



Handbook of Clinical Neurology

Volume 192, 2023, Pages 131-140

Chapter 9 - Lessons learned from evolving frameworks in adult glioblastoma

[Mirna Lechpammer](#)^{1 2}, [Abdelkader Mahammedi](#)³, [Daniel A. Pomeranz Krummel](#)⁴, [Soma Sengupta](#)⁴  

¹ Foundation Medicine, Inc., Cambridge, MA, United States

² Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, United States

³ Department of Radiology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, United States

⁴ Department of Neurology and Rehabilitation Medicine, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH, United States

Available online 14 February 2023, Version of Record 14 February 2023.

Show less 

 Share  Cite

<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-85538-9.00011-0> ↗

[Get rights and content](#) ↗

Abstract

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common and aggressive malignant adult brain tumor. Significant effort has been directed to achieve a molecular subtyping of GBM to impact treatment. The discovery of new unique molecular alterations has resulted in a more effective classification of tumors and has opened the door to subtype-specific therapeutic targets. Morphologically identical GBM may have different genetic, epigenetic, and transcriptomic alterations and therefore different progression trajectories and response to treatments. With a transition to molecularly guided diagnosis, there is now a potential to personalize and successfully manage this tumor type to improve outcomes. The steps to achieve subtype-specific molecular signatures can be extrapolated to other neuroproliferative as well as neurodegenerative disorders.

Introduction

Glioblastoma (GBM) has an annual incidence of ~3 cases per 100,000 individuals, GBM accounts for ~15% of all primary CNS tumors and ~57% of all gliomas (Ostrom et al., 2019). The risk of a GBM increases with age, peaking between 75 and 84 years of age, with males are approximately 1.6 times more likely to develop a GBM. Despite extensive efforts to improve treatment, the 5-year survival rate is only 6.8% (Ostrom et al., 2019). In contrast, the 5-year survival rate for all malignant CNS tumors following diagnosis is 35.8%. Such a dismal clinical outcome reflects in part the complex and highly heterogeneous biology of GBM and necessitates the development of personalized treatment strategies based on subtype-specific molecular signatures. This chapter summarizes the current knowledge of molecular alterations in GBM and reviews the ongoing efforts to improve treatment based in part on subtype-specific molecular signatures.

Section snippets

Medical Imaging of GBMs

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is used extensively to diagnose and manage a patient's glioblastoma (Fig. 9.1). There have been significant efforts to advance MRI technology and integrate it into a multimodal platform with molecular diagnostics to provide detailed insight into the nature of glioblastoma and its characteristics in a patient. Multiple studies have identified associations between MRI features and survival in patients with high-grade gliomas by linking molecular and imaging...

Histopathology

Histopathology of GBM is complex and highly diverse, with significant inter- and intratumoral heterogeneity. Tumors are composed of pleomorphic astrocytes with active mitotic activity and nuclear atypia. Necrosis and microvascular proliferation are present. Histological variants of GBM include giant cell GBM, gliosarcoma, and epithelioid GBM. Macroscopic examination reveals yellowish central necrosis from myelin breakdown, grayish periphery with poorly defined margins, and variable areas of...

Diagnosis

The 2016 and 2021 WHO classifications and cIMPACT-NOW highlight biomarkers as relevant in the diagnostic workup of diffuse gliomas: *IDH* status, 1p/19q codeletion, histone H3 K27M mutation, *EGFR* gene amplification, *CDKN2A/B* homozygous deletion, *TERT* promoter status, and the +7/-10 signature (simultaneous gain of chromosome 7 and loss of chromosome 10) (Louis et al., 2016, Louis et al., 2020, Louis et al., 2021) (Fig. 9.2). Wild-type *IDH* and histone H3 status and the presence of necrosis and/or...

Clinical Trials and Future Perspectives

The standard treatment of GBM includes surgery combined with adjuvant radiotherapy and/or temozolomide. Despite decades of rigorous research and improvement, the achievements in terms of prolonging survival have been modest, at best: only 1 in 5 patients receiving treatment lives past 2 years after diagnosis, and only a fraction survives past the 5-year mark. There is an urgent need for more effective treatments, including those targeting personalized new molecular events and pathways....

Conclusions

Continuous research efforts and improvement of a diagnostic arsenal over the recent decades has significantly contributed to the understanding of molecular events leading to the development of GBM. The discovery of new unique molecular alterations has resulted in a more effective classification of tumors and presented new therapeutic targets within subtypes. Also, several groups of novel therapeutic agents, including PRMT5 and CDK5 inhibitors, are being rigorously investigated, and so are ways...

References (54)

C.W. Brennan *et al.*

[The somatic genomic landscape of glioblastoma](#)

Cell (2013)

Y. Chen *et al.*

[Drug repurposing for glioblastoma based on molecular subtypes](#)

J Biomed Inform (2016)

A. Malmström *et al.*

[Temozolomide versus standard 6-week radiotherapy versus hypofractionated radiotherapy in patients older than 60 years with glioblastoma: the Nordic randomised, phase 3 trial](#)

Lancet Oncol (2012)

S. Mukherjee *et al.*

[CDK5 inhibition resolves PKA/cAMP-independent activation of CREB1 signaling in glioma stem cells](#)

Cell Rep (2018)

H.S. Phillips *et al.*

[Molecular subclasses of high-grade glioma predict prognosis, delineate a pattern of disease progression, and resemble stages in neurogenesis](#)

Cancer Cell (2006)

K. Pozo *et al.*

The emerging role of CDK5 in cancer

Trends Cancer (2016)

A. Sharma *et al.*

Angiogenic gene signature derived from subtype specific cell models segregate proneural and mesenchymal glioblastoma

Front Oncol (2017)

R.G.W. Verhaak *et al.*

An integrated genomic analysis identifies clinically relevant subtypes of glioblastoma characterized by abnormalities in PDGFRA, IDH1, EGFR and NF1

Cancer Cell (2010)

W. Wick *et al.*

Temozolomide chemotherapy alone versus radiotherapy alone for malignant astrocytoma in the elderly: the NOA-08 randomised, phase 3 trial

Lancet Oncol (2012)

R. Yushan *et al.*

Insights into the clinical value of cyclin-dependent kinase 5 in glioma: a retrospective study

World J Surg Oncol (2015)



View more references

Cited by (0)

Recommended articles (0)

[View full text](#)

Copyright © 2023 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



Copyright © 2023 Elsevier B.V. or its licensors or contributors.
ScienceDirect® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

RELX™