, Meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants in patients with cancer-associated acute venous thromboembolism, J. Thromb. Haemost. 12 (7) (2014) 1116–1120.
- [25] R.D. McBane 2nd, W.E. Wysokinski, J.G. Le-Rademacher, T. Zemla, A. Ashrani, A. Tafur, et al., Apixaban and dalteparin in active malignancy-associated venous thromboembolism: the ADAM VTE trial, J. Thromb. Haemost. 18 (2) (2020) 411–421.
- [26] G.E. Raskob, N. van Es, P. Verhamme, M. Carrier, M. Di Nisio, D. Garcia, et al., Edoxaban for the treatment of cancer-associated venous thromboembolism, N. Engl. J. Med. 378 (7) (2018) 615–624.
- [27] F.I. Mulder, F. Bosch, A.M. Young, A. Marshall, R.D. McBane, T.J. Zemla, et al., Direct oral anticoagulants for cancer-associated venous thromboembolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Blood 136 (12) (2020) 1433–1441.
- [28] A.M. Young, A. Marshall, J. Thirlwall, O. Chapman, A. Lokare, C. Hill, et al., Comparison of an oral factor Xa inhibitor with low molecular weight heparin in patients with cancer with venous thromboembolism: results of a randomized trial (SELECT-D), J. Clin. Oncol. 36 (20) (2018) 2017–2023.
- [29] M. Carrier, K. Abou-Nassar, R. Mallick, V. Tagalakis, S. Shivakumar, A. Schattner, et al., Apixaban to prevent venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer, N. Engl. J. Med. 380 (8) (2019) 711–719.
- [30] A.W. Swartz, J. Drappatz, Safety of direct oral anticoagulants in central nervous system malignancies, Oncologist 26 (5) (2021) 427–432.
- [31] G. Agnelli, C. Becattini, G. Meyer, A. Muñoz, M.V. Huisman, J.M. Connors, et al., Apixaban for the treatment of venous thromboembolism associated with cancer, N. Engl. J. Med. 382 (17) (2020) 1599–1607.
- [32] A.W. Rutjes, E. Porreca, M. Candeloro, E. Valeriani, M. Di Nisio, Primary prophylaxis for venous thromboembolism in ambulatory cancer patients receiving chemotherapy, Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 12 (2020).
- [33] G.H. Lyman, M. Carrier, C. Ay, M. Di Nisio, L.K. Hicks, A.A. Khorana, et al., American Society of Hematology 2021 guidelines for management of venous thromboembolism: prevention and treatment in patients with cancer, Blood Adv. 5 (4) (2021) 927–974.
- [34] A. Cohen, A. Keshishian, T. Lee, G. Wygant, L. Rosenblatt, P. Hlavacek, et al., Effectiveness and safety of apixaban, low-molecular-weight heparin, and warfarin among venous thromboembolism patients with active cancer: a U.S. claims data analysis, Thromb. Haemost. 121 (3) (2021) 383–395.

A. Cohen et al.

- [35] S. Kaatz, D. Ahmad, A.C. Spyropoulos, S. Schulman, tSoCo Anticoagulation, Definition of clinically relevant non-major bleeding in studies of anticoagulants in atrial fibrillation and venous thromboembolic disease in non-surgical patients: communication from the SSC of the ISTH, J. Thromb. Haemost. 13 (11) (2015) 2119-2126.
- [36] G.H. Lyman, A.A. Khorana, N.M. Kuderer, A.Y. Lee, J.I. Arcelus, E.P. Balaban, et al., Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis and treatment in patients with cancer:

American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update, J. Clin. Oncol. 31 (17) (2013) 2189–2204.
[37] M. Mandalà, A. Falanga, F. Roila, Management of venous thromboembolism (VTE) in cancer patients: ESMO clinical practice guidelines, Ann. Oncol. 22 Suppl 6 (2011) vi85 -92.