Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Eduard Yakubov, Paracelsus Medical Private University, Germany

REVIEWED BY Marc Dahlmanns,

Institute for Physiology and Pathophysiology, Germany Or Kakhlon, Hadassah Medical Center, Israel

*CORRESPONDENCE

Yangchun Xie xieyangchun88@csu.edu.cn Daolin Tang daolin.tang@utsouthwestern.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Neuro-Oncology and Neurosurgical Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 06 November 2022 ACCEPTED 30 January 2023 PUBLISHED 10 February 2023

CITATION

Xie Y, Hou T, Liu J, Zhang H, Liu X, Kang R and Tang D (2023) Autophagy-dependent ferroptosis as a potential treatment for glioblastoma. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1091118. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1091118

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Xie, Hou, Liu, Zhang, Liu, Kang and Tang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Autophagy-dependent ferroptosis as a potential treatment for glioblastoma

Yangchun Xie^{1*}, Tao Hou¹, Jinyou Liu¹, Haixia Zhang¹, Xianling Liu¹, Rui Kang² and Daolin Tang^{2*}

¹Department of Oncology, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China, ²Department of Surgery, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, United States

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common malignant primary brain tumor with a poor 5-year survival rate. Autophagy is a conserved intracellular degradation system that plays a dual role in GBM pathogenesis and therapy. On one hand, stress can lead to unlimited autophagy to promote GBM cell death. On the other hand, elevated autophagy promotes the survival of glioblastoma stem cells against chemotherapy and radiation therapy. Ferroptosis is a type of lipid peroxidation-mediated regulated necrosis that initially differs from autophagy and other types of cell death in terms of cell morphology, biochemical characteristics, and the gene regulators involved. However, recent studies have challenged this view and demonstrated that the occurrence of ferroptosis is dependent on autophagy, and that many regulators of ferroptosis are involved in the control of autophagy machinery. Functionally, autophagy-dependent ferroptosis plays a unique role in tumorigenesis and therapeutic sensitivity. This mini-review will focus on the mechanisms and principles of autophagy-dependent ferroptosis and its emerging implications in GBM.

KEYWORDS

autophagy, ferroptosis, glioblasoma, glioblastom stem cells, therapeutics

Introduction

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common and aggressive primary brain tumor in adults, with an annual incidence of about 3.23 cases per 100,000 people and a median survival (regardless of treatment) of approximately 8 months, with a one-, five- and ten-year survival rates of 42.8, 7.2 and 4.7%, respectively, based on recent statistical analysis of the Central Brain Tumor Registry of the United States (CBTRUS) (1, 2). Temozolomide is one of the first-line chemotherapeutics for the treatment of GBM due to its DNA alkylating activity and its ability to cross the blood-brain barrier (3). However, GMB patients often develop temozolomide resistance after one year of treatment. One reason for this clinical challenge is that glioblastoma stem cells (GSC) can survive after surgical resection and are highly resistant to chemotherapy and radiotherapy (4). Specifically, autophagy is a cellular recycling mechanism that confers robust chemoresistance and radiation resistance to GSC, resulting in

GBM regeneration and the inability to kill them by standard therapies (5). Therefore, understanding the process and function of autophagy is important for developing effective anticancer approaches in GBM.

Autophagy is a catabolic process that promotes the recycling of cellular components under stress conditions (such as nutrient deficiency or microbial infection), thereby restoring cell homeostasis (6). It can be divided into macroautophagy, microautophagy and chaperon-mediated autophagy (CMA) (7). Macroautophagy involves the formation of autophagosomes that encapsulate senescent proteins or damaged organelles into lysosomes for degradation and recycling (8). The macroautophagy process is dynamically mediated by autophagy-related (ATG) family proteins through the formation of distinct protein complexes under the control of post-translational modifications (9). Microautophagy is driven by direct engulfment of cytoplasmic cargo to lysosomes under infectious conditions (10). In CMA, heat-shocked homologous 70 kDa (HSC70) proteins recognize KFERQ motifs in target proteins and facilitate their transfer to lysosomes through the lysosome-associated membrane protein 2A (LAMP2A) receptor (11). This review will focus on macroautophagy, simply referred to as autophagy from now on.

Autophagy is involved in the regulation of various cell death modalities, thereby determining cell fate (12). In addition to promoting cell survival, excessive autophagy can also trigger cell death, especially the iron-dependent form of nonapoptotic ferroptosis. Notably, ferroptosis was originally described as an autophagy-dependent cell death (13). Growing evidence from independent groups highlights that autophagy promotes iron accumulation and lipid peroxidation, key metabolic hallmarks of ferroptosis (14, 15). Consequently, genetic or pharmacological inhibition of the autophagy machinery can suppress ferroptosis sensitivity in various disease models. Moreover, pharmacological induction of autophagy-dependent ferroptosis may be a gamechanging antitumor strategy compared to traditional inhibition of autophagy to limit tumor growth (16).

In this review, we summarize the current understanding of the process and basis of autophagy-dependent ferroptosis. We also discuss the implications of induction of autophagy-dependent ferroptosis for the treatment of GBM.

Molecular mechanism of autophagydependent ferroptosis

The activation of autophagy machinery is significantly increased within cells treated with classical ferroptosis inducers, such as small-molecule compounds erastin and RSL3. Compared to wide-type cells, autophagy deficient cells (e.g., ATG5^{-/-} and ATG7^{-/-}) exhibit higher survival rate during ferroptosis (17). *In vitro* studies further show that ferroptosis is dependent on autophagy machinery (18, 19). Indeed, excessive formation of autophagosomes or abnormal increase of lysosomal activity will cause the accumulation of intracellular iron and lipid peroxides by selectively degrading proteins regulating iron and redox homeostasis (e.g., ferritin, GPX4, ARNTL, and lipid droplets), promoting the occurrence of ferroptosis (Figure 1). The selective role of autophagy in promoting ferroptosis is discussed from the following six aspects.

Degradation of iron regulatory protein

Excessive ferrous iron can promote the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) through Fenton reaction, thus causing toxic effects on cells (20). Under normal physiological conditions,



FIGURE 1

Mechanism and significance of autophagy-dependent ferroptosis. Autophagy promotes ferroptosis by selectively degrading antiferroptosis proteins or organelles through multiple autophagy receptors. DAMP release from ferroptotic cells can trigger inflammatory and immune responses in macrophages by activating the AGER pathway. AGER, advanced glycosylation end-product specific receptor; BMAL1, brain and muscle ARNT-like 1; CDH2, cadherin 2; DCN, proteoglycan; FTH1, ferritin heavy chain 1; GPX4, glutathione peroxidase 4; HPCAL1, hippocalcin like 1; HMGB1, high mobility group box 1; IL, interleukin; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; NCOA4, nuclear receptor coactivator 4; RAB7A, member RAS oncogene family; SLC40A1, solute carrier family 40 member 1; SQSTM1, sequestosome 1; TLR, toll-like receptor; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor. intracellular ferrous iron is absorbed by the ferritin heavy chain 1 (FTH1, also known as FTH). Ferrous iron is then oxidized to ferric iron and stored in ferritin. In addition, excess ferrous iron is transported out of the cell via iron exporter solute carrier family 40 member 1 (SLC40A1, also known as ferroportin-1 or FPN1) on the cell membrane (21). Ferroptosis can be induced by increasing iron absorption and decreasing iron storage or preventing iron release. At least two mechanisms mediate iron accumulation and subsequent ferroptosis by promoting autophagic degradation of ferritin or SLC40A1 (Figure 1). The degradation of ferritin is mediated by nuclear receptor coactivator 4 (NCOA4)-dependent ferritinophagy in erastin-treated mouse embryonic fibroblasts and human pancreatic cancer cells (17). In contrast, the autophagy receptor sequestosome 1 (SQSTM1, best known as p62) is required for the elimination of SLC40A1 to promote iron-dependent ferroptosis in cancer cells in vitro and in vivo (22). Although these studies highlight that autophagy increases toxic iron accumulation to induce ferroptosis, whether autophagy selectively affects iron accumulation in different subcellular organelles remains unknown.

Degradation of lipid droplet

Lipid droplets are highly dynamic organelles that not only store lipids but also release them under stressful conditions. The process of lipid droplet degradation through autophagy is called lipophagy (23). The free fatty acids generated by lipophagy promote adenosine 5'triphosphate generation through β oxidation in mitochondria. Unlike lipid droplets known to play a role in preventing lipotoxicity by storing fatty acids, lipid droplet degradation mediated by RAB7A lipophagy (Figure 1) can promote RSL3-induced lipid peroxidation and ferroptosis in human liver cancer cells (24). In contrast, the overexpression of tumor protein D52 (TPD52) effectively inhibits RSL3-induced lipid peroxidation and ferroptosis by promoting lipid storage or inhibiting lipophagy (24). These findings suggest that lipophagy provides a lipid supply for subsequent lipid peroxidation during ferroptosis. In addition to RAB7A, further identification of lipid droplet-specific autophagy receptors is important for the development of inhibitors targeting lipophagy-dependent ferroptosis.

Degradation of circadian regulator

The circadian clock is endogenous and controls numerous cellular physiological processes, including iron metabolism, oxidative stress, and cell death, by regulating circadian switches (25). Clockophagy is a type of selective autophagy that degrades circadian rhythm-regulating proteins during ferroptotic cancer cell death (26). The clockophagic degradation of basic helix-loop-helix ARNT like 1 (BMAL1, also known as ARNTL1), the core protein of circadian clock, promotes lipid peroxidation and ferroptosis by increasing lipid storage in droplets through the Egl-9 family hypoxia inducible factor 2 (EGLN2, also known as PHD1)-mediated hypoxia inducible factor 1 subunit alpha (HIF1A) degradation (27). Moreover, SQSTM1 is required for clockophagy-mediated BMAL1 degradation (27) (Figure 1), supporting that SQSTM1 is a multisubstrate autophagy receptor for ferroptosis.

Degradation of GPX4

Glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4), formerly known as phospholipid hydrogen peroxide glutathione peroxidase (PHGPx), is one of the core regulators and targets of ferroptosis (28). GPX4 is the fourth member of the selenium-containing GPX family with a unique ability to scavenge membrane lipid hydroperoxide products to alcohols (29). In 2014, a targeted metabolomics study showed that the overexpression or knockdown of GPX4 can regulate the cytotoxicity of 12 ferroptosis inducers (28). Mechanistically, GPX4 uses its catalytic activity to weaken lipid peroxide toxicity and maintain membrane lipid bilayer homeostasis. RSL3, an inhibitor of GPX4, covalently binds to GPX4 and inactivates GPX4, leading to the accumulation of intracellular peroxides and triggering ferroptosis (28). As a cofactor of GPX4, glutathione (GSH) deficiency inactivates GPX4 and triggers ferroptosis. Therefore, the inhibition of GPX4 activity and the decrease of GPX4 expression can destroy the balance of cellular redox system, causing the accumulation of lipid ROS and ferroptosis. Both erastin and RSL3 induce autophagy flux and affect GPX4 levels through SQSTM1-mediated GPX4 protein degradation in multiple cancer cells (22) (Figure 1). Moreover, pharmacological inhibition of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) by rapamycin also reduces GPX4 protein levels, while vice versa RSL3 inhibits mTORC1, supporting a relationship between autophagy and ferroptosis (30). FIN56, another ferroptosis inducer, also promotes GPX4 protein degradation and lipid peroxidation in an autophagy-dependent manner (31). Since CMA also mediates ferroptosis machinery protein degradation, such as GPX4 and acyl-CoA synthetase longchain family member 4 (ACSL4) (32, 33), the receptors of which are heat shock proteins, it is necessary to further elucidate the roles of different types of autophagy in promoting ferroptosis.

Degradation of CDH2

Historically, hippocalcin like 1 (HPCAL1) is a neuron-specific Ca2+-binding protein that control central nervous system responses (34). In terms of tumor formation and development, HPCAL1 exhibits tumor-promoting activity in GBM by the activation of the embryonic developmental signals, especially the WNT-CTNNB1/βcatenin pathway (35). Recently, HPCAL1 was identified by quantitative proteomic approach as a novel autophagy receptor that triggers autophagy-dependent ferroptosis by selectively degrading cadherin 2 (CDH2) (Figure 1) (36). Mechanistically, the degradation of CDH2 is initiated by protein kinase C theta (PRKCQ)-mediated HPCAL1 phosphorylation on Ter149. Notably, starvation-induced autophagy does not require HPCAL1, which establishes the first autophagy receptor to induce ferroptosis. Furthermore, transmembrane protein 164 (TMEM164) acts as a specific promoter of ferroptosis-related autophagosome formation, but not ATG9A-dependent and starvation-induced autophagosome

formation (37). These studies provide important insights into the upstream signaling and downstream mediators of autophagydependent ferroptosis.

Organelle-specific initiation of autophagydependent ferroptosis

In addition to lipid droplets, other organelles play contextdependent roles in mediating autophagy-dependent ferroptosis (38). For example, the lysosomal cysteine protease cathepsin B (CTSB) promotes autophagy-dependent ferroptosis via translocation from lysosome into nucleus to cause DNA damage signals and to activate stimulator of interferon response cGAMP interactor 1 (STING1, also known as STING or TMEM173)-dependent DNA sensor pathways (39) (Figure 2). In addition, the MAPK-STAT3-CTSB pathway is required for erastin-induced ferroptosis in pancreatic cancer cells (40). The inhibition of STAT3 through small molecules (e.g., cryptotanshinone and S31-201) or siRNA as well as blockade of CTSB activity (using CA-074Me) or vacuolar type H+-ATPase (using bafilomycin A1) limits ferroptosis (40). These findings suggest that

there is organelle communication between the lysosome and the nucleus to initiate autophagy-dependent ferroptosis.

Mitochondria play an important role in the process of ferroptosis, including participating in mitochondrial DNA biosynthesis, ROS metabolism and mitochondrial iron storage and transport (41, 42). The DNA sensor hub STING1 links mitochondrial DNA damage, autophagy, and ferroptosis. Specifically, anti-HIV drug zalcitabineinduced mtDNA depletion and oxidative DNA damage activates the cGAS-STING1 pathway, thereby triggering STING1-dependent autophagy and subsequent autophagy-mediated ferroptosis (43) (Figure 2). Mitochondria associating with lipid droplets in fatoxidizing tissues are recently identified as peidroplets mitochondria, which have unique ATP synthesis and pyruvate oxidation capacities (44), potentially suggesting a functional role in autophagy-dependent ferroptosis through lipophagy. Furthermore, the iron-binding nuclear protein pirin (PIR) can hijack HMGB1 in the nucleus, thereby inhibiting the translocation of HMGB1 to the cytoplasm and subsequent activation of beclin 1 (BECN1)-dependent autophagy and ferroptosis in pancreatic cancer cells (45, 46). These findings explain the persistent activation of DNA damage, DAMP release, and autophagy flux during ferroptotic death.



Organelle-specific initiation of autophagy-dependent ferroptosis. There is crosstalk between lysosomes, nucleus and mitochondria. Oxidative damage to nuclear or mitochondrial DNA triggered by iron-dependent CTSB translocation or anti-HIV drug zalcitabine can activate STING-dependent autophagy and ferroptosis. BECN1, beclin 1; cGAS, cyclic GMP-AMP synthase; CTSB, cathepsin B; HMGB1, high mobility group box 1; PIR, pirin; STING1, stimulator of interferon response cGAMP interactor 1.

Targeting autophagy-dependent ferroptosis signaling network in GBM

GBM cells promote autophagy under adverse conditions (e.g., nutrient deficiency, oxidative or hypoxic stress) to maintain their survival and evade responses to cancer therapy (47–49). The progression of GBM is associated with decreased autophagy capacity (50). In a *KRAS*-driven mouse model of GBM, inhibition of ATG significantly reduced tumor growth and oncogenic progression, suggesting that autophagy is critical for GBM initiation and growth (51). While temozolomide induces autophagy to kill GBM, GSCs exert self-protection by activating autophagy (52). The current study aims to find new therapeutic targets to improve patient outcomes. Recent studies have shown that ferroptosis exists in GBM tumor cells, and recurrent tumors are more prone to ferroptosis process may be a possible new therapeutic strategy, especially in the setting of recurrent GBM.

There is also evidence that GBMs have significantly increased iron requirements compared to normal tissues, and GSCs uptake twice as much iron as non-stem tumor cells (54). Thus, GBM cells have a strong iron reliance. Targeting iron-related proteins or increasing intracellular iron levels are considered as feasible methods for GBM treatment (Table 1). Amentoflavone induces ferroptosis in glioma cells though ATG7-mediated autophagy to break iron homeostasis (55). Interestingly, both coatomer protein complex subunit zeta 1 (COPZ1) and tripartite motif containing 7 (TRIM7) are associated with ferroptosis by regulating intracellular iron metabolism in GBM (57, 59). Genetic inhibition of COPZ1 or TRIM7 suppresses tumor growth in vitro and in vivo, mechanistically by inducing NCOA4 expression and promoting ferritinophagy, followed by increased intracellular levels of ferrous iron and ultimately ferroptosis (57, 59). More recently, multifunctional nanomaterials (including ultrasmall iron oxide nanoparticles and iron oxide nanoparticles loaded with paclitaxel) have the effects of increasing the intracellular iron level, catalyzing fenton reaction, generating ROS and lipid peroxidation, ultimately inducing ferroptosis via a BECN1dependent autophagy pathway (56, 58).

In addition to iron addiction, GBM has a strong capacity of lipid synthesis, which is related to its malignant degree. Breaking lipid metabolism balance in GBM can induce ferroptosis to inhibit tumor growth. Therefore, exploiting this metabolic alteration in GBM to induce ferroptosis may be another effective therapeutic direction.

The damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) released by dead, dying, or stressed cells act as alarm signals to trigger innate and adaptive immune responses (60). The early release of high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), proteoglycan core proteoglycan (DCN), or mutated KRAS-G12D protein during ferroptosis is an active process involving secretory autophagy, lysosomal exocytosis, and exosome secretion (61-63) (Figures 1, 2). Once released by ferroptotic cells, these extracellular DAMPs bind to the receptor advanced glycosylation end-product specific receptor (AGER) on macrophages and trigger either proinflammatory cytokine production in a nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB)-dependent manner or macrophage polarization-associated tumor progression (Figure1). Hypoxic glioma-derived exosomes promote M2-like macrophage polarization by enhancing autophagy induction (64). Taken together, pharmacological or genetic inhibition of the DAMP-AGER axis can limit the ability of ferroptotic cancer cells to induce tumor-protective immune responses.

Conclusion and outlook

Autophagy is a degradation process controlled by a cascade of ATG protein complexes, each of which regulates different stages of initiation and formation of autophagic membrane structures. Compared with autophagy to promote cell survival, the molecular mechanism by which autophagy promotes cell death is poorly understood (65, 66). The discovery of ferroptosis as an autophagydependent cell death provides an opportunity to suppress cancers with excessive autophagy (67). Selective autophagic degradation of anti-ferroptosis proteins or organelles promotes iron-dependent oxidative damage and cell death. For GBMs, induction of autophagy-dependent ferroptosis facilitates clearance of drugresistant cancer stem cells. Although several experimental ferroptosis activators are available, there is still a shortage of related drugs that can be used in clinical trials. In the future, we need more in-depth work to determine the specific mechanism of autophagydependent ferroptosis (68), identify circulating biomarkers to monitor the activity of this pathway (69), and design the next generation of ferroptosis-related drugs (70).

Compounds/methods	Targets of autophagy- dependent ferroptosis	<i>In vitro</i> models	<i>In vivo</i> models	Refs.
Amentoflavone	ATG7-dependent autophagy	Human GBM cell lines U251 and U373	BALB/c nude mice bearing subcutaneous xenograft	(55)
Ultrasmall iron oxide nanoparticles (USIONPs)	Beclin1/ATG5-dependent autophagy	Human GBM cell line U251	NA.	(56)
Silencing COPZ1	NCOA4 mediated autophagy	Human GBM cell line U87MG and U251	Nude mice bearing intracranial xenograft tumors	(57)
Iron oxide nanoparticles loaded with paclitaxel (IONP@PTX)	Degradation of GPX4	Human GBM cell line U251	BALB/c-nu mice bearing GBM xenografts	(58)
Silencing TRIM7	NCOA4-mediated ferritinophagy	Human GBM cell line A172 and U87MG	Non-obese diabetic-severe combined immunodeficient NOD-SCID mice bearing subcutaneous and intracranial tumor xenograft	(59)

TABLE 1 In vivo or in vitro studies targeting autophagy-dependent ferroptosis in GBM.

Author contributions

YX proposed the research. YX and TH both reviewed the literature and collected references. YX, RK, and DT wrote the manuscript and finalized the paper. JL provided some of the material. HZ and XL have adjusted some expressions of the article. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82172656, 81902550, 81802476), and the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (2021JJ40882).

References

1. Miller KD, Ostrom QT, Kruchko C, Patil N, Tihan T, Cioffi G, et al. Brain and other central nervous system tumor statistics 2021. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2021) 71:381–406. doi: 10.3322/caac.21693

2. Ostrom QT, Patil N, Cioffi G, Waite K, Kruchko C, Barnholtz-Sloan JS. Corrigendum to: CBTRUS statistical report: Primary brain and other central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the united states in 2013-2017. *Neuro Oncol* (2022) 24:1214. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noaa269

3. Hegi ME, Diserens AC, Gorlia T, Hamou MF, de Tribolet N, Weller M, et al. MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma. *N Engl J Med* (2005) 352:997–1003. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa043331

4. Lima FR, Kahn SA, Soletti RC, Biasoli D, Alves T, da Fonseca AC, et al. Glioblastoma: therapeutic challenges, what lies ahead. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (2012) 1826:338–49. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2012.05.004

5. Huang Z, Cheng L, Guryanova OA, Wu Q, Bao S. Cancer stem cells in glioblastoma-molecular signaling and therapeutic targeting. *Protein Cell* (2010) 1:638–55. doi: 10.1007/s13238-010-0078-y

6. Levine B, Kroemer G. Biological functions of autophagy genes: A disease perspective. Cell (2019) 176:11-42. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.09.048

7. Mizushima N. A brief history of autophagy from cell biology to physiology and disease. *Nat Cell Biol* (2018) 20:521–7. doi: 10.1038/s41556-018-0092-5

8. Feng Y, He D, Yao Z, Klionsky DJ. The machinery of macroautophagy. Cell Res (2014) 24:24-41. doi: 10.1038/cr.2013.168

9. Xie Y, Kang R, Sun X, Zhong M, Huang J, Klionsky DJ, et al. Posttranslational modification of autophagy-related proteins in macroautophagy. *Autophagy* (2015) 11:28–45. doi: 10.4161/15548627.2014.984267

10. Li WW, Li J, Bao JK. Microautophagy: lesser-known self-eating. Cell Mol Life Sci (2012) 69:1125–36. doi: 10.1007/s00018-011-0865-5

11. Kaushik S, Cuervo AM. The coming of age of chaperone-mediated autophagy. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol (2018) 19:365-81. doi: 10.1038/s41580-018-0001-6

12. Tang D, Kang R, Berghe TV, Vandenabeele P, Kroemer G. The molecular machinery of regulated cell death. *Cell Res* (2019) 29:347-64. doi: 10.1038/s41422-019-0164-5

13. Dixon SJ, Lemberg KM, Lamprecht MR, Skouta R, Zaitsev EM, Gleason CE, et al. Ferroptosis: An iron-dependent form of nonapoptotic cell death. *Cell* (2012) 149:1060–72. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.042

14. Liu J, Kuang F, Kroemer G, Klionsky DJ, Kang R, Tang D. Autophagy-dependent ferroptosis: Machinery and regulation. *Cell Chem Biol* (2020) 27:420–35. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2020.02.005

15. Zhou B, Liu J, Kang R, Klionsky DJ, Kroemer G, Tang D. Ferroptosis is a type of autophagy-dependent cell death. *Semin Cancer Biol* (2020) 66:89–100. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.03.002

16. Chen X, Kang R, Kroemer G, Tang D. Broadening horizons: the role of ferroptosis in cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2021) 18:280–96. doi: 10.1038/s41571-020-00462-0

17. Hou W, Xie Y, Song X, Sun X, Lotze MT, Zeh HJ3rd, et al. Autophagy promotes ferroptosis by degradation of ferritin. *Autophagy* (2016) 12:1425-8. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2016.1187366

18. Liu K, Huang J, Liu J, Klionsky DJ, Kang R, Tang D. Induction of autophagydependent ferroptosis to eliminate drug-tolerant human retinoblastoma cells. *Cell Death Dis* (2022) 13:521. doi: 10.1038/s41419-022-04974-8

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

19. Xie Y, Kuang F, Liu J, Tang D, Kang R. DUSP1 blocks autophagy-dependent ferroptosis in pancreatic cancer. *J pancreatology* (2020) 3:154-60. doi: 10.1097/JP9.00000000000054

20. Winterbourn CC. Toxicity of iron and hydrogen peroxide: the fenton reaction. *Toxicol Lett* (1995) 82-83:969–74. doi: 10.1016/0378-4274(95)03532-X

21. Anderson GJ, Frazer DM. Current understanding of iron homeostasis. Am J Clin Nutr (2017) 106:1559S-66S. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.117.155804

22. Li J, Liu J, Xu Y, Wu R, Chen X, Song X, et al. Tumor heterogeneity in autophagydependent ferroptosis. *Autophagy* (2021) 17:3361–74. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2021.1872241

23. Singh R, Kaushik S, Wang Y, Xiang Y, Novak I, Komatsu M, et al. Autophagy regulates lipid metabolism. *Nature* (2009) 458:1131–5. doi: 10.1038/nature07976

24. Bai Y, Meng L, Han L, Jia Y, Zhao Y, Gao H, et al. Lipid storage and lipophagy regulates ferroptosis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2019) 508:997–1003. doi: 10.1016/ j.bbrc.2018.12.039

25. Roenneberg T, Merrow M. The circadian clock and human health. *Curr Biol* (2016) 26:R432–443. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.04.011

26. Liu J, Yang M, Kang R, Klionsky DJ, Tang D. Autophagic degradation of the circadian clock regulator promotes ferroptosis. *Autophagy* (2019) 15:2033-5. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2019.1659623

27. Yang M, Chen P, Liu J, Zhu S, Kroemer G, Klionsky DJ, et al. Clockophagy is a novel selective autophagy process favoring ferroptosis. Sci Adv (2019) 5:eaaw2238. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aaw2238

28. Yang WS, SriRamaratnam R, Welsch ME, Shimada K, Skouta R, Viswanathan VS, et al. Regulation of ferroptotic cancer cell death by GPX4. *Cell* (2014) 156:317–31. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.12.010

29. Maiorino M, Conrad M, Ursini F. GPx4, lipid peroxidation, and cell death: Discoveries, rediscoveries, and open issues. *Antioxid Redox Signal* (2018) 29:61–74. doi: 10.1089/ars.2017.7115

30. Liu Y, Wang Y, Liu J, Kang R, Tang D. Interplay between MTOR and GPX4 signaling modulates autophagy-dependent ferroptotic cancer cell death. cancer. *Gene Ther* (2021) 28:55–63. doi: 10.1038/s41417-020-0182-y

31. Sun Y, Berleth N, Wu W, Schlutermann D, Deitersen J, Stuhldreier F, et al. Fin56induced ferroptosis is supported by autophagy-mediated GPX4 degradation and functions synergistically with mTOR inhibition to kill bladder cancer cells. *Cell Death Dis* (2021) 12:1028. doi: 10.1038/s41419-021-04306-2

32. Liu C, Sun W, Zhu T, Shi S, Zhang J, Wang J, et al. Glia maturation factor-beta induces ferroptosis by impairing chaperone-mediated autophagic degradation of ACSL4 in early diabetic retinopathy. *Redox Biol* (2022) 52:102292. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2022.102292

33. Wu Z, Geng Y, Lu X, Shi Y, Wu G, Zhang M, et al. Chaperone-mediated autophagy is involved in the execution of ferroptosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2019) 116:2996–3005. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1819728116

34. Spilker C, Braunewell KH. Calcium-myristoyl switch, subcellular localization, and calcium-dependent translocation of the neuronal calcium sensor protein VILIP-3, and comparison with VILIP-1 in hippocampal neurons. *Mol Cell Neurosci* (2003) 24:766–78. doi: 10.1016/S1044-7431(03)00242-2

35. Zhang D, Liu X, Xu X, Xu J, Yi Z, Shan B, et al. HPCAL1 promotes glioblastoma proliferation *via* activation of wnt/beta-catenin signalling pathway. *J Cell Mol Med* (2019) 23:3108–17. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.14083

36. Chen X, Song X, Li J, Zhang R, Yu C, Zhou Z, et al. Identification of HPCAL1 as a specific autophagy receptor involved in ferroptosis. *Autophagy* (2023), 19:54–74. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2022.2059170

37. Liu J, Liu Y, Wang Y, Li C, Xie Y, Klionsky DJ, et al. TMEM164 is a new determinant of autophagy-dependent ferroptosis. *Autophagy* (2022), 1–12. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2022.2111635

38. Chen X, Kang R, Kroemer G, Tang D. Organelle-specific regulation of ferroptosis. *Cell Death Differ* (2021) 28:2843–56. doi: 10.1038/s41418-021-00859-z

39. Kuang F, Liu J, Li C, Kang R, Tang D. Cathepsin b is a mediator of organellespecific initiation of ferroptosis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2020) 533:1464–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.10.035

40. Gao H, Bai Y, Jia Y, Zhao Y, Kang R, Tang D, et al. Ferroptosis is a lysosomal cell death process. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2018) 503:1550-6. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.07.078

41. Gao M, Yi J, Zhu J, Minikes AM, Monian P, Thompson CB, et al. Role of mitochondria in ferroptosis. *Mol Cell* (2019) 73:354-363.e353. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.10.042

42. Tadokoro T, Ikeda M, Ide T, Deguchi H, Ikeda S, Okabe K, et al. Mitochondriadependent ferroptosis plays a pivotal role in doxorubicin cardiotoxicity. *JCI Insight* (2020) 5:e132747. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.132747

43. Li C, Zhang Y, Liu J, Kang R, Klionsky DJ, Tang D. Mitochondrial DNA stress triggers autophagy-dependent ferroptotic death. *Autophagy* (2021) 17:948–60. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2020.1739447

44. Acin-Perez R, Petcherski A, Veliova M, Benador IY, Assali EA, Colleluori G, et al. Recruitment and remodeling of peridroplet mitochondria in human adipose tissue. *Redox Biol* (2021) 46:102087. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2021.102087

45. Hu N, Bai L, Dai E, Han L, Kang R, Li H, et al. Pirin is a nuclear redox-sensitive modulator of autophagy-dependent ferroptosis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2021) 536:100-6. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.12.066

46. Tang D, Kang R, Livesey KM, Cheh CW, Farkas A, Loughran P, et al. Endogenous HMGB1 regulates autophagy. J Cell Biol (2010) 190:881–92. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200911078

47. Chhipa RR, Fan Q, Anderson J, Muraleedharan R, Huang Y, Ciraolo G, et al. AMP kinase promotes glioblastoma bioenergetics and tumour growth. *Nat Cell Biol* (2018) 20:823–35. doi: 10.1038/s41556-018-0126-z

48. Lin TW, Chen MT, Lin LT, Huang PI, Lo WL, Yang YP, et al. TDP-43/HDAC6 axis promoted tumor progression and regulated nutrient deprivation-induced autophagy in glioblastoma. *Oncotarget* (2017) 8:56612–25. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.17979

49. Lo Dico A, Salvatore D, Martelli C, Ronchi D, Diceglie C, Lucignani G, et al. Intracellular redox-balance involvement in temozolomide resistance-related molecular mechanisms in glioblastoma. *Cells* (2019) 8:1315. doi: 10.3390/cells8111315

50. Zois CE, Hendriks AM, Haider S, Pires E, Bridges E, Kalamida D, et al. Liver glycogen phosphorylase is upregulated in glioblastoma and provides a metabolic vulnerability to high dose radiation. *Cell Death Dis* (2022) 13:573. doi: 10.1038/s41419-022-05005-2

51. Booth L, Roberts JL, Poklepovic A, Kirkwood J, Sander C, Avogadri-Connors F, et al. The levels of mutant K-RAS and mutant n-RAS are rapidly reduced in a Beclin1 / ATG5 -dependent fashion by the irreversible ERBB1/2/4 inhibitor neratinib. *Cancer Biol Ther* (2018) 19:132–7. doi: 10.1080/15384047.2017.1394556

52. Batara DCR, Choi MC, Shin HU, Kim H, Kim SH. Friend or foe: Paradoxical roles of autophagy in gliomagenesis. *Cells* (2021) 10:1411. doi: 10.3390/cells10061411

53. Kram H, Prokop G, Haller B, Gempt J, Wu Y, Schmidt-Graf F, et al. Glioblastoma relapses show increased markers of vulnerability to ferroptosis. *Front Oncol* (2022) 12:841418. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.841418

54. Vo VTA, Kim S, Hua TNM, Oh J, Jeong Y. Iron commensalism of mesenchymal glioblastoma promotes ferroptosis susceptibility upon dopamine treatment. *Commun Biol* (2022) 5:593. doi: 10.1038/s42003-022-03538-y

55. Chen Y, Li N, Wang H, Wang N, Peng H, Wang J, et al. Amentoflavone suppresses cell proliferation and induces cell death through triggering autophagy-dependent ferroptosis in human glioma. *Life Sci* (2020) 247:117425. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2020.117425

56. Wen J, Chen H, Ren Z, Zhang P, Chen J, Jiang S. Ultrasmall iron oxide nanoparticles induced ferroptosis *via* Beclin1/ATG5-dependent autophagy pathway. *Nano Converg* (2021) 8:10. doi: 10.1186/s40580-021-00260-z

57. Zhang Y, Kong Y, Ma Y, Ni S, Wikerholmen T, Xi K, et al. Loss of COPZ1 induces NCOA4 mediated autophagy and ferroptosis in glioblastoma cell lines. *Oncogene* (2021) 40:1425–39. doi: 10.1038/s41388-020-01622-3

58. Chen H, Wen J. Iron oxide nanoparticles loaded with paclitaxel inhibits glioblastoma by enhancing autophagy-dependent ferroptosis pathway. *Eur J Pharmacol* (2022) 921:174860. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2022.174860

59. Li K, Chen B, Xu A, Shen J, Li K, Hao K, et al. TRIM7 modulates NCOA4-mediated ferritinophagy and ferroptosis in glioblastoma cells. *Redox Biol* (2022) 56:102451. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2022.102451

60. Tang D, Kang R, Coyne CB, Zeh HJ, Lotze MT. PAMPs and DAMPs: signal 0s that spur autophagy and immunity. *Immunol Rev* (2012) 249:158–75. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2012.01146.x

61. Dai E, Han L, Liu J, Xie Y, Kroemer G, Klionsky DJ, et al. Autophagy-dependent ferroptosis drives tumor-associated macrophage polarization *via* release and uptake of oncogenic KRAS protein. *Autophagy* (2020) 16:2069-83. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2020.1714209

62. Liu J, Zhu S, Zeng L, Li J, Klionsky DJ, Kroemer G, et al. DCN released from ferroptotic cells ignites AGER-dependent immune responses. *Autophagy* (2022) 18:2036–49. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2021.2008692

63. Wen Q, Liu J, Kang R, Zhou B, Tang D. The release and activity of HMGB1 in ferroptosis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2019) 510:278-83. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.01.090

64. Xu J, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Gao Z, Qi Y, Qiu W, et al. Hypoxic glioma-derived exosomes promote M2-like macrophage polarization by enhancing autophagy induction. *Cell Death Dis* (2021) 12:373. doi: 10.1038/s41419-021-03664-1

65. Bialik S, Dasari SK, Kimchi A. Autophagy-dependent cell death - where, how and why a cell eats itself to death. *J Cell Sci* (2018) 131:jcs215152. doi: 10.1242/jcs.215152

66. Denton D, Kumar S. Autophagy-dependent cell death. Cell Death Differ (2019) 26:605–16. doi: 10.1038/s41418-018-0252-y

67. Chen X, Li J, Kang R, Klionsky DJ, Tang D. Ferroptosis: machinery and regulation. Autophagy (2021) 17:2054–81. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2020.1810918

68. Zhang Z, Guo M, Li Y, Shen M, Kong D, Shao J, et al. RNA-Binding protein ZFP36/ TTP protects against ferroptosis by regulating autophagy signaling pathway in hepatic stellate cells. *Autophagy* (2020) 16:1482–505. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2019.1687985

69. Chen X, Comish PB, Tang D, Kang R. Characteristics and biomarkers of ferroptosis. Front Cell Dev Biol (2021) 9:637162. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.637162

70. Conrad M, Pratt DA. The chemical basis of ferroptosis. Nat Chem Biol (2019) 15:1137-47. doi: 10.1038/s41589-019-0408-1